R V Silveira
930.74 Bx
Portugese Inscriptions

Probble

A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

People.

People	vs.	Ah Ge Yaung	1
"	"	Wrenly H.	2
"	"	Yaung Ah Ge	3
"	"	Kadyan S	4
"	"	Ford W J	5
"	"	Knussy Jacob	6
"	"	Raymond C H	8
"	"	Seabrun Jno	9
"	"	Ford A. J.	10
"	"	Maloney Dan	21
"	"	Condra A H.	25
"	"	" J D	26
"	"	Seabrun John	28
"	"	" J D.	29
"	"	Schell H	30
"	"	Rose D et al	31
"	"	Rose D.	32
"	"	Nielsen Hans	33
"	"	Lockhart G W.	34
"	"	Rose D	36
"	"	Abbott W W.	37
"	"	" Carrie	38
"	"	Matthews Patrick	39
"	"	Johnson J G	40
"	"	Garrison Wm	41
"	"	Rose D	43
"	"	Rose E et al	44
"	"	Mills Frank Mrs	46
"	"	Bibby W R.	47
"	"	Fraheis William	48
"	"	Mitchell Ellen	51
"	"	Brady Pat	62
"	"	Clark J D.	63
"	"	Oppenlauder F. et als	70
"	"	Campbell Alex	71
"	"	Campbell Alex	72
"	"	Shell S	78
"	"	Hughes Henry	80
"	"	Corriek J W	81
"	"	Imperatori Joe	85
"	"	Juan Dias	86
"	"	Joe Hamilton	87
"	"	Dias Juan	90
"	"	Ryan Jno	91
"	"	Wm Taylor	92
"	"	Wm Harkins	93

People	vs.	Smith Jno	97
"	"	Duke Oscar	105
"	"	Longelron S	110
"	"	Smith John	113
"	"	H Arakian	114
"	"	Smart Earnest	119
"	"	Marhin	126
"	"	Finch J H	135
"	"	Cayle Chas	136
"	"	Riggs C. F.	140
"	"	Smith A	141
			144
"	"	Downey J.	145
"	"	Bennett Luther	146
"	"	~~Queen George~~	147
"	"	Huber Wm	149
"	"	Stutz D.	150
"	"	Kale Chris	151
"	"	Deal Henry	152
"	"	Neilmeyer Henry	153
"	"	Roderick Jno	157
"	"	Hughey A. C.	158
"	"	Mitrovich Steve	160
"	"	Bacon Ed	161
"	"	Jewett Alma	163
"	"	Jewett Alma	170
"	"	Hawbaugh F.	176
"	"	Abraws Daniel	180
"	"	Collingwood Eur	196
"	"	Collingwood Eur.	197
"	"	Stone H.	190
"	"	Adorian John	195
"	"	Jangerson A	200
"	"	Phillips W F	201
"	"	Stigall J.	202
"	"	Ah Sing Das	206
"	"	Landrum J H.	212
"	"	Ung Ah	221
"	"	Brantner Jacob	222
"	"	Flynn Jerad	223
"	"	Scott Lathrop	224
"	"	Hart John (insane)	228
"	"	Lead B Tray	230
"	"	Unidentified	231
"	"	Burns J A	233

A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

People	"	Finch J	235
"	"	Bayle JJ.	240
"	"	Dee John	244
"	"	Marison J He	246
"	"	Madden J	247
"	"	Morland J	251
"	"	Dee J Rae Mr	252
"	"	Borello Franc	258
"	"	Goldman Sol	259
"	"	"	260
"	"	Cuelon A S	263
"	"	Dee John	263
"	"	Smiddy Chas	273
"	"	Hicks Wm	274
"	"	Green John,	277
"	"	Buchus Jas	283
"	"	Wilkins Mar	284
"	"	Aby Jun	286
"	"	Aby Jas	287
"	"	Aby Hen	288
"	"	Aby Jy	289
"	"	Aby Jake	290
"	"	Aby Owen	291
"	"	Aby Je	292
"	"	Aby Jon	293
"	"	Aby Jas	294
"	"	Aby Ryn	295
"	"	Cosca Mr	299
"	"	Cosca Mr	300
"	"	Lehman ER	305
"	"	Leadbetter Wa	307
"	"	Dee John	308
"	"	Alberts Pete	313
"	"	Norton W. E.	314
"	"	Galvao L.	315
"	"	Ralston JB.	316
"	"	Hill GJ	317
"	"	Aby Sing Aby Took	318
"	"	Forrest Wilson	319
"	"	Smith J	320

A

Alexander J. ex ux	vs. Welcomb E H	64
Arakalian H.	" Arakian H.	98
Albri Jno	" Ind Re Chas	179

B

Burtis R. W.	vs. Goldman B	12
Bullard Wm P.	" Pierce W et al	58
Bank of Selma	" Whitson J E et al	75
Burns Jas.	" McCray D L.	83
Bullard Wm P.	" Burns H A ex ux	99
Brown J H	" Simpson J N.	103
Burns Jos	" Silva J E.	111
Brown J H	" Bishop W C.	191
Beaman W H	" Farmers Bank &c	213
Burnside M	" Hall A A	216
Bettridge Wm	" Stadler Jas	237
Bradford Mrs	" McClelland J L	250
Baya J	" Birney J.	304
Bills C	" Howson	305

A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

C.

Crane as	Johnson		59
Crawford R	vs	Vanderburgh B D	60
Chase Q A.	"	Johnson J. G.	40
Chase Q A.	"	J E Degan Exrx	65
Chase Q A	"	Sutherland E J.	66
Clark A M.	"	Hoxie Jno C	89
Clark & McKenzie	"	Breed L. J	102
Clark A M	"	Tupper W D	108
Clark & McKenzie	"	L P Timmons et al	117
Clark & McKenzie	"	Fuller Geo W	143
Costa F. B.	"	Slayton J M.	156
Cavaller Adamo	"	Sutherland Ed	165
Cummings & Higgins	"	Cutler S S	169
Chase H B	"	Turner A D	176
Calliser F E.	"	Gardner R C	177
Chandler Jr	"	Humphreys et als	204
Chase H B.	"	Schell F G.	210
Conalds A B.	vs	Forsyth J.	226
Cowdrey Ja	vs	Swanson Jamie	262
Chase John	"	Vinzent E G.	269
Compert A.		Spangler Jno.	272
Cranmer A	"	Gilson Chas	296
Cranmer A	"	Berry	297
Christian C.	vs.	Johnston	309

D

Downing H W	vs	Meany A. J.	27
Doolittle J E.	"	Johnson J B	56
Davis G A.	"	Hogue S S.	57
Degan Wm	"	Murray R R	171
Dawson Wm	"	Wolles A.	217
Dias Antonio	"	Dias Jr	225

E

Erickson C.	vs Cook W H	42
Fong Lem	" Keshushyan S.	52
Elam J M.	" Peisonaa	278.

F

Fong Lem	vs Keshushyan S	37
Fiske J.D.	" Daniels & Rigg	95
Fiske J.D.	" LeBarge & Stair	132
Fiske J.D.	" Kumpf P.	154
Faikenburgt Krogh	" Stackhouse Bros	173
Fulton J W.	" Turner A D	253
Fiske J D	" Bradley J L.	270
Fiske J D	" Eshleman J E	271
Fiske J D	" Prussian Ins Co Nathorne	273

G

Gilbert N.D.	vs. Carthy C. Mac.		24.
Graham M.A.	" Bomgarden Mrs. et al		35
Graham Jas	" Nichols D.E. et al		69.
Graham Jas.	" Kennedy E.		116.
Grady W.D	" Jagger Mary A		137

H

Hansbead J.C	vs. Peck Chas.		61
Hill J.B.	" Trimmer Mrs J		77
Heath Wm	" Morgan J.D		118
Hopkins H.S. & G.	" Rowe Tom		173.
Hickman E.	" Brown, Mrs.		215

I

Impalahan G vs Digalano G, 264

L

Jackson S	vs Burnside WA	53
Jackson S.	" Simpson J N	104
Johnson W H	" Hicks Geo	162
Jackson S	" Nelson Lulu May	184
Johnson G. W.	" Ball E H	209
Johnson Alvesa	vs Hawes H C	239.

K

Kelley G.D.	vs Wicks G.W.	13
Kratzmann	" Stover, H.	189
Ralberg et al	" Markle, C.C.	207

L

Lounz Henry	vs. The C.P.R. Road	84
Levison	" Sair M	109

Miller Henry	vs. Grand E.	15
" "	" Pablo	16
" "	" Eastapatier F.	17
" "	" Boyer C	18
" "	" Mennier H	19
" "	" Bastiss J	20
Meade et al	" Wallers Toney	74
Miller Henry	" Brun John	76
McGowan J.	" Williams M.L.	88
Mimett E	" Harkins Wm	94
Miller Henry	" Inguiero Andrade	115
" "	" Lear Manuel	124
Moore Henry B	" Gray. Hamilton	129
McVey W.F.	" Johnson J.G.	130
Miller Henry	" Rogers.	
May J.	" Stover Jno	177
Mark S.M.	" Johnston J.G	243
Malcolmson W.L	" Cole G.W.	254
Mennet E.	" Masnigale J.	256
Martin & Ho.	" Johnston L.D	276

O

Oppenlander F.	vs.	Avakian S	55
Olsen chas	"	Hearn H.C.	203

P

Pearson G.W.	vs.	Brown J H	22
Prescott F K	"	Eastwood J S	73
Puici H Alex	"	Walker D	122
Pickle N. F.	"	Pusburg G.W.	166
Patterson M J.		Taylor C & W	178
Paugnes H	:	Sachs Henrighi	242
Pettigge S C.	"	Steiger H	243
Pickle N F.	"	McCune C E.	249
Peterson Wm	"	Terry D D Mr	252

Q

R

Rutherford Jas	vs Davis Caleb	7
Riggs W.T.	" Morgan J.D.	56
Rode Jas A	" Bullard Wm S	79
Robinson O.W.	" Shell J.G. et al	107
Rhodes J.G.	" Walker D.S.	112
Reese Levi & David	" Tuck Levi	133
Robinson J.M.	" Donahoo P.B.	139
Rhodes J.G.	" Rose R.A.	148.
~~———————~~	~~" Stitz D~~	
~~———————~~	~~" Kate Chas~~	
Rhodes J.G.	" Alford B.J.	159
Ruben R. & P.R.	" Caldwell G.E.	164
Rowe Wm	~~" Murray R.R.~~	171
Ridenour W.W.	" Carry Mumard	194
Rhodes J.G.	" Faber J.E.	188.
Rowe W.F.	" Story Son	229
Riggs W.T.	" Hale W.T.	232
Rhodes J.G.	" Bullard Wm S	234
Rhodes J.G.	" Raymond C.C.	282

O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

S

Scott B.J.	vs	Yancey M.V.	14
Scott B.J.	"	Cochran E.L. et al	45
Sanford M.L.	"	Sanford A.L.	54
Schuber Jack	"	Garner J.C.	59
Scott B.J.	"	L.D.M. Gray	82
Scott J.W.	"	Alford B.J.	100
Scott B.J.	"	Burnett C.C.	106
Shepherd W.A.	"	Eastwood J.S.	115
Story W.M.	"	Rowe W.T. et al.	120
Shepherd W.A.	"	Griffith W.G.	140
Davis M.	"	Carlisle & Bozeman	175
Schweizer C.A.	"	Anderkenau Jno	183
Scott B.J.	"	Granger Fiela	214
Scott J.W.	"	Bank of California et al	238
Sing Sam Sing	"	Jett Sang	248
Sing Sam Sing	"	Sing ah.	261
Sprouge F.M.	vs	Thuman S.J.	267
Snow H.E.	"	Walsh John	268
Scott B.J.	"	Snow & Son	301
Sponogle F.M.	"	Grimstead	311
Sponogle F.M.		Reeder Jacob	312

T

The Pacific Mutual Life Ins Co	vs	Brisco L.J.	101
Terri Chas	vs	Wollers A.A.	127
The 1st Nat Bank	"	Bullard W.P. et al	130
" Bank of Visalia	"	Carruthers W.A.	130
" South Britch F. & M. Ins Co	"	O.H. Rensburg	167
" " " " " " "	"	Rensburg	168
" Tuges & Co	vs	Thomas S.L.	205
" The Grady Opera H. Co	vs	Hitchcock	257
" " " " " "	"		266
" Thomas G.W.	"	Appling et al	280
" The Grady Opera	=	Schumacher F.G.	302
Towne [illegible]	vs	Bell S.J.	310

U

Upton E.V. vs	Robertson Wm	199	
Utterback R.V. "	Sprouse L.T.	285	

V

Valley Lumber Co	vs. Deegan J.E. et ux	67
Valley Lumber Co	" Silvers H.W.	66
Vannees S.L.	" Carlisle Saml. et al	129

W

Winter George	vs.	Bedford J. S.	11
Wood W. A.	"	Pero H	23
Webb Jas. R.	"	Trimmer M. W.	96
Wickson G. G.	"	Burks et al.	121
Wallace M	=	Owens G W.	198
Winter G	"	Johnston J. G.	193
Wolfes. A.	"	Johnson J. G.	208
Williamson S	"	West H a	211
Winter G	vs	McCumber E	227
Weakley W J	"	Kearney McThea	241
West C. C.	"	Grady W D	281
Wildenburg S.	vs	Johnston J G.	303

X

Y

Yancey J. G.	vs.	Nelson Miss L	138
Yancey J. G.	"	Rogers E et ux	179
" "	"	W D Grady et ux	180
" "	"	Storr Frank	187
" "	"	Goss H D	192
Yparraguirre Peter		Miller Henry	219
Yparraguirre "		Miller Henry	220
Yancey J. G.	"	Grady W D	236

Z

No. 1

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Gee Young alias John Doe — Defendant

Action Murder

Chas Baker

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

188 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Nov	27	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable & D Bartlett Dep't
"	"	Warrant returned & filed & defendant brought into court and is duly informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises & is committed to the custody of Sheriff of said Fresno County pending his examination which is fixed for Monday December 2nd 1889 at 2 o'clock P M.
Dec	7	Action dismissed by District Attorneys order

John Welch J P

2
9

No. 2

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California. Plaintiff

vs.

Harry Wuesney Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

J G Wofford

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1889 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Dec 2	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable & J G Wofford Dep't
" "	Warrant returned and filed and defendant brought into court, and on being informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises pleads guilty. Fine fixed in the sum of $7.50 which defendant pays and he now is discharged

Amos Wolcott J P

No. 3

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Gee Yaung, Defendant

Action Murder

F F Bartlett

Demand $

Jue Sing Interpreter

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1869 Dec 2	Complaint filed & Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant is ready for examination which proceeds. Ed Risley is appointed short hand reporter at request of District Attorney Witnesses D. Vincent, Charles Baker. G L Moore. F F Bartlett, Chue Sing Kann Song Pong. Ah Yow. Chue Pong. Chue Sit & Long Sen sworn and testify on behalf of Plaintiff & defendant declines to make a statement whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that defendant be and he is hereby held to answer before the Superior Court of said Fresno County on said charge of Murder as set forth in the said Complaint. without bail

John Walcott J P

4

No. 4

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

S. Saryan — Defendant

Action Perjury

J D Morgan

Demand $

Church & Coy & H H Wells
Attorney for Plaintiff

House & Short
Attorney for Defendant

1889 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Dec	3	Complaint filed and Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable by J Goodfellow Dep
"	4	Warrant returned & filed & defendant brought into court & informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Bail fixed in the sum of $1000 which defendant gives with Ed Faure and John F. Mahoney as Sureties
"	"	Examination fixed for December 16th 1889 at 2 o'clock P M and Subpoena issued
"	27	Examination continued to Wednesday January 8th 1890 at 2 o'clock P M & notice given to parties
1890 Jan	3	Subpoena issued
"	8	Action dismissed on motion of District Atty and Plaintiffs Atty and Bail exonerated

Alvin Wolcott J P

No. 5

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

W. J. Ford — Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

J J Bartlett

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

A Landon.

Attorney for Defendant

1889 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Dec	5	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable & J J Bartlett Dep't
"	"	Warrant returned & filed & defendant brought into court & on being informed of the charge against him & of his rights in the premises pleads not guilty. Trial fixed for Monday December 9th 1889 at 10 o'clock am Bail fixed in the sum of fifty ($50) dollars
"	9	10 o'clock am. Case continued till Monday December 9th 1889 at 3 o'clock pm
"	9	3 o'clock P M Case continued by consent till Monday December 16th 1889 at 9 o'clock am.
"	16	9 o'clock am. Case continued by consent till Saturday December 21st 1889 at 2 o'clock P.M.

6
7

No. 6

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Jacob Knessy — Defendant

Action Indictment upon oath of person

J G Wofford

Demand $

Attorney ____ for Plaintiff

Attorney ____ for Defendant

1889 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Dec	7	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable & J G Wofford Dep't
"	"	Warrant returned & filed & defendant brought into Court & on being informed of the charge against him & of his rights in the premises plead guilty Time for rendering of judgment is duly waived by defendant & he is sentenced to pay a fine of $7 or be imprisoned in the County jail of said Fresno County from this date in the proportion of one day for each dollar of said fine until said fine be satisfied and is committed to the custody of the Sheriff of said Fresno County
"	"	Almon Nyle Comstock J P

Dec 30 1889 Ordered by order of Board of Trustees

No. 7

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Rutherford, Plaintiff
vs.
Caleb Davis, Defendant

Action Balance due on account for work & labor

Demand $66 12/100

Webb & Strother
Attorney for Plaintiff

Holmes & Darley
Attorneys for Defendant

1889 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Dec	7	Complaint filed & Summons issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable & J Bartlett Dep
"	7	Summons returned & filed showing personal service on said defendant by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the said 3rd Township, on December 7th 1889
"	11	Notice of demand for items of account, in writing filed by Holmes and Darley attys for Plaintiff
"	12	Demurrer to complaint, in writing filed by Holmes & Darley attys for Defendant
"	14	Hearing of Demurrer fixed for December 17th 1889 at 3 o'clock P.M. and notice given to parties
"	17	Respective attys in court & demurrer submitted and overruled
"	"	Now comes defendant by his attys Messrs Holmes & Darley and enters general oral denial to all the allegations in plaintiffs complaint.
"	"	By consent of respective attys case fixed for trial for Thursday Dec 19th 1889 at 2 o'clock P.M.
"	19	3 o'clock P.M. The hour agreed upon for trial having expired and Defendant not having appeared in any way. and Plaintiff appearing by his attys Messrs Webb & Strother. Now on motion of said attys of Plaintiff that judgment be rendered and entered, it is ordered and adjudged that it be and the same is hereby rendered and entered in favor of Plaintiff James Rutherford and against the Defendant Caleb Davis for the sum of sixty six 12/100 Dollars. ($66 12/100) and costs according to the prayer of the complaint Constables costs $2 00/100 } Total amount of Judgment $72 87/100 Justices costs. $4 75/100 } Oliver Wolcott J. P.
"	30	Execution issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
1890 Dec 30 1889 Recalled by order of Plff atty in writ		
Feby	4	Received on above judgment the sum of $64 70/100 leaving $4 00 for feed of horses attached & released in full We hereby acknowledge satisfaction of within judgment. Webb & Strother

8
3

No. 8

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

C. H. Raymond — Defendant

Action Embezzlement W C Pang

Demand $

Attorney ____ for Plaintiff

Attorney ____ for Defendant

1889 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Dec	7	Complaint filed & Warrant of arrest issued

No. 9

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John Seabrun Defendant

Action Grand Larceny. J Burnett

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1889 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Dec	7	Complaint filed and Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J. D. Burdon Constable.
"	10	Warrant returned and filed defendant brought into court and is duly informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Bail fixed in the sum of $500 Bail filed for Tuesday December 17th 1889 at 10 o'clock am.
"	11	Subpoena Issued. Subpoena returned and filed.
"	12	Subpoena issued Witness 28½ Jenckes

10
3

No. 10

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

W. J. Ford — Defendant

Action Burglary
Mrs L J Bresee

Demand $

H H Welch
Attorney for Plaintiff

A Lawlor
Attorney for Defendant

1889 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Dec 7	Complaint filed and Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J. D. Morgan Constable & D D Bartlett Dep
" "	Warrant returned and filed defendant brought into court and is duly informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Bail fixed in the sum of $1000 in default of which defendant is committed to the custody of the sheriff of said Fresno County.
" 9	Examination fixed for Thursday December 13th 1889 at 10 o'clock am
" 13	10 o'clock am. Defendant and respective attys in court and examination proceeds. E W Risley appointed short hand reporter at request of District Attorney and witnesses L. J. Bresee, W. S. Newton, H Barman sworn and testify on behalf of Plaintiff and witness W J Ford sworn on Defendant's behalf and case argued and submitted whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that defendant be and he is hereby held to answer before the superior Court of the County of Fresno on said charge of Burglary as set forth in the complaint Bail fixed in the sum of $1000.00 in default of which defendant is committed to the custody of the Sheriff of said Fresno
" 16	It appearing that the property mentioned in said complaint to wit: a certain Singer Sewing machine is stolen property and the property of Mrs L J Bresee it is ordered and adjudged that the same be and it is delivered to her

Almer Welch J P

No. 11.

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

George Winder Plaintiff
vs.
J. S. Bedford Defendant

Action Balance due on account

Demand $37 55/100

Attorney for Plaintiff
Holmes & Haley
Attorneys for Defendant

1889 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Dec	10	Complaint filed and Summons and issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	11	Summons returned and filed, showing personal service on said defendant by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said 3d Township on December 11th 1889
"	16	Answer in writing filed by defendant claiming Judgt of $103 00 against Plff
May	6th	Case set for trial on May 12th (Monday) 1890 10 o'clock A M, and notice given.
"	9	Subpoena issued for defendant
"	12	10 o'clock A M, plaintiff not appearing, and defendant in court with his attorneys the trial proceeds and the following named witnesses are sworn and testify in behalf of defendant to wit J S Bedford, W H Lane and R L Hemsacker and case submitted, Whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that Plaintiff take Nothing in his behalf, and that Judgment be rendered and entered in favor of the defendant J S Bedford and against the Plaintiff George Winder for the sum of one hundred and three Dollars ($103) and the further sum of twenty two 70/100 Dollars ($22 70/100) costs according to the prayer of the defendants answer and Judgment is so rendered and entered
		Constable fees $ 2 00 / Justice " 7 50 / Witness fees 6 20 / Bill of costs filed / total amount of Judgment $125 70
		Oliver Walcott J. P.

12

No. 12

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The R W Burlis — Plaintiff

vs.

B. Goldman — Defendant

Action on account

Demand $250.00

E W Risley
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1889 Dec	14	Complaint filed and Summons issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	"	Affidavit and Undertaking for attachment filed and Writ of attachment issued & delivered to Const J D Morgan
1890 Jan	20	Summons returned & filed showing by the constables return that after diligent search he has been unable to find defendant and Writ of Attachment returned and filed

Jan 17 1890 Justices costs amounting to $5.00 paid
" " Constables costs amounting to 10.00 paid by E W Risley atty for Pltff

No. 13

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G D Kelley. Plaintiff

vs.

George W Wicks Defendant

Action Balance due on account

Demand $ 4 10/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1889 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Dec 14	Complaint. Affidavit and Undertaking for attachment filed and Summons and Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J Morgan Constable
" "	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on said Defendant by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said 3rd Township on December 14th 1889 and Writ of attachment returned and filed
Feby 6	Received satisfaction of above judgment G D Kelley Chas Wolcott J P

No. 14

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

B. D. Scott — Plaintiff

vs.

M. V. Yancy — Defendant

Action on account

Demand $ 9 40/100

Attorney ____ for Plaintiff

Attorney ____ for Defendant

1889 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Dec	14	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J. D. Morgan Constable
"	"	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on said defendant by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said 3rd Township on December 14th 1889
"	20	It appearing from the officer's return that defendant was personally served with attached copies of the complaint and Summons in said 3rd Township on December 14th 1889 and the time allowed by law for answering having expired and defendant not having appeared or answered in any way his default is hereby entered according to law
"		It appearing that Defendant was personally served with attached copies of the complaint and Summons in said 3rd Township on December 14th 1889 and the time allowed by law for answered having expired and defendant not having appeared or answered according to law and his default having been duly entered according to law
"		Now on this day on motion of B. D. Scott the Plaintiff herein that judgment be rendered and entered it is ordered and adjudged that judgment be and the same is hereby rendered and entered in favor of plaintiff B. D. Scott and against the defendant M. V. Yancy for the sum of nine 40/100 dollars ($9 40/100) and costs according to the prayer of the complaint Justices costs $4 00/100 } Total amount of judgment $15 20/100 Constables costs $1 80 } Amos Walcott J. P.
1890 Jan	13	Execution issued and delivered to J. D. Morgan Constable
Jan	21	Execution returned

Justice Docket by Amos Walcott J.P.

J. E. Smith 2 days 55 miles $15
Witnesses " " $7.50

No. 15

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

12.50
80

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Cont.

Henry Miller, Plaintiff

vs.

Emile Giran alias John Doe Giran, Defendant

Action (Damages) Trespass

Demand $ 299.00

Grady & Austin, Attorneys for Plaintiff

Goucher & Jones, Attorneys for Defendant

1889 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Dec	17	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J. G. Johnson Constable & J. W. Liddell
"	23	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on said defendant who gives his true name Jas E Giran by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the ——— Township said Fresno County on December 20 1889.
"	26	Answer in writing filed by Goucher & Jones attorneys for defendant
1890 Jan	7	Case fixed for trial for Wednesday January 22nd 1890 at 10 o'clock am. and notice given to parties
"	16	Subpoena issued on behalf of Plaintiff
"	22	Subpoena returned and filed
"	"	By consent of Respective Attys case continued till January 23rd 1890 at 9:30 am
"	23	Subpoena issued Parties appear by their attys in court and trial proceeds. Subpoena returned and filed. P. Le Blanc sworn as interpreter. Witnesses Jean Brun, Louis Bayer, N Justy, Emile Giran, J W Lambert, C. E. Topper, Hippolite Monnier, J. E Smith and S. H. Martin sworn and testify on behalf of Plaintiff and case argued and submitted whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that judgment be and it is hereby rendered and entered in favor of Plaintiff Henry Miller and against the Defendant Emile Giran for the sum of two hundred Dollars ($200) and costs

Witnesses
J. G. Smith 2 days 5.5 miles $15
J. W. Lambert " " 5.9 " $12.00
S. H. Martin " " 4.5 " $13
C. E. Topper " " 4.5 " $13
W. Dean " " " "

Interpreters fees $3.00
Constables costs $32.10/100
Justices costs $7.75/100
Witness fees $69.20/100
Reporters fee $2.00

Total amount of judgment $314.05/100

Oliver Wolcott J P

" 24 Memoranda of Costs filed by Grady & Austin attys for Plaintiff

" 27 Above judgment satisfied in full.

Grady & Austin
attys for plff

16

No. 16

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Henry Miller — Plaintiff

vs.

Pablo Yparraguirre alias John Doe Pablo — Defendant

Action Trespass

Demand $ 299

Grady & Austin — Attorneys for Plaintiff

Goucher & Jones and S R Hart — Attorneys for Defendant

1889 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Dec	17	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J. G. Johnson Constable J. J. White dep.
1890 Jan	9	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on said defendant who gives his true name as Pablo Yparraguirra by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said Fresno County on December 31st 1889
"	6	Now comes Defendant and files demurrer in writing to Plaintiffs complaint
"	20	Parties appear by their attys and demurrer submitted and overruled and deft files general oral denial to each & every allegation in Plffs complaint
"	22	Answer in writing filed by S R Hart atty for Deft Case set for Jan 27 1890 at 1.30 o'clock P.M. Subpoena returned and filed
"	27	1.30 o'clock P.M. Parties appear by their atty and trial proceeds. Pablo Yparraguirra sworn as interpreter Witnesses J. W. Lambom, F. D. Vanderlip, and J. E. Smith sworn and testify on behalf of Plaintiff and case submitted whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that judgment be and it is hereby rendered and entered in favor of Plaintiff Henry Miller and against Defendant Pablo Yparraguirre for the sum of one hundred and seventy five Dollars ($175) and costs

Witnesses
J. E. Smith 2 days 55 miles $13.80
J. W. Lambert " " 50 " $13.00
F. D. Vanderlip " " 45 " $10.40
C. E. Topper " " 45 " $11.25
W. N. Kerr " " " $12.00
F. D. Vanderlip " " 1 " 2.20

Interpreters fees 3.00
Constables costs $ 00 80/100
Justices costs $ 5 00/100
Witness fees $ 61 40/100
Total amount of Judgment $ 245 20/100

Oliver Wolcott, J.P.

"	23	Notice of appeal filed by S R Hart atty for Deft
"	24	Memoranda of costs filed by Grady & Austin Attys for Plaintiff
"	"	Undertaking on appeal filed by S. R. Hart atty for Defr
"	25	Justice's costs amounting to $8. and papers transmitted to the Clerk of the Superior Court.
"		Received $ and Justice's costs of Appeal

Edward 2 days 55 miles $15.00
J. Lambert " " 50 " $15.00

17.

No. 17

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Henry Miller, Plaintiff
vs.
F Espitalier alias John Doe Askalier, Defendant

Action Trespass

Demand $ 299 00/100

Grady & Austin, Attorneys for Plaintiff

Goucher & Jones, Attorneys for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1889 Dec	17	Complaint filed & Summons issued and delivered to J Horgan Constable (J J White Dep)
"	23	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on said Defendant who gives his true name as F. Eastapalier by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said — Township said Fresno County on December 20. 1889
	26	Answer in writing filed by Goucher & Jones atty for Defendant
1890 Jan	7	Case fixed for trial for Wednesday January 22nd 1890 at 10 30 o'clock am & notice given to Parties
"	16	Subpoena issued on behalf of Plaintiff
"	22	Subpoena returned & filed
"	"	By consent of respective attys case continued till Jan 23. 1890 at 10 o'clock am
"	23	By consent of respective attys case continued till Jan 23 1890 at 2 o'clock P.M. (By consent Complaint amended) 2 o'clock P M Parties appear by their attys and trial proceeds, P. Le Blanc sworn as interpreter & witnesses Frank Espitalier, J. W. Lambert, C E Taylor & L A Mastin sworn and testify on behalf of Plaintiff & Exhibits filed & case submitted whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that judgment be and it is hereby rendered and entered in favor of Plaintiff Henry Miller & against the Defendant F Estipalier for the sum of two hundred and fifty Dollars ($250) & costs

Witnesses Fees: Plaintiff 2 days 55 miles $15 50; Goucher " 59 " $15 50; L A Mastin " 42 " $12 40; C E Taylor " 45 " $13 —; W M Clar " " " $13 —

Reporters Fees $2 50
Constables costs $36 50
Justices costs $16 25/100
Witness fees $69 20/100
Interpreters Fees $3 —
Total amount of judgment $367 05/100

Oliver Wolcott J P

"	24	Memoranda of Costs filed by Grady & Austin Attys for Plaintiff
"	27	Above judgment satisfied in full.

Grady & Austin
Attys for Plff

18

No. 18

15.50

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Henry Miller, Plaintiff
vs.
C. Boyer alias John Doe Bayer, Defendant

Action Trespass

Demand $ 299.00

Grady & Austin
Attorney for Plaintiff

Gaucher & Jones
Attorney for Defendant

1889 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Dec	17	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J Johnson Constable & JJ White Dep't
"	23	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on said defendant who gives his true name as C Boyer by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the Township said Fresno County on December 20. 1889
"	26	Answer in writing filed by Gaucher & Jones atty for Defendant
1890 Jan	7	Case fixed for trial for Wednesday January 22nd 1890 at 11 o'clock am and notice given to parties
"	16	Subpoena issued on behalf of Plaintiff
"	22	Subpoena returned and filed
"		Case continued by consent till Jan 23rd 1890 at 10-30 o'clock am
"	23	Case continued by consent till Jan 23 1890 at 2-30 o'clock P.M.
"		2-30 o'clock P.M. Parties appear by their atty and trial proceeds. by consent complaint amended
		P. Le Blanc sworn as interpreter. Witnesses Jean Brun. J.L. Lambert, and C.E. Topper sworn and testify on Behalf of Plff
		Case submitted. whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that judgment be and it is hereby rendered and entered in favor of Plaintiff Henry Miller and against the defendant C. Boyer for the sum of two hundred & eighty dollars ($280)
		Costs and Disbursements as follows:
		Taxable costs $92.70/100
		Justice's costs $5.50/100
		Witness fees $69.20/100
		Total amount of judgment $394.80/100
		Oliver Wolcott J.P.
"	24	Memorandum of Costs filed by Grady & Austin attys for Plff
"	27	Above judgment satisfied in full.
		Grady & Austin
		Attys for Plff

Witnesses for Plff
J.E. Smith 2 days 55 miles $15.-
J.W. Lambert " 59 " $15.80
S.H. Martin " 42 " $12.40
C.E. Topper " 45 " $13.-
W.N. Beat " " " $13

J.E. Smith 2 days 55 miles $15.
J.W. Lambert " 59 " $15.80

1850

No. 19

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Henry Miller — Plaintiff

vs.

H. Moynier alias John Doe Minnier — Defendant

Action Trespass

Demand $299 00/100

Grady & Austin — Attorneys for Plaintiff

Goucher & Jones — Attorneys for Defendant

1889 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Dec	17	Complaint filed & Summons issued & delivered to J. G. Johnston Constable & J. White Dep't
"	20	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on said Defendant who gives his true name as H Mennier by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the Township said Fresno County on December 20th 1889
	26	Answer in writing filed by Goucher & Jones attys for Defendant
1890 Jan	7	Case filed for trial for Wednesday January 22nd 1890 at 11:30 o'clock am & notice given to parties
"	16	Subpoena issued on behalf of Plaintiff
"	22	Subpoena returned and filed
"	"	Case continued by consent of attys till Jan 23rd 1890 at 11 o'clock am
"	23	Case continued by consent till Jan 23 1890 at 3 o'clock P.M.
"	23	3 o'clock P.M. Parties appear by their atty and trial proceeds. P. Le Blanc sworn as interpreter and Witnesses H Moynier, J. E. Smith, F. D Vanderlip & J. W. Lambert sworn and testify on behalf of Plaintiff and Case submitted whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that judgment be and it is hereby rendered and entered in favor of Plaintiff Henry Miller and against the Defendant H Moynier for the sum of two hundred and fifty Dollars ($250) & costs (by consent complaint amended)

Witnesses: J. E. Smith 2 days 55 miles $15.50; J. W. Lambert " 59 " $15.80; L. H. Marston " 42 " $12.40; C. E. Jepson " 45 " $13.—; W. N. Clark " 45 " $13.—; F. D. Vanderlip 1 " 1 " $3.20

Reporters fees $2.00/100
Constables costs $38.00/100
Justices costs $5.75/100
Witness fees $71.40/100
Interpreters fees
Total amount of judgment $300.25/100

Chas. H. Colcott J.P.

"	24	Memoranda of Costs filed by Grady & Austin Atty for Plaintiff
"	27	Above judgment docketed in full

Grady & Austin
Attys for Pltff

20

No. 20

15.50

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Henry Miller — Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Bozzet
John Doe Baston — Defendant

Action Trespass

Demand $ 299 00/100

Grady & Austin — Attorney for Plaintiff

Goucher & Jones — Attorney for Defendant

1889 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Dec	17	Complaint filed & Summons issued & delivered to J. G. Johnston Constable / J. White Dep't
"	23	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on said defendant John who gives his true name as J Bozzet by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the Township said Fresno County on December 20th 1889
"	26	Answer in writing filed by Goucher & Jones attys for Defendant
1890 Jan	7	Case fixed for trial Wednesday January 22nd 1890 at 1-30 o'clock pm and noted given parties
"	16	Subpoena issued on behalf of Plaintiff
"	22	Subpoena returned & filed
"	"	Case continued by consent of attys till Jan 23rd 1890 at 11:30 o'clock am
"	23	Case continued by consent till January 23 1890 at 4 o'clock P.M.
"	"	4 o'clock P.M. Parties appear by their attys and trial proceeds by consent complaint amended
		Pl'f Blanc sworn & interpreter and Witnesses in duty. Jean Brun & L. Lambert & W. M. Peat sworn and testify on behalf of Plaintiff and case submitted whereupon it is ordered & adjudged that judgment be and it is hereby rendered & entered in favor of Plaintiff Henry Miller and against the Defendant Joseph Bozzet for the sum of two hundred Dollars ($200) and costs —
		Reporters fees / Constables costs $33 70/100 / Justices costs $5 75/100 / Witness fees $69 20/100 } Total amount of Judgment $312 05/100
		Oliver Walcott J.P.
"	24	Memoranda of Costs filed by Grady and Austin attys for Pl'ff
"	27	Above judgment & cause filed in Fee

Witness
L. G. Smith 2 days 55 miles $15 80/100
J. W. Lambert " " $15 80/100
J. M. Martin " " $12 40/100
S. G. Topper " " $13
W. M. Peat " " $13

No. 21

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Dan Maloney — Defendant

Action Vagrancy. Wm A Labbitter

Demand $

E D Bernhard & E Van Meter & W D Tupper — Attorney for Plaintiff

R B Terry — Attorney for Defendant

1889 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Dec	18	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable & D F Bartlett Dep
"	"	Warrant returned and filed and defendant brought into court and is duly informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Bail fixed in the sum of $500 which defendant gives with Jay Scott & Wm Hoppkins as sureties
"	29	Case fixed for trial for Monday January 7th 1889 at 10 o'clock am
Jan	6	Jury demanded by Defendant and Venire issued
"	7	By consent case continued till Thursday January 9th 1890 at 2 o'clock P.M. Venire returned and filed
"	8	Venire issued
"	9	Case continued by consent till Thursday January 16 1890 at 10 o'clock am by consent of Dist Atty and on motion of Defendants atty
"	15	Venire issued
"	16	Venire and Subpoena returned and filed. Defts in court and trial proceeds E J Bernhard & E S Van Meter appearing for the People & R B Terry for Defendant. Motion signed by E J Bernhard Dep Dist Atty that jury be discharged filed & the same is overruled & Dists attys withdraw from the case. Defendant the following jurors sworn to try the case. J G Lowry, B F Nelson, S Hammond A S Wilkins, D Scott, Martin Lober, E Gunther, W H Harris, J McQuaile, W Martin E Henderson and J B Roder. Witness Wm A Labbetter sworn and testify on behalf of Plaintiff & case submitted to the jury which render the following verdict. We the jury find the defendant not guilty and he is discharged & jury dismissed and bail exonerated

Orrin Wolcott J P

22

No. 27

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G.W. Pearson. Plaintiff

vs.

J.H. Brown. Defendant

Action. Balance due

Demand $ 160

R.P. Davidson
Attorney for Plaintiff

Terry Dixon & Lynch
Attorney for Defendant

1889 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Dec	18	Complaint. Affidavit and Undertaking on Attachment filed & Summons and Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J.D. Morgan Constable
"	24	Now comes defendant by his attys messrs. Dixon Terry & Lynch & enter general denial to each & every allegation in plaintiffs complaint
"	28	Case set for trial for Tuesday December 31st 1889 at 10 o'clock am & order given to police. Subpoena issued in Plaintiffs behalf
"	31	10 o'clock am Respective attys in court and by consent case continued till Saturday January 4th 1890 at 10 o'clock am
1890 Jan	3	12 o'clock m. Respective attys in court & by consent of respective attys Answer is withdrawn & Judgment rendered and entered as follows to wit: in favor of Plaintiff George W Pearson the ~~Plaintiff~~ & against the Defendant J H Brown for the sum of one hundred and sixty dollars ($160) & Constables & Justices costs and twelve 50/100 Dollars ($12 50/100) as witness fees all according to the agreement of said Attorneys
		Justices costs $7 50/100 Total amount of Judgment $192 70/100
		Constables costs $12 70/100 Alvin Wilcox J.P.
"	"	By consent of respective attys stay of proceedings for 6 days granted
"	7	Above judgment satisfied in full to wit in the sum of $196 70/100

Received full satisfaction and payment of the above judgment

R.P. Davidson
Atty for Plaintiff

Alvin Wilcox 1 day 5 miles $3 50/100
20 days

No. 73

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. A. Wood. Plaintiff

vs.

H. Berg. Defendant

Action on assigned acct

Demand $ 175 00/100

E. W. Risley & P. P. Dowdson Attorney for Plaintiff

Church & Cory and H. C. Tupper Attorney for Defendant

1889 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Dec	18	Complaint filed and Summons issued
"	23	Demurrer in writing filed by H. C. Tupper atty for Defendant
"	26	Hearing of demurrer fixed for Tuesday Dec 31st 1889 at 9-30 o'clock A. M. and notice given to parties
"	31	Demurrer in writing filed by H. C. Tupper atty for Defendant demurrer hereby overruled, and by consent trial fixed for January 10th 1890. 10 a.m.
1890 Jany	10	Parties and their attys in court and trial proceeds: Witnesses Chas Teague and Owen Holmes sworn and testify on behalf of Plaintiffs and Defendant moves for a non suit and the motion is overruled. Witnesses Case continued till January 11 '90 at 1:30 P.M.
"	11	1:30 o'clock P.M. Parties and attys in court and trial proceeds. Witnesses A. S. Beardsley, Oliver Wilcox, H. C. Tupper sworn and testify on behalf of Defendant. Case argued and submitted whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that plaintiff take nothing by his said action and that Defendant have and recover of said from the Plaintiff his costs

Justices Costs $8 00/100
Constables costs
Witness fees. $8 20/100

} Total amount of judgment $

Oliver Wilcox J. P.

Oliver Wilcox 1 day 5 miles $3 50/100
H. Berches 1 " " 1 00/100 with 20 depositions
A. S. Beardsley 1 " " 2 50/100 with exception

24

No. 24

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

N. D Gilbert — Plaintiff

vs.

C. Mac Carthy — Defendant

Action on account

Demand $ 191 95/100

Grady & Austin
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1889 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Dec	18	Complaint. Affidavit and Undertaking for Attachment
1890		filed and Summons and Writ of Attachment issued and
Jan	8	Writ of Attachment returned and filed
"	14	Summons returned issued and filed Showing personal
		service on the defendant C Mac Carthy by the officer
		on the 13th day of January 1890 in said 3d town-
		ship county of Fresno by delivering to him a true
		copy thereof and a copy of the complaint attached
		thereto
"	21	It appearing from the return of the officer indorsed
		on the original Summons that a true copy thereof
		and a copy of the complaint attached thereto was
		personally served on the defendant by delivering the
		same to him in said 3d Township County of Fresno
		on the 13th day of January 1890 and the defendant
		not answering nor appearing in any way in the
		action and the legal time to answer to wit 5 days
		having elapsed his default is hereby entered
		and on motion of Messrs Grady & Austin the
		plaintiffs attorneys judgment is hereby rendered
		and entered in favor of the plaintiff N D Gilbert
		and against the defendant C Mac Carthy for the
		sum of one hundred and ninety one 95/100 Dollars
		($191 95/100) and the further sum of Ten 50/100 Dollars ($10 50/100)
		costs of suit according to the prayer of the complaint
		Constable fees $2 00
		Justice " 8 50 } Total Judgt $202 45
		Chas Malcolm J P
1890		
Sept 2		3d Execution issued delivered to S D Morgan Constable
October	24	I W D Grady having received $200 45/100
		hereby receipt for Same
		W D Grady

Jan 24 1890 Case dismissed on motion of Plaintiff's Attorney and Execution returned satisfied

Credit on Judgt $200 00

No. 25

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

A H Condra — Defendant

Action Battery G W Lockhart

Demand $

E N Bernhard D. H Short — Attorneys for Plaintiff

D McClaton and J L Rhodes — Attorneys for Defendant

1889 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Dec	18	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable by F H Baillet Dep
"	19	Warrant returned and filed and defendant brought into court and on being informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises pleads not guilty. Bail fixed in the sum of two hundred dollars ($200) which defendant gives with R Rowe and W A Shepherd as sureties Trial fixed for December 27th 1889 at 10 o'clock am
"	21	Subpoena issued
"	27	10 o'clock am Case called for trial for January 3d 1889 at 10 o'clock am
Jan 1889	3	Case continued by consent till January 13th 1889 at 10 o'clock am & Subpoena issued
"	9	Jury demanded by Defendant Venire issued
"	13	10 o'clock am. Subpoena and Venire returned and filed E N Bernhard and D H Short appearing for Plaintiff and J L Rhodes appearing for Defendant By consent of respective attorneys expressed in open court the following jurors are sworn to try the case. S Hammond, B Clemther, J. M. Qualls. M B Thompson. Chas Schneider, C Cobb, G. V. Zallman, C Harris. B F. Nosker. Amos Elliott. Isaac Harris Witnesses G W Lockhard. F M Sponogle & John Bozin sworn and testify on behalf of Plaintiff and witnesses A H Condra and D McGinnis sworn and testify on behalf of Defendant & case argued and submitted to the Jury which retires in charge of the proper officer and after deliberation are returned into court and render the following verdict "We the jury find the defendant guilty C Harris Foreman" & the jury are polled and the verdict filed and the jury is dismissed & by consent time for rendering of judgment postponed till January 14th 1889 at 2 o'clock P M
"	14	2 o'clock P M Case for rendering of Judgment continued till Jan 15th 90 at 9 30 am.
"	15	9 30 am Parties & attys in court and Defendant ready for sentence Defendant moves for a new trial & the motion is overruled. Defendant fined in the sum of $50.00 & in case said fine be not paid that he be imprisoned in the County jail of said Fresno County in the proportion of 1 day for each dollar of said fine until said fine be satisfied (see over)

Conditional Pardon Page 26

No. 71

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe — Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Martin Morris

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1880 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Dec	19	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J.D. Morgan Constable & J.T. Boothill Dep.
1890		Continued from preceding Page Chas. Wolcott J.P.
Jan	15	Notice of appeal & appeal Bond filed by defendant
Feb	"	Statement in writing filed by D.M. Dalton Atty for Defendant
Oct	2nd	Order of Superior Court dismissing said appeal filed —
		Witnesses on appeal G Brannick R A Rose
	11	Fine hard to unit $50.00 Costs $10.25 Express charges (one hand) Judgment satisfied as per amendment charges and Costs remitted

Chas. Wolcott J.P.

Oct. 1st 1890 Mar. 15 1890 Jan.

Constable 16 45/100

27

No. 27

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. W. Downing — Plaintiff

vs.

A. J. Meany — Defendant

Action Balance due on account

Demand $228 00/100

Church & Cory
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney ..... for Defendant

1889 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Dec	19	Complaint filed and Affidavit and Undertaking on Attachment filed and Summons and Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J. D. Morgan Constable ~~J. H. Woodford Dep~~
1890 Jan	13	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on said defendant by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said 3rd Township on January 13, 1890 and Writ of Attachment returned and filed
"	20	It appearing from the officers return that Deft was personally served with attached copies of the complaint and Summons in said 3rd Township on January 13, 1890 and the time allowed by law for answering having expired and Deft not having appeared or answered in any way his default is hereby entered according to law
"	"	It appearing that Deft was personally served with attached copies of the complaint and Summons in said 3rd Township on January 13, 1890 and the time allowed by law for answering having expired and Deft not having appeared or answered in any way and his default having been duly entered according to law
"	"	Now on motion of Church & Cory attorneys for Plaintiff that judgment be rendered and entered it is ordered and adjudged that the same be and it is hereby rendered and entered in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of two hundred & twenty-eight 00/100 Dollars ($228) and costs according to the prayer of the complaint Justices costs $7 50/100 Total amount of Judgment $251 95/100 Constables costs $16 45/100 Elmer Walcott, J. P.
"	28	Execution issued and delivered to J. D. Morgan Constable
Feby	20	Above judgment satisfied in full to wit in the sum of $287 20/100 being $228 demand & $57 20 costs.
"	"	Church & Cory attys for Plaintiff

28

No. 28

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Leabrun. — Defendant

Action Embezzlement

J. H. Burnett

Demand $

H H Welch
Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo L. Hood
Attorney for Defendant

1889 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Dec 19	Complaint filed and Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
" "	Warrant returned and filed and defendant brought into court and on being informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises pleads not guilty Bail fixed in the sum of
" "	Trial fixed for Saturday December 28. 1889 at 3 o clock P.M.
" 24	Subpanas issued
" 28	3 o clock P.M. Parties attys in court and by consent case fixed for trial for Thursday January 2nd 1889 at 1 30/100 clock P.M.
1890	Subpoena issued
Jan 2	1 30/100 case continued by consent till Jan 3/90 at 4 o clock P.M.
" 2	4 o clock P.M. Parties and respective attys in court and examination proceeds. H H Welch appearing for the People and George L Hood for Defendant. E W Kelley appointed short hand reporter at request of district atty and Witnesses J H Burnett. Wm Curd Edwin Emmett Alfred Fizer and Sam Ralston sworn and testify on behalf of Plaintiff and case submitted whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that defendant be and he is hereby held to answer before the Superior Court of said Fresno County on said charge of Embezzlement as set forth in said Complaint and Bail fixed in the sum of $500- dollars in default of which defendant is committed to the custody of the Sheriff of said Fresno County.

Chas Wolcott J P

No. 29

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe & Richard Roe — Defendant

Action Search Warrant

Hazeltine

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

188 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Dec	19	Affidavit for Search Warrant filed and Search Warrant issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable & J F Bartlett Dep

No. 30

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

H. Shell. — Defendant

Action Petit Larceny.

D R Lehman

Demand $

H S Welch
Attorney for Plaintiff

P B Terry Thos. F Sumnott.
Attorney for Defendant

1889 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Dec	28	Complaint filed and Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J. D. Morgan Constable
"	"	Warrant returned and filed and defendant brought into court and on being informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises pleads not guilty & gives bail in the sum of one hundred dollars with F. M. Sponogle and Jay Scott as sureties Trial fixed for Saturday January 4th 1890 at 11 o'clock am
1890		
Jan	4	Case continued by consent till Saturday Jan 18/90 at 2 p.m.
"	18	2 p.m Case continued by consent till Friday Jan 24/90 at 2 p.m.
"	29	Jury demanded by defendant & Venire issued Case being continued till January 29. 1890 at 2 o'clock P.M.
"	"	2 P.M Parties and Attys in court H S Welch appearing for the People and Thos. F Sumnott for Defendant by consent the following jurors sworn to try the case D L Scott. H J McCane, E R Smith, C. Martha, B F Hosker, J Bancroft. R Utterback, A Epperson, W M Beall, J A Rose, C R McClanahan and R G. Canon Witnesses D R Lehman, John Drager. sworn and testify on Pltfs behalf and H Shell & C White on Defts behalf and case submitted to jury which retires in charge of the proper officer and after deliberation report that they are unable to agree on a verdict and are discharged by consent case fixed for February 7th 1890 at 2 o'clock P.M.
"	6	Jury demanded by Dfts & Venire issued
"	14	Venire returned and filed. Parties appear Attys and trial proceed, by consent of respective attys expressed in open court the following jurors sworn to try the case. W H Lee, R D Washburn, H A Smith, D P Barnett, Jas Ballard, J T Waldron, J Hegner, O D Ballinger and H Russell sworn to try the case. Witnesses D R Lehmann & Jno Drager sworn and testify on behalf of Plaintiff and witnesses H Shell, J A Pollard and Chas McManus sworn and testify on behalf of Dfts and case submitted to the jury which retires in charge of the proper officer and after deliberation are returned into court with the following verdict "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty" W N Lee foreman and the same is filed. whereupon defendant is discharged and bail exonerated

No. 31

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California : Plaintiff

vs.

D. Rose. Mrs D Rose & Mrs Rose. Defendant

Action Concealing property to defraud creditors. E E Powell

Demand $

Conklin & James Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1889 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Dec	13	Complaint filed and Warrant of Arrest issued
"	"	Action dismissed on motion of Plaintiff and costs paid

Chas Wolcott J P

32

No. 32

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

D. Rose. Mrs D Rose and Miss D Rose. — Defendants

Action for Search Warrant

G E Powell

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1889 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Dec 23	Affidavit for Search Warrant filed & Search Warrant issued & delivered to
" "	Warrant returned & action dismissed on motion of Plaintiff and costs paid

John Walcott J.P.

No. 33.

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California Plaintiff

vs.

Hans Nilson Defendant

Action Petit Larceny W L Malcolmson

Demand $

S. Jackson Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1889 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Dec 24	Complaint filed and Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable & 33 Panel Slips
" 24	Warrant returned and filed and defendant brought into court and on being informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises pleads not guilty and gives bail in the sum of $100 with M. Madsen and J Jensen as sureties Trial fixed for Monday December 30 1889 at 10 o'clock am
" 26	Subpoena issued and Jury demanded by Defendant
" 28	Venire issued
" 30	Subpoena and Venire returned and filed Action dismissed by Prosecuting witness & Atty for People.

Elmer Wolcott J. P.

34

No. 34

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

G. W. Lockhart — Defendant

Action Battery

Assault

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1889 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Dec	26	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J. D Morgan Constable & Telford Dep't
"	"	Warrant returned and filed and defendant brought into Court and on being informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises pleads not guilty and gives bail in the sum of $100 with Jacob Hepner and R. Cartwright as sureties Trial fixed for Friday December 27th 1889 at 2 o'clock P M
Dec	27	2 o'clock P M Case continued by consent till Jan 3 1890 at 2 o'clock P M
Jan 1890	3	2 o'clock P M Case continued by consent till January 13th 1889 at 2 o'clock P M & Subpoena issued
"	"	Action dismissed by district atty

Jno Wolcom J P

No. 35

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mrs. M. A. Graham, Plaintiff

vs.

M. Bongarden and E. J. Robinson Defendant

Action on promissory note.

Demand $100 Dist & 25 atty fee.

J. R. Wait
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1889 Dec 27	Complaint filed & Affidavit & Undertaking on Attachment filed and Simmons and Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J. D. Morgan Constable
1890 Jan 2	Action dismissed on motion of Plaintiff Receive full satisfaction the above demand including Atty fee & Costs & amounting to $158 00/100 Dated this 2nd day of January 1890. J. R. Wait Atty for Pltff John Uzzlcott J P

36

No. 36

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

D. Rose. — Defendant

Action fraud

E Stewart

Demand $

Gunn & Jones

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1889 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Dec 28	Complaint filed and Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
1890 July 7	Action dismissed on motion of Goucher & James attorneys for Plaintiff

Geo Wolcott J P

No. 37

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

W. W. Abbott — Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

J G Wafford

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1889 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Dec 28	Complaint filed and Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to D Morgan Constable by J G Wafford Dep
" "	Warrant returned and filed and defendant brought into court and on being informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises pleads not guilty and ~~gives bail in the sum of~~ is released on his own recognizance Trial fixed for Thursday January 2nd 1890 at 3 o'clock P M
" "	Action dismissed

Geo Wolcott Jr

38

No. 38

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Carrie Abbott — Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

J G Wofford

H W Welch
Attorney for Plaintiff

G G Thatcher
Attorney for Defendant

1889 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Dec	28	Complaint filed and Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J. D. Morgan Constable & J G Wofford Dep'y
"	"	Warrant returned and filed and defendant brought into Court & on being informed of the charge against her and of her rights in the premises pleads not guilty & is released on her own recognizance. Trial fixed for Thursday January 2nd 1890 at 2 o'clock P M
1890 Jan	3	Jury demanded by defendant and Venire issued Subpoena and Venire returned and filed. Defendant and respective attys in Court and by consent the following jurors sworn to try the case. J. C. Hewitt, J McRoe, Wm Harris J W Sloss S W Henry, A J Garrett Thos Wilkins, A Rosen, R C Gardner A J Hills, J Bauer & J. Elcock & Witnesses J G Wofford, Wilson Ireland, S Zener, L C Smith sworn and testify on behalf of Plaintiff & Defendant in her own behalf and case submitted to the jury which retires in charge of the proper officer and after deliberation is returned into Court with the following verdict "We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. A Rosen Foreman" & the same is filed whereupon Defendant is discharged

Elmer Wolcott J P

No. 39

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Patrick Matthews — Defendant

Action being a party to a fraudulent conveyance of goods. Ed Steward

Demand $

Goucher & Jones
Attorney for Plaintiff

A Lawton
Attorney for Defendant

1889 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Dec	28	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J.D. Morgan Constable & G W Offord Dep't
"	"	Warrant returned and filed and defendant brought into court & is duly informed of the charge against him & of his rights in the premises Bail fixed in the sum of $500 00
		Trial fixed for trial for January 2nd 1890 at 11 o'clock am
		Subpoena issued
"	30	Defendant gives bail with James Graham & G N Zahman as sureties & is released
1890		
Jan	13	Case continued by consent
"	29	Case (unless) fixed for trial till Friday January 31st 1890 at 2 o'clock P M
		Jury demanded by defendant. Notice given Pltff.
"	31	Case fixed for trial by consent till Monday Feb 3 1890 at 10 am
Feby	3	Action dismissed on motion of Plaintiffs attys on the ground that a certain material witness cannot be found

Chas Wolleyett J.P.

No. 40

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Q. A. Chase, as surviving partner of the firm of Kohler & Chase. Plaintiff

vs.

J. G. Johnson Defendant

Action Debt

Demand $105 00/100

Church & Coy Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1889 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Dec	28	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J. D. Morgan Constable & J. G. Wofford Dep't
"	30	Summons returned and filed Showing personal service on said Defendant by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said 3rd Township on December 28th 1889
1889 Jan	9	Now comes defendant J. G. Johnson and enters general demurrer to Plaintiffs complaint on the ground that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action
"	3	Hearing fixed for trial for Monday January 6th 1890 at 10 o'clock am and notice given to parties
"	6	Parties in court. demurrer overruled and deft enters general denial to each & every allegation in plaintiffs complaint
"	17	Case fixed for trial for Monday January 20th 1890 at 9-30 am and notice given parties
"	20	10-30 o'clock am. The hour fixed upon for trial having expired and Deft not having appeared in any way now on motion of Church & Coy attys for Plaintiff that judgment be rendered and entered it is ordered and adjudged that the same be and it is hereby rendered and entered in favor of Plaintiff and against the Defendant for the sum of one hundred & five 00/100 Dollars ($105 00/100) and costs according to the prayer of the complaint. Constables costs $2 00/100 Justices costs $4 50/100 } Total amount of judgment $111 50/100 Oliver Wood J.P.
"	20	Execution issued and delivered to J. D. Morgan Constable
April	21	Return returned no property found

No. 41

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Garrison — Defendant

Action 1076 Douglas, C. W.

J. J. C. Douglas

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1887 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Dec 30	Complaint filed and Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J. D. Morgan Constable & J. F. Bartlett Dep't

42

No. 42

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. Erickson
Plaintiff

vs.

William H. Cook
Defendant

Action on promissory note

Demand $88 75/100

Church & Cory
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1889 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Dec	30	Complaint Filed

No. 43

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

D Rose — Defendant

Action criminal

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1879 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Dec	30	Affidavit for Search Warrant filed and Search Warrant issued Search Warrant returned and filed nothing found John Wolcott

44

No. 44

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Irving STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

E Roe and Rachael Cone — Defendants

Action fraudulently concealing property

Demand $

Goucher & James
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney ..... for Defendant

1889 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Dec 31	Complaint filed and Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable by J G Wofford Dep;
" "	Defendant Rachael Cone in court and is duly informed of the charge against her and of her rights in the premises and fixes bail in the sum of $300 with E Sanburn and J Cone as sureties
1890 Jan 8	Warrant returned and filed and defendant E Roe in court and is duly informed of the charge against her and of her rights in the premises and fixes bail in the sum of three hundred dollars with W Bennett and Alex Noble as sureties
Feby 6	Action dismissed against Defendants E Roe and Rachael Cone on motion of Goucher & James attys for Plaintiff & Defendants discharged and bail exonerated

J D

No. 45

In the Justice's Court of the Thid Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

P. J. Scott. Plaintiff

vs.

E. Cochran and Cochran Defendants

Action on account for goods sold and delivered

Demand $ 39 10/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1900 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Dec 31	Complaint filed and Affidavit and Undertaking for Attachment filed & Summons and Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan.
June 18	dismissed by order of plaintiff without prejudice

Olmer Wolcott J P

J D Morgan Constable

46

No. 36.

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Plaintiff	Action Insanity
vs.	Demand $
Mrs Frank Mills Defendant	Attorney for Plaintiff
	Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	3	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable

No. 47

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California, Plaintiff

vs.

W R Bibby. Defendant

Action Forgery.

J H Brown

Demand $

Gev E Church & E H Bemhard
Attorneys for Plaintiff

R B Terry
Attorney for Defendant

189 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	3	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable & J G Wofford Dep't
"	"	Warrant returned and filed and defendant brought into court and is duly informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Bail fixed in the sum of $2500 which defendant gives with Edith Johnson and C S Johnson as sureties.
"	17	Examination fixed for Monday January 20th 1890 at 10 o'clock am.
"	18	Subpoena issued
"	20	10 o'clock am Parties in court and examination proceeds. Gev E. Church & E H Bemhard appearing for the People and R B Terry for Defendant. E D Kelly appointed short hand reporter at request of Dist Atty and Witnesses J G Wofford, J H Brown, E A Waldron, B A Hawkins and A C Williams sworn and testify on behalf of Plaintiff and case argued & submitted whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that Defendant be and he is held to answer before the Superior Court of said Fresno County on said charge of Forgery as set forth in said Complaint Bail fixed in the sum of $2500 in default of which Def't is committed to the custody of the Sheriff of said Fresno County.

Elmer Nelson J P

48

No. 48

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Francis. Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace
Wm Blackwelder

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	6	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable & D F Bartlett Dep
"	"	Warrant returned and filed and defendant brought into court & on being informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises pleads not guilty. Trial fixed for Thursday January 9th 1890 at 3 o'clock P M Bail fixed in the sum of $50
"	"	Case fixed for Thursday January 9 1890 at 3 o'clock P M
"	9	Subpoena issued.

No. 49

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

S. A. Crane. Plaintiff

vs.

O Johnson. Defendant

Action on promissory note

Demand $250 00/100 + $25 Attys Fees.

Geo. L. Hood
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Jan 6	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable.
" 9	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on said defendant by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said 3rd Township on January 9th 1890
13	action dismissed by leave of plaintiffs atty, the same being settled & costs paid

Chas Wolcott J P

No. 50

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. J. Riggs Plaintiff
vs.
John D Morgan Defendant

Action on Claim & Delivery

Demand $242 75/100 & $50 damages

E W Risley
Attorney for Plaintiff

D M Slaton
Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	7	Complaint filed & Summons issued
"	8	Summons returned and filed, & due service in writing admitted by defendant thereon this 7th day of January 1890
"	11	Defendant now answers (Oral) and denies all the allegations contained in plaintiffs complaint
"	13	Case filed for trial for Wednesday January 15th 1890 at 2 o'clock P M and notice given to parties
"	15	Case continued on motion of Defx & by consent of Plaintiff till Saturday January 18th 1890 at 10 o'clock P M
"	18	10 o'clock P.M. Case continued by consent till Wednesday January 22nd 1890 at 9 o'clock am. Subpoena issued on Defts behalf & returned & filed
"	22	9 o'clock a.m. Parties and Attys in court, and trial proceeds Witnesses W. J. Riggs & Chas Riggs sworn & testify on behalf of Plaintiff & Rufus Smith on behalf of Defendant 2 Exhibits filed and case argued & submitted. whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that judgment be and it is hereby rendered & entered in favor of Plaintiff W. D. Riggs & against the Defendant John D. Morgan for the sum of two hundred forty two 75/100 Dollars ($242 75/100) & interest amounting to (76¢) seventy six cents & costs Constables costs $1 20/100 Justices costs $5 75/100 Witness fees $6 60/" } Total amount of Judgment $256 50/100 Linen Wolcott J P
"	"	By consent stay of proceedings till Saturday January 25 1890 granted
"	25	Undertaking on appeal filed by Defendant
Feby	14	Execution issued

1890
August 20th Return of John D Morgan the defendant $242 75/100
E W Risley

Jan 22 W. J. Riggs Plaintiff obtained judgment against John D Morgan Defendant for $242 75/100 & costs
Writ Issued 3 days Jan 25th

No. 51

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Ellen Mitchell alias Pippin — Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace E J Robinson

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Jan 8	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable & G W Goff Dep't
9	Warrant returned and filed & Defendant brought into Court and on being informed of the charge against her and of her rights in the premises gives her true name as Ellen Mitchell and pleads guilty. Time for rendering of judgment is duly waived & defendant fined in the sum of $7.50 & is given till Monday January 13, 1890 to pay it in

John Wilcox J P

52

No. 52

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Lew Dong Plaintiff

vs.

S. Kishishyan Defendant

Action on claim & delivery of personal property.

Demand $ restitution of property & $15 & $15 damages

Lanchert & Jones
Attorneys for Plaintiff

S. Hughes
Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Jan 8	Complaint filed & Summons issued and delivered to J.D. Morgan Constable
" 9	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on said defendant by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said 3d Township on January 8 1890
" 10	Now comes defendant by his atty S. Hughes and enters general oral denial to each and every allegation in Plaintiffs complaint

No. 53

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

S. Jackson Plaintiff

vs.

W. A. Burnside Defendant

Action for services rendered

Demand $ 25 00/100

A R Hart
Attorney for Plaintiff

Goucher & Jones
Attorneys for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	9	Complaint Affidavit and Undertaking for attachment filed and Summons and Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable & F F Barker Dep't
"	14	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on said Deft by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said 3rd Township on January 14th 1890 and Writ of Attachment returned and filed
"	20	Now comes Deft by his atty Messrs Goucher & Jones and files Demurrer in writing to Plaintiffs complaint
"	23	Demurrer fixed for hearing for Saturday Jan 25th 1890 at 10 o'clock a.m. and notice given to parties.
"	25	11 o'clock a.m. The hour fixed for hearing of demurrer having expired Plaintiff his attorneys being in court and Defendant having been duly notified not appearing in any way now on motion of S.R. Hart atty for Plaintiff that demurrer be overruled the same is overruled
"	"	And now on motion of S R Hart atty for Plaintiff on this 25th day of January 1890 at 11 o clock am that judgment be rendered and entered it is ordered and adjudged that judgment be and the same is hereby rendered and entered in favor of Plaintiff S Jackson and against the Defendant W A Burnside for the sum of twenty five & 00/100 Dollars ($25 00/100) and costs according to the prayer of the complaint Constables costs $9 75/100 } Total amount of Judgment $42 75/100 Justices costs $ 8 00/100 } Oliver Woleott J. P.
"	"	4 o'clock P.M. Parties appear by their attys and by consent judgment opened and Deft given to and including January 27th 1890 to answer in
"	27	Answer in writing filed by Goucher & Jones atty for Defendant to parties
"	29	Case fixed for trial for Saturday February 1st 1890 at 10 am and notice given
"	31	Subpoena issued on Defts Behalf
Feby	1st	action & Constables costs not paid Oliver Woleott J P

54

No. 54

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mrs. M. J. Sanford Plaintiff

vs.

A. L. Sanford Defendant

Action for money loaned

Demand $ 35

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Jan 9	Complaint filed and Affidavit and Undertaking for Attachment filed and Summons and Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable & Deputy
" 10	Action dismissed on motion of Plaintiff and costs the same being settled in full
" "	Received full satisfaction of above demand

Mrs M. J. Sanford

By W. W. Sanford

Jan 21 1890 Return of Attachment executed

No. 55

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. Oppenlander & Geo Grauson Plaintiff

vs.

H. Avakian Defendant

Action for work and labor

Demand $ 20 78/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1890		PROCEEDINGS
January	11th	Complaint, affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed, and Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	13	Now comes defendant and enters general oral denial to each and every allegation in plaintiffs complaint
"	13	Case fixed for trial for Friday January 17th 1890 at 10 o'clock am and notice given to parties.
"	14	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on said Defts by delivering to him a copy of said Summons, attached to a copy of the complaint in said 3d Township on January 11th 1890 and Writ of Attachment returned and filed
"	16	Subpoena issued
"	17	10 o'clock am Parties in court and trial proceeds. Witnesses J Oppenlander & Geo Graham, Wm Britzer sworn and testify on behalf of Plff. F Fisher being sworn as interpreter & Avakian & Harakhan sworn and testify on behalf of Defendant and case submitted & time for rendering judgment continued till Monday Jan 20 1890 at 10 am
"	20	10 o'clock AM Parties in court whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that judgment be and it is hereby rendered and entered in favor of Plaintiff F. Oppenlander and against the Defendant H Avakian for the sum of $11 25/100 eleven 25/100 Dollars and costs Constables costs $10 75/100 Justices costs $8 25/100 Witness fees $6 60/100 Total amount of judgment $36 20/100 Olney Wolcott J P
"	"	Stay of proceedings granted till Saturday January 25th 1890 by consent
"	24	Above judgment satisfied in full and costs paid
"	"	Record full satisfaction of above Judgment. Fred Oppenlander

Jan 21 1890 Abstract of Avakian this case sent to Wm Bulger & Co Fresno Cal
Wm Bulger & Co
Fresno Cal

56

No. 56

In the Justice's Court of the third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J E Doolittle — Plaintiff

vs.

J B. Johnson — Defendant

Action on promissory note

Demand $128 40/100 dollars

S. P. Hart
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1890	PROCEEDINGS
January 11	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable.
" 13	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on said defendant by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said 3rd Township on January 13th [illegible]
" 21	It appearing from the officers return that Defdt was personally served with a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said 3rd Township on January 13th 1890 and the time allowed by law for answering having expired and defdt not having appeared or answered in any way his default is hereby entered according to law.
" 21	It appearing that Defdt was personally served with attached copies of the complaint and Summons in said 3rd Township on January 13th 1890 and the legal time for answering having expired and defendant not having appeared or answered in any way and his default having been duly entered according to law.
" "	Now on motion of S. P. Hart atty for Plaintiff that judgment be rendered and entered it is ordered and adjudged that the same be and it is hereby rendered and entered in favor of Plaintiff J E Doolittle and against the Defendant J B. Johnson for the sum of one hundred twenty eight & 40/100 Dollars. Principal and six & 67/100 Dollars (6 67/100) and costs according to the prayer of the complaint Constables costs $1 80/100 } Total amount of Judgment $141 37/100 Justices costs $4 50/100 } 6 30 Amir Wolcott J. P.
" 21	Execution issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
" 23	Execution returned not satisfied

No. 57

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

George A Davis Plaintiff

vs.

S L Hogue Defendant

Action on Promissory Note

Demand $182 55/100 + $7 30/100 int + $50 attys fee.

S Jackson Attorney for Plaintiff

Lynch & D M Seaton Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	13	Complaint filed & Affidavit & Undertaking on Attachment filed and Summons and Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable / J C Wofford Dep
"	14	Summons returned & filed showing personal service on said Deft by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the Complaint in said 3rd Township on January 14th 1890 & Writ of attachment returned and filed
"	18	Demurrer in writing filed by D M Sealon attys for Defendant
"	"	Hearing of demurrer fixed for Tuesday January 21st 1890 at 10 o'clock am & notice given to parties
"	21	10 o'clock am. Parties appear by their attys & by consent demurrer overruled & Deft given till January 22nd 1890 at 10 o'clock am to answer
"	22	Answer in writing filed by D M Sealon atty for Defendant
March	7	Case fixed for trial for Wednesday March 12 1890 at 2 pm & notice given parties
"	11	Subpoena issued on behalf of Plaintiff
"	12	2 P.M. Parties in court and trial proceeds. S Jackson appearing for Plaintiff and Lynch & Sealon for Deft Geo Adams sworn and testifies on Plaintiffs behalf and S R Hart, H Zaeslin and A H Hadley on Pltffs behalf and 2 exhibits filed and case continued by consent till Thursday Mch 13/90 at 10 am
"	13	10 m. Parties appear by their attys & stipulate that Defendant have five day from this date to file brief in & that Plff have five days from the day of filing of Defendants brief to file brief in reply in
"	25	The time agreed upon for filing of brief by Defendant having expired & no brief having been filed by him now in this day on motion of Plaintiff by his atty S Jackson that Judgment be rendered & entered (the original note having been filed) it is ordered & adjudged that Judgment be and it is hereby rendered & entered in favor of Plaintiff George Adams and against the Defendant S L Hogue for the sum of two hundred seventeen 03/100 Dollars ($217.03) being (Principal $182.55, Interest $9.48, attys fee $25) and costs. Constables costs $6.00 / Justices costs $10.25 / Witness fees $11.40 } Total amount of Judgment $244 68/100 Oliver Wolcott J P.
"	31	Appeal bond filed by defendant.
April	10	Notice of appeal filed by defendant
May	12	Order dismissing appeal by Defendant filed & bill of costs taxed.

Satisfied in full
S Jackson
Atty for Plff
15 May 90

58

No. 58

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Wm P. Bullard
Plaintiff
vs.
H A Pierce and E S. Pierce his wife
Defendants

Action Unlawful Detainer

Demand $ premises &c

J G Rhodes
Attorney for Plaintiff

Grady & Austin
Attorneys for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	14	Complaint filed and Summons issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable (F. F. Bartlett Dep)
"	15	Summons returned and filed, showing personal service Mrs E S Pierce by delivering to her a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint and on H A Pierce by delivering to him a copy of said Summons both served in said 3rd Township this 15th day of January 1890
"	17	Now comes Defendants & enters demurrer in writing to Plaintiffs complaint Demurrer filed for hearing for Monday January 20th 1890 at 4 o'clock P M. & notice given parties
"	20	4 o'clock Demurrer submitted by respective attys and overruled & defendant given to & including Jan 21/90 to answer in
"	"	Now comes Grady & Austin Attys for Defts and files answer in writing to Plaintiffs complaint & case fixed for Jan 23 1890 at 10 am & notice given
"	23	Case continued by consent till Monday Jan 27 1890 at 10 o'clock am. ce
"	27	Ces continued by consent till Thursday Jan 30 1890 at 10 o'clock ceu
"	30	Subpoena issued on Plaintiffs behalf & returned & filed Parties and Attys in Court and trial proceeds. Witnesses Wm P. Bullard Daniel Walker sworn and testify on behalf of Plaintiff & Witnesses Emma Pierce W A Pierce & Lulu Pierce sworn and testify on Defts behalf and case submitted after argument whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that Plaintiff take nothing by his said action & that defendant have & recover his costs from Plaintiff
		Constables costs $5 20/100 } Total amount of costs $12 70/100
		Justices costs $7 50/100 }
		Orrin Wolcott J. P.
Feb	25	Execution issued on motion of deft and given Const J D Morgan
"	"	Above Execution settled in full to wit in the sum of $ 14.55
		Orrin Wolcott J. P.

adjourned.

The above judgment satisfied this 25th Feby 1890

Grady & Austin
Defts attys.

No. 59

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jack Schuber, Plaintiff

vs.

J. C. Garner Defendant

Action for work and labor

Demand $145.20/100

A S Cureton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	14	Complaint. Affidavit and Undertaking for Attachment filed & Summons and Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J. D. Morgan Constable (J. G Woffords Dep)
"	16	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on said Deft by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said 3rd Township on January 15th 1890 and Writ of attachment returned and filed
"	"	Above action dismissed on motion on Pltffs atty costs being settled in full and cost paid
		Received full satisfaction of above demand A. S. Cureton Atty for Plaintiff Jno Driscoll J.P.

60

No. 60

In the Justice's Court of the 4th Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R Crawford — Plaintiff
vs.
B. D. Vanderburgh — Defendant

Action on Promissory Note

Demand $12.00/100

Attorney ____ for Plaintiff

Attorney ____ for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1890		
Jan	14	Complaint filed & Affidavit and Undertaking on Attachment filed & Summons and Writ of Attachment issued to Constable Peck & Frank Glass Dep't
"	30	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on said deft by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 4th Township said Fresno County on January 21st 1890.
Feb. 1891	17	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of the said summons and a copy of the complaint attached thereto were personally served on the said defendant B. D. Vanderburgh by the said officer personally delivering the same to him in the 4th Township of Fresno County, State of California, on Jan. 21, 190 and it appearing that the defendant has not answered in this action, nor appeared therein in any way, nor at all, and the legal time therefor to wit: two days — has fully expired, now therefor his default is hereby entered and on motion of R. Crawford appearing in his own behalf, judgment is hereby rendered and entered in favor of R. Crawford the plaintiff herein and against B. D. Vanderburgh the defendant herein for the sum of Thirteen and 62/100 Dollars debt and Fifteen Dollars attorney's fee and Seven and 50/100 Dollars costs of suit.

Done in open Court Feb. 17, 1891

Total judgment $42.32/100

Constable costs $6.20

Justice costs - 7.50

Daniel Prince
Justice of the Peace,
of said Township.

No. 61

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F C Hansted — Plaintiff

vs.

Charles Peck — Defendant

Action for Room rent

Demand $15.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	14	Complaint filed & Summons issued

62

No. 62

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Pat Brady alias John Doe — Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace Walarian

Demand $

Attorney ____ for Plaintiff

Attorney ____ for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	17	Complaint filed & Warrant issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable & J. G. W Ford Dep't
"	"	Warrant returned and filed and defendant brought into court and is duly informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. pleads guilty & waves time for rendering of judgment and is sentenced to pay a fine of $7.00. Fine paid & defendant discharged

Thos Nelson J P

No. 63

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

James L. Clark
Alias John Doe Clark — Defendant

Action Battery — Mun Morton

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	17	Complaint filed & Warrant issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable & J G Woodford Dep't
"	"	Warrant returned and filed & defendant brought into court & is duly informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises & gives his true name as & pleads not guilty & is allowed to go on his own recognizance Trial fixed for Saturday January 18th 1890 at 10 o'clock am
"	18	10 o'clock am Defendant in court withdraws the plea of not guilty & pleads guilty. Time for rendering of judgment waived by defendant & he is fined in the sum of $5.00 Fine paid & defendant discharged

John Wilson J P

64

No. 64

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mrs S J Alexander and J. Alexander her husband Plaintiff's

vs.

E H. Wilcomb. Defendant

Action on promissory note

Demand $150 & $30 attys fees

Church & Cory
Attorney's for Plaintiff

S Jackson
Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	17	Complaint. Affidavit & ($150 in Gold Coin deposited by Plaintiff in lieu of Undertaking) filed & Summons and Writ of attachment issued and delivered to J.D. Morgan Constable (J.G. Wofford Dep)
"	20	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on said Defts by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said B^h Township on January 18^th 1890. and Writ of attachment returned and filed
"	20	Now comes Defts and enters demurrer in writing to Plaintiffs Complaint
Feby	7	Parties appear by their attys and Demurrer withdrawn by Defendant & Defendant ~~Pleads~~ answer after that judgment be rendered and interest whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that the same be and it is hereby rendered and entered in favor of Plaintiffs & against Defendant for the sum of One Hundred and eighty ($180) Dollars being one hundred and fifty Dollars ($150) Principal & thirty Dollars ($30) attys fee all according to the prayer of the complaint. Justices costs $7 50/100 Constables costs $7 80/100 Total amount of Judgment $195 30/100

Oliver Wolcott J. P.

No. 65

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C.A. Chase as surviving partner of the firm of Kohler & Chase. Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs J.E. Deegan and J.E. Deegan her husband Defendants

Action Installment & interest

Demand $200

Church & Coy Attorneys for Plaintiff

Hinds & Merriam Attorneys for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	17	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J.D. Morgan Constable & J.G. Wofford Dep't
"	20	Affidavit and Undertaking on Attachment filed & Writ of Attachment issued & delivered to J.D. Morgan Constable
"	25	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on Def't Mrs J.E. Deegan by delivering to her a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the Complaint & on her husband Def't J.E. Deegan by delivering to him a copy of said summons both served in said Township said Fresno Co on January 22 1889 and Writ of Attachment returned and filed
July	1	Now comes Defendants by their Att'y Messrs Hinds & Merriam ~~Church & Coy~~ & file answer written to Pltf's complaint
"	13	Case fixed for trial for Thursday February 20 1890 at 9:30 o'clock am & notice given parties
1890		
May	21	For pursuance of the following written Stipulation now filed = to wit "It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between the parties to this action that Judgment may be entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants as prayed for in plaintiffs complaint" Church & Coy attorneys for plaintiff = Hinds and Merriam attorneys for defendants = Dated Fresno May 21st 1890 : Judgment is rendered and Entered in favor of the plaintiff C.A. Chase and against the defendants Mrs J.E. Deegan and J.E. Deegan her husband, for the Sum of Two hundred Dollars ($200.00) debt and the further Sum of $30.95 -- costs according to the prayer of the complaint. Constable fees $22.45 Total Judgment $230.95 Justice = : 8.50 Almon Walcott J.P.
11 July	11½ 16	Execution issued pursuing to J.D. Morgan Constable, Execution returned Satisfied by the officer, together with the receipt of Mrs "Church & Coy" attached thereto

Almon Walcott J.P.

66

No. 66

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. A. Chase as surviving partner of the firm of Kohler & Chase
Plaintiff

vs.

E. J. Sutherland.
Defendant

Action Balance due on account

Demand $253 00/100

Church & Cory
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	18	Complaint filed & Summons issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	20	Affidavit and Undertaking on Attachment filed and Writ of Attachment issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	25	Summons returned & filed showing personal service on Defts E J Sutherland by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 4th Township said Co on January 22nd 1890 and Writ of Attachment returned & filed
Feby	4	It appearing from the officers return that Deft was personally served with attached copies of the complaint & summons in ~~the~~ 4th Township said Fresno County on January 22nd 1890 & the legal time for answering having expired and Deft not having answered or appeared in any way his default is hereby entered according to law
"	"	It appearing that Deft was personally served with attached copies of the Complaint and Summons in the 4th Township said Fresno County on January 22nd 1890 & the legal time for answering having expired & defendant not having answered or appeared in any way and his default having been duly entered according to law.
"	"	Now on motion of Church & Cory Attys for Plaintiff that judgment be rendered & entered it is ordered & adjudged that the same be and it is hereby rendered & entered in favor of Plaintiff & against defendant for the sum of two hundred & fifty three Dollars ($253) & costs according to the prayer of the complaint. Calis Ables costs $17 65/100 } Total amount of Judgment $278 15/100 Justices costs $7 50/100 } Oliver Walcott J P
	13	Execution issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable
May 1890	31	Special return of execution (not satisfied).
June	2nd	alias Execution issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable.
August	1st	Execution Returned.
"	16	returned satisfied and costs paid in full by order of Plffs Attys Oliver Walcott J P

No. 67

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Valley Lumber Company (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs J E Deegan and J E Deegan her husband Defendant

Action goods sold & account

Demand $24 72/100

Church & Cory Attorneys for Plaintiff

Hinds & Merriam Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	20	Complaint Affidavit and Undertaking on Attachment filed and Summons and Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	23	Summons returned & filed showing personal service on said Defendants by delivering to Deft Mrs J E Deegan a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint & on Deft J E Deegan her husband by delivering to him a copy of said Summons both served in said 3rd Township on January 22nd 1890 & Writ of Attachment returned and filed
Feby	1	Now comes defts by their attys Hinds & Merriam & file answer in writing to Plaintiffs complaint
"	13	Case fixed for trial for Thursday February 20 1890 at 8.30 o'clock am and notice given to parties
April 1891	10	The above action set for trial for April 23, 91 @ 9.30 A.M. and the parties thereto notified thereof.
"	23	At the hour set F. K. Prescott representing the Valley Lumber Co. with his attorney L. L. Cory, and C.C. Merriam representing the defendants are present and the trial at once proceeds.
"	"	F. K. Prescott is sworn and testifies in behalf of the plaintiff and thereupon the case is submitted to the Court without argument.
"	"	Whereupon the Court finds for the plaintiff; and judgment is hereby ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff the Valley Lumber Company and against the defendants Mrs. J. E. Deegan and J. E. Deegan her husband for the sum of $24 72/100 debt and for the sum of $29 45/100 costs of suit.

Justices costs $ 7.00
Constable costs $ 22.45
29.45

Total judgment $54 17/100

Done in Open Court April 23, 1891

Santos C. Prince,
Justice of the Peace,
of said Township.

68

$6.50

No. 68

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Valley Lumber Company Lacey Plaintiff

vs.

H. W. Snow Defendant

Action on promissory note

Demand $278 85/100 and cost from Jan 18/90 & 20 days fee

Church & Lacy
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Jan 20	Complaint. Affidavit and Undertaking on Attachment filed & Summons and Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable.
April 23d	action dismissed by order of plaint. Pfs attorneys and costs paid

Chas Wolcott J P

No. 69

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Graham
Plaintiff
vs.
D E Nichols & C E Nichols
Defendant

Action on promissory note

Demand $160 92/100 + int thereon from July 6th 1890 at 1% per mo + $25 atty fees

Ed Risley
Attorney for Plaintiff

S Jackson
Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	20	Complaint filed & Summons issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	"	Affidavit and Undertaking for Attachment filed and Summons and Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	"	Now comes Defts by their atty S Jackson and file Demurrer in writing to plaintiff's complaint
		above action satisfied in full to wit in the sum of 160 92/ Principal $12 50 atty fees & $9 00 Constables costs & $6 Justice costs & int $9 58/
"	27	Recvd $170 50/100 in full satisfaction of above demand

Dr J. Graham
" " John McCord D D

70

No. 70

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

F Oppenlander. Wm Pretzer and George Gorshow — Defendants

Action Disturbing the Peace Hawaiian

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Jan 20	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
" "	Warrant returned and filed and defendants brought into court and is duly informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises and they each plead not guilty and each gives bail in the sum of $50 with C J Crayeroft and J Fisher as sureties

No. 71

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Alexander Campbell — Defendant

Action Assault with a deadly weapon

Jose Gonzales

Demand $

W H Welch
Attorney for Plaintiff

D M Dealin
Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	21	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	23	Warrant returned and filed and Defendant brought into court & duly informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises Bail fixed in the sum of five hundred Dollars ($500) which defendant gives with Robert Smith and Colin Campbell as sureties. Examination fixed for February 6th 1890 at 10 o'clock am
"	31	By consent cas continued till Monday Mch 3rd 1890 at 10 o'clock am
Feby	14	Subpoena issued
"	17	Subpoena returned & filed
Mch	3	Parties in court and Examination proceeds. E W Riley appointed short hand reporter at request of Dist Atty & R B. Silveria sworn to act as interpreter. Witnesses Jose Gonzales, Manuel Brown, Frank Maria Frates and Manuel Vierra sworn and testify on behalf of Plaintiff and Witnesses Alexander Campbee and Kat Campbell sworn and testify on behalf of Defendant and cause submitted whereupon Defendant is found not guilty and is discharged and Bail exhonerated

Olin Wolcott J P

72

No. 72

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Alexander Campbell — Defendant

Action Threatened Offense

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff D M Sealon.

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Jan 21	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
" 23	Warrant returned & filed & defendant brought into Court & duly informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Bail fixed in the sum of $500 which def gives with Robt Smith & C S Campbell as sureties & Exam fixes for February 6th 1890 at 11 o'clock a.m.
" 31	By consent case continued till Monday March 3rd 1890 at 2 o'clock P M
Feby 17	Subpoena issued
" 17	Subpoena returned and filed
Mch 3	Action dismissed on motion of District Attorney

John Malcolm J P

Def'd $10 Bail & Bondsmen $75 Each

No. 73

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F K Prescott — Plaintiff

vs.

John S Eastwood — Defendant

Action on Promissory Note

Demand $ 63 21/100 Principal @ 1 1/2 % per mo from July 19/89 compounded monthly & 20 attorneys fees

Church & Cary — Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney ____ for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	27	Complaint filed. Affidavit & Undertaking on Attachment filed & Summons and Writ of Attachment issued & delivered to J.D. Morgan Constable
"	27	Summons returned & filed showing personal service on said defendant by delivering to him a copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said 3rd Township on January 27 1890 & Writ of Attachment returned & filed
Feby	7	It appearing from the officers return that defendant was personally served with a copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said 3rd Township on January 27 1890 and the time allowed by law for answering having expired and defendant not having appeared or answered in any way his default is hereby entered according to law
"	"	It appearing that Defendant was personally served with attached copies of the complaint and summons in said 3rd Township on January 27th 1890 and the legal time for answering having expired and defendant not having appeared or answered in any way and his default having been duly entered according to law
"	7th	Now on this 7th day of February 1890 on motion of Church & Cary attys for Plaintiff That judgment be rendered and entered It is ordered and adjudged that the same be and it is hereby rendered and entered in favor of Plaintiff F K Prescott and against the Defendant John S Eastwood for the sum of eighty eight & 60/100 Dollars ($88 60/100) being principal $63 21/100 Interest $0 39/100 and Atty fees $20 00/100 and costs according to the prayer of the complaint. Constables costs $7 80/100 Justices costs $7 50/100 } Total amount of Judgment $103 99/100 Oliver Wolcott J P
"	8	Execution issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	"	
Mch	20	Alias Execution issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable Return Satisfaction in full Prescott & Price per Cary

Use $8/00 Rec'd of Constable $8 75/100 in full of Justices costs to date

No. 74

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

O.J. Meade and W.A. Shepherd partners under the firm name of Meades & Shephard Plaintiff's

vs.

Toney Wolters Defendant

Action on acct & assigned acct

Demand $ 44.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	22	Complaint filed and Affidavit and Undertaking in Attachment filed and Summons and Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J.D. Morgan Constable
"	23	Action dismissed on motion of Plaintiff same being settled in full and costs paid.

Chas Wilcox J.P.

No. 75

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

S. 20
T. 50
1. —
15. 00

Bank of Selma — Plaintiff

vs.

J. W Bones & J E Whilson — Defendants

Action on Promissory Note

Demand $23 80/100 + $20 atty fees

Church & Cary
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney ..... for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	23	Complaint filed & Summons issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	29	Summons returned & filed showing personal service on said Defts J E Whilson by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the 4th Township said Fresno Co on Jan 27th 1890 & showing personal service on Deft J W Bones by delivering to him attached copies of the complaint & Summons in said 3d Township on January 24th 1890
Feby	13	It appearing from the officers return that deft J E Whilson was personally served with attached copies of the complaint and Summons on January 27th 1890 in the 4th Township said Fresno County & that Deft J W Bones was personally served by delivering to him attached copies of the complaint and Summons in the 3d Township on January 24th 1890 & the time allowed by law for answering having expired and neither of said defts having appeared or answered in any way their default is hereby entered
"		It appearing that Deft J E Whilson was personally served with attached copies of the Complaint and Summons in the 4th Township said Fresno County on January 27th 1890 and that Deft J W Bones was personally served with attached copies of the Complaint and Summons in said 3d Township on January 24th 1890 & the legal time for answering having expired and neither of said Defts having appeared or answered in any way & his default having been duly entered according to law.
June 27	1891	Now on this day on Motion of Church & Cary judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the Plaintiff the Bank of Selma and against the defendants J W Bones and J E Whilson for the sum of $23 80/100 debt and for the sum of $15 00/100 attorneys fee and for the sum of $13 20/100 costs of suit.

Issue fee $1.00
Mileage for 8.20
Wolcott 4.50
$13.70

Total judgment $52 50/100

Done in open court this 27th day of June 1891

Dan'l C. Prince
Justice of the Peace.

Ret'd Sept 11 1891 by Wolcott J.P.

76

No. 76

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Henry Miller — Plaintiff

vs.

Jean Brun — Defendant

Action Damages for trespass

Demand $ 299 00/100

Grady & Austin
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Jan 23	Complaint filed & Summons issued & delivered to J.D. Morgan Constable
" "	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on said defendant by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said 3 Township on January 23, 1890.

Filed Jan 23 1890
J. D. Morgan
Constable

No. 77

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mrs J. B Hill, Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs T. Trumner, Defendant

Action on account & assigned account

Demand $60 85/100

Attorney for Plaintiff
Nourse & Short

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	24	Complaint filed & Summons issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	27	Summons returned & filed Showing personal service on said Deft by delivering to her a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said 3d Township on January 25th 1890
"	29	Demurrer in writing filed by Nourse & Short attys for Defendant
July	5	Hearing of demurrer fixed for Thursday July 6 1890 at 2 o'clock P M & notice given
"	6	3 o'clock P.m. The hour fixed upon for hearing of demurrer having expired and defendant not having appeared in any way. now on motion of Plaintiff demurrer is overruled & on motion of Plaintiff that judgment be rendered and entered it is ordered and adjudged that the same be and it is hereby rendered and entered in favor of Plaintiff J B Hill and against the defendant Mrs T Trumner for the sum of Sixty 85/100 Dollars ($60 85/100) & costs according to the prayer of the complaint Constables costs $2 40/100 Justices costs $4 50/100 } Total amount of Judgment $67.75 Oliver Wolcott J P
"	11	Execution issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
April	16	Execution returned Satisfied in full

16th Recd Satisfaction in full
J B. Hill
plaintiff

78

No. 78

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

S. Schell — Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace
J R Lehmann

Demand $

Attorney ____ for Plaintiff

Attorney ____ for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	24	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Const Fby
"	24	Warrant returned and filed & Deft brought into Court & on being informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises pleads not guilty and gives bail in the sum fifty dollars with D M Sponogle & H Schell as sureties Trial fixed for Thursday January 30 1890 at 2 o'clock P M Jury demanded by Deft
"	30	Parties in Court & trial proceeds. F F Emmott appearing for Defendant. Jury waived by consent of both parties expressed in open court. Witnesses J R Lehman & Geo Carlisle sworn & testify on behalf of Plaintiff and Witnesses S Shell, Allen Phipps, H Shell, W G Stratton & D Cramer sworn and testify on behalf of Defendant & case is submitted, & Defendant found guilty. & time for rendering of judgment continued till Saturday Feby 1st 1890 at 2 o'clock P M
Feby	1	2 o'clock P M, Deft & his Atty in court. Motion for a new trial overruled & Defendant fined in the Sum of Ten Dollars with imprisonment until paid.
"	15	Stipulation in writing filed by Deft.
"	1	Appeal bond from Judgment & Imprisonment filed by Defendant "
"	25	Statement in writing filed by Defendant

Oliver Wolcott J P

No. 79

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Third STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James A Rose, Plaintiff

vs.

Wm P Bullard. Defendant

Action on promissory note

Demand $58.& int @ 1% per mo from Jan 13/908
120 atty fee. J W McDowell Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	24	Complaint filed & affidavit & Undertaking on attachment filed & Summons and Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	30	Summons returned & filed showing personal service on said Defendant by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the Complaint in said 3rd Township on January 30. 1890 and Writ of Attachment returned & filed.
Feby	3	Now comes Defendant & enters oral demurrer to Plaintiffs complaint on the grounds that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action
"	4	Hearing of demurrer filed for Thursday February 6. 1890 at 10 am
"	6	10 o'clock am Parties in court & by consent demurrer overruled & (the original not being produced) by consent it is ordered and adjudged that judgment be and it is hereby rendered & entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant for the sum of seventy three 45/100 Dollars (#73 45/100) being principal #58. unless # 45/100 & Atty fee #15. (J W McDowell being sworn in regard to Atty fee) Constables cost #10 45/100 } Total amount of Judgment #91 50/100 Justices cost #18 00/100 } Almon Wolcott J P.
"	"	Ten (10) days stay of proceedings granted by consent.
"	18	Execution issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	20	Above Execution satisfied in full to wit in the sum of #73 45/ and costs.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Almon Wolcott J P

80

No. 80

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Henry Hughes — Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

J G Waggoner

Demand $

Attorney ____ for Plaintiff

Attorney ____ for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Jan 24	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable & J G Waggoner Dep
" 24	Warrant returned and filed & defendant brought into court & on being informed of the charge against him & of his rights in the premises pleads Not guilty & asks time for rendering of judgment and is fined in the sum of $7.00
" 25	Time for & defendant discharged

John McCord J P

No. 81

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

J W Cornick alias John Doe — Defendant

Action Cruelty to animals

J G Wofford

Demand $

E A Bernhard
Attorney for Plaintiff

Webb & Shaw
Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Jan 24	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J Morgan Constable J G Wofford Deft
" 25	Warrant returned and filed and defendant brought into court and is duly informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Bail fixed in the sum of fifty Dollars which defendant gives with O M Thompson & H Littlefield as sureties. Trial fixed for Tuesday Jan 28th 1890 at 10 o'clock am.
" 28	10 am. Parties in court & trial proceeds. E A Bernhard appearing for the people & Webb & Shaw for Deft By consent eleven jurors sworn to try the case to wit: E Riggins, A C McSwain, C Riggs, A J Samuels, Jno Rader, R Henry, E C Higgins, A M Hammond D S Barnett P D Bozeman & E C Gunther. Witnesses Barney Bosmides. Chas Becker J G Wofford & J J Wells sworn and testify on behalf of Plff and Witnesses J W Cornick. O M Thompson. H Littlefield & Mrs H C Cornick sworn & testify on behalf of Defendant & cause submitted to the jury which retires in charge of the proper officer & after deliberation are returned into Court with the following verdict "We the jury find the defendant not guilty C Riggs Foreman" & the same is filed whereupon Defendant is discharged & bail exonerated Jury being also discharged

Chas Wellcome J P

No. 82

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

B F Scatt — Plaintiff

vs.

L D McCray alias J W McCray — Defendant

Action for goods sold & delivered

Demand $ 21 80/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	25	Complaint Affidavit & Undertaking on Attachment filed and Summons and Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable & D F Bartlett Dep't
"	"	Summons returned & filed showing personal service on said Defendant whose true name is D L McCray by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the Complaint in said 3rd Township this 25th day of January 1890 and Writ of Attachment returned & filed
"	30	Action dismissed same being settled in full and costs paid
"	"	Rec'd full satisfaction of above demand B F Scatt Chas Morgan J P

No. 83

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Joseph Burns. Plaintiff

vs.

D L McCray alias D L McCary Defendant

Action for goods sold & delivered

Demand $33 1/100

Webb & Brother Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	25	Complaint filed & Affidavit & Undertaking on Attachment filed & Summons and Writ of Attachment issued & delivered to J. Morgan Constable
"	"	Summons returned & filed showing personal service on said defendant whose true name is D L McCray by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the Complaint in said Township the 25th day of January 1890 & Writ of Attachment returned & filed
"	30	Action dismissed said cause being settled in full and costs paid Received full satisfaction of above demand. Jan. 30th 1890. Webb & Brother

Chas Walcott J.P.

84

No. 84

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Henry Lorenz, Plaintiff

vs.

The Southern Pacific Company. Defendant

Action Damages.

Demand $299 00/100

Church & Cary
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Rhodes & Borden
Attorneys for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
		(January 27th 1890)
Jan	27	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J.P. Morgan Constable
May	21st	Summons returned served and filed, showing service on the Southern Pacific Company a corporation, by said Officer by delivering a true copy thereof and a copy of the complaint attached thereto personally on Ja Fillmore the general managing Agent of defendant, on the 19th day of May 1890 in said 3rd Township, Fresno County
"	21st	answer in writing, filed by defendants through its attorneys Messrs Rhodes & Borden denying all the allegations of the complaint;
1890 December	19	Trial fixed for Monday December 29th at 9 o'clock A.M. and notice given.
December	29th	Continued by consent of the attorneys, & day of trial hereafter fixed
1891 Jan.	12	Trial set for Jan. 20, 91 at 10 A.M. and parties notified
"	20	Trial continued until Jan. 21, 91 at 10 A.M.
"	21	A subpoena for a witness for the defendant duly issued, served, returned & filed.
"	"	At the hour set the parties and attorneys in Court and the trial proceeds before the Court without a jury - a jury trial having been duly waived by both parties. Henry Lorenz, Edward Schamp and L. C. Smith are sworn and testify on behalf of the plaintiff; and J. S. Moore, Fred Fuller, Jas. Murphy, W. F. Stanbaugh, Daniel Osborne, Nellie Martin and Thurston Clowes are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant. A. B. McKay is then sworn and testifies in behalf of the plaintiff. The case is then argued and submitted and the Court takes the matter under advisement.
Feb.	9	This day the Court renders judgment for the defendant, or follows; W. Thompson it is ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff herein take nothing by his action, and that judgment be, and the same is, rendered and entered in favor of the defendant and against the plaintiff for the costs incurred in this action, to wit in the sum of Thirty two and 75/100 Dollars costs of suit.

Witness 16.00
Fraser .80
Morgan 4.70
Wolcott 2.50
Brice 8.75
$32.75

Fraser 80-
Morgan 4.70

Daniel Gribi,
Justice of the Peace.

continued on opposite page.

No. 855

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California Plaintiff

vs.

Jos Imperiteri Defendant

Action Battery J G Woods

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	27	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J G Woods Dep)
"	"	Warrant returned & filed & defendant brought into court and on being informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises pleads guilty & waives time for [illegible] of judgment & is sentenced to pay a fine of $5 & 60 fine paid & defendant discharged

Orrin Wolcott J P

Henry Lorenz Plaintiff
vs.
The Southern Pacific Company Deft

Continued from opposite page.

Mar	1891 10	Notice of Decision served on the defendants attorneys, returned and filed.
"	"	Bill of Costs served, returned and filed.
"	"	Notice of Appeal this day filed.

86

No. 86

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Juan Diaz — Defendant

Action assault with a deadly weapon J G Wolfe

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	27	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable by J G Wolfe Dep't
"	"	Warrant returned & filed & defendant brought into court & is duly informed of the charge against him & of his rights in the premises bail fixed in the sum of $200.00 in default of which defendant is committed to the custody of the sheriff of said Fresno County
"	"	Action dismissed

Chas Wolcott J P

No. 87

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Hamilton — Defendant

Action Indecent exposure.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	27	Complaint filed and Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J. Morgan Constable by J. Gifford Dep.
"	"	Warrant returned and filed and defendant brought into Court and on being informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises pleads guilty and waives time for rendering of judgment and is fined in the sum of $10 Ten Dollars and defendant discharged

Thos Ludlow J. P.

88

No. 88

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. M.c Gowan, Plaintiff

vs.

M. L. Williams, Defendant

Action on account

Demand $14 00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	27	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J. D. Morgan Constable
"	29	Summons returned and filed, showing personal service on said defendant by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said 3d Township on January 28 1890
Feby	4	It appearing from the officers return that Defendant was personally served attached copies of the complaint and Summons in said 3d Township on January 28 1890 and the time allowed by law for answering having expired and defendant not having appeared or answered in any way his default is hereby entered
"	"	It appearing that defendant was personally served with attached copies of the complaint and summons in said 3d Township on January 28 1890 and the legal time for answering having expired and defendant not having answered or appeared in any way and his default having been duly entered
"	"	Now on motion of Plaintiff that Judgment be rendered and entered it is ordered and adjudged that the same be and it is hereby rendered and entered in favor of Plaintiff J. M.c Gowan and against the Defendant M. L. Williams for the sum of fourteen Dollars ($14 00/100) and costs according to the prayer of the complaint. Constables costs $2 00/100 Justices costs $4 00/100 } Total amount of Judgment $20 00/100 Elmer Wolcott J. P.
"	18	Execution issued and delivered to J. D. Morgan Constable
Feb.	4	Affidavit filed by J. D. Morgan showing loss of the above execution without the same having in any way been satisfied.
"	"	Execution issued and delivered to

No. 89

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A.M. Clark — Plaintiff

vs.

John C. Hogie — Defendant

Action on Promissory Note

Demand $213 00/100 & $30 atty fees

Sayle & Caldwell Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	27	Complaint. Affidavit & Undertaking on Attachment filed & Summons and Writ of Attachment issued & delivered to J.P. Morgan Constable
"	31	Summons returned & filed, showing personal service in said deft by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said 3rd Township on January 30th 1890 & Writ of Attachment returned and filed
Feby	11	It appearing from the Officers return that Defendant was personally served with attached copies of the complaint and Summons in said 3rd Township on January 30th 1890 & the time allowed by law for answering having expired and Defendant not having appeared or answered in any way his default is hereby entered
"	"	It appearing that defendant was personally served with attached copies of the Complaint and Summons in said 3rd Township on January 30th 1890 and the time allowed by law for answering having expired and defendant not having appeared or answered in any way & his default having been duly entered Now on this day on motion of Sayle & Caldwell attorney for Plaintiff that judgment be rendered & entered It is ordered & adjudged that judgment be and the same is hereby rendered & entered in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of two hundred forty eight & 30/100 Dollars ($248 30/100) being ($213 00/100 Principal due. $5 30/100 Interest & $30 atty fees) and for costs according to the prayer of the complaint Justices costs $7 50/100 Total amount of Judgment $279 95/100 Constables costs $24 15/100
		Oliver Wolcott J.P.
"	11	Execution issued and delivered to J.P. Morgan Constable
Mch	3	Abstract of Judgment given Plff

90

No. 90

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Juan Dias — Defendant

Action Murder

Demand $

Welch, Hood & Bemhard
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Laning & Curtin
Attorneys for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	29	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	"	Warrant returned & filed & defendant brought into court & is duly informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Examination fixed for Friday January 31st 1890 at 10 o'clock am & defendant committed to the custody of the Sheriff of said Fresno County without bail.
"	30	Subpoena issued
"	31	10 o'clock am. Subpoena returned & filed. Welch Hood & Bemhard appearing for the people & Laning & Curtin for Defendant. J L Flores and Jose Rojas sworn as interpreters and E W Risley appointed short hand reporter at request of District Attorney. Witnesses R. Connell, Wm Taylor, J G. Wofford. L. C Smith. J B Campbell and D D Bartlett sworn and testify on behalf of Plaintiff and case submitted without argument whereupon it is ordered & adjudged that defendant be and he is hereby held to answer before the Superior Court of said Fresno County on said charge of murder as set forth in the complaint without bail. Elmer Welch J. P.
"	31.	On motion of the Dist Atty & it appearing to the court that Ramon Lopez is a material witness for the people, it is ordered and adjudged that said Ramon Lopez be & is held hereby held to appear as a witness in the superior court in the sum of $200.

No. 91

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Frances Rues alias Frances Ryan — Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace. Mr Taylor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	30	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued & delivered to J.D. Morgan Constable (
"	"	Warrant returned and filed & defendant brought into court and on being informed of the charge against her and of her rights in the premises pleads not guilty & is released on her own recognizance Trial fixed for Monday Feby 3. 1890 at 2 o'clock P.M. Subpoena issued
Feby	3	3 o'clock P.M. Defendant & prosecuting witness in court and trial proceeds. Jury waived by consent of respective parties expressed in open court. Witnesses Wm Tyler and J M Flemming sworn & testify on behalf of Plaintiff & witnesses Mrs Frances Rues. Wm Smith & H.L. Conyea sworn and testify on behalf of defendant & cause submitted whereupon defendant is found not guilty & discharged

Anna Woldrup J P

92

No. 92

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Taylor — Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace.

Francis Ries

Demand $

Attorney ____ for Plaintiff

Attorney ____ for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	30	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J. Morgan Constable & J. Wiggins Deft
"	"	Warrant returned and filed and defendant brought into court & on being informed of the charge against him & of his rights in the premises pleads not guilty and is released on his own recognizance. Trial fixed for Monday February 30 1890 at 3 o'clock P.M. Subpoena issued
Feby	3	Parties in Court & by consent case continued till Wednesday February 5th 1890 at 10 o'clock am
"	5	10 o'clock am Defendant appears withdraws the plea of not guilty and pleads guilty and is fined in the sum of $5.00/100
Mch	3	$3 Paid.

John McDonald J.P.

No. 93

93

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of Calfornia — Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Harkins — Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Elmer Bebe

Demand $

H H Welch
Attorney for Plaintiff

Geurge E Church
Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	30	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	"	Warrant returned & filed & defendant brought into court & duly informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Bail fixed in the sum of $1000 which defendant gives with S W Henry & Wm Trumper as sureties & trial fixed for February 4th 1890 at 2 o'clock P.M.
Feby	3	Subpoena issued
"	4	2 P.M. Subpoena returned & filed Parties in court H H Welch appearing for the people & Geo E Church for Defendant E W Risley appointed short hand reporter at request of Dist Atty. Witnesses Elmer Bebe, Wm Curd, J D Hampton, E Mimlet W Kell O A Dalley, am Porter and J W. Belknap sworn & testify on behalf of Plaintiff and Defendant for his own behalf & case submitted whereupon it is ordered & adj Defendant is found not guilty & is discharged and bail exhonerated

Orrin Welcome J.P.

94

No. 94

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E. Minnet Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Harkins Defendant

Action on claim & delivery of personal property

Demand $

Nourse & Short Attorney for Plaintiff

L L Coxy and Geo E Church Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	31	Complaint & Affidavit issued Undertaking filed and Summons issued and delivered to J D Morgan Const
Feby	4	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on said defendant by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said 3rd Township on January 31st 1890 and affidavit returned and filed showing personal service at said time and place on defendant by delivering to him copies of the affidavit and order thereon and of the Undertaking.
"	4	Answer in writing filed by Church & Coxy attys for Defendant
"	5th	action dismissed by plaintiff and costs paid, plaintiff and defendant both being present in court:

Wm Hulett J.P.

No. 95

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. D. Fiske Plaintiff

vs.

Daniels & Riegel doing business under the name of Daniels & Riegel Defendants

Action for rent

Demand $299 99/100

D M Sealon Attorney for Plaintiff

E W Risley Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Jan	31	Complaint, Affidavit & Undertaking on Attachment filed and Summons and Writ of Attachment issued & delivered to J. D. Morgan Constable < J G Wofford Dep >
Feby	3	Now comes E. W. Risley atty for Defendants and enters general oral denial to each and every allegation in Plaintiffs complaint
"	"	Case fixed for trial for February 4th 1890 at 9:30 o'clock am & notice given

Constable's return on Writ of Attachment dated Jan 31st 1890 filed Feb 1st 1890

96

No. 96

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James R Webb Plaintiff

vs.

Mary W Trimmer Defendant

Action on assigned Promissory Note

Demand $35.00/100 and Int @ 10% per year

Webb & Strather
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Feby	1	Complaint filed & Summons issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	13	Summons returned & filed showing personal service on said defendant by delivering to her a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the Complaint in said 3rd Township on February 13 1890
"	25	It appearing from the officers return that Defendant was personally served with attached copies of the complaint and summons in said 3rd Township on February 13 1890 and the time allowed by law for answering having expired & defendant not having appeared or answered in any way his default is hereby entered according to law
"	"	It appearing that Defts was personally served with attached copies of the complaint and Summons in said 3rd Township on February 13 1890 and the time allowed by law for answering having expired and defendant not having appeared or answered in any way and her default having been duly entered according to law.
"	"	Now on this day on motion of Webb & Strather attys for Plaintiff that judgment be rendered & entered it is ordered and adjudged that judgment be and the same is hereby rendered and entered in favor of Plaintiff James R Webb and against the Defendant Mary W. Trimmer for the ~~sum of~~ thirty five & 56/100 Dollars ($35.56) and costs according to the prayer of the complaint. Constables costs $2.00 / Justices costs $4.50 } Total amount of Judgment $42.06 Oliver Nelson J. P.
"	"	Execution issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable

No. 97

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Smith — Defendant

Action Embezzlement, Michael Burns.

Demand $

W. D. Tupper. — Attorney for Plaintiff

S R Hart. — Attorney for Defendant

184 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Feby	1	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	10	Warrant returned and filed & defendant brought into court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises pleads not guilty and gives bail in the sum of $50 with J. F. Summers & Jno Smerdell as sureties Trial fixed for February 24. 1890 at 2 30 o'clock P M
"	24	2 30 P M Cause continued till March 4. 1890 at 2 30 o'clock P M
Mch	3	Subpoena issued
"	4	2-30 Subpoena returned and filed. Parties in court and trial proceeds. Jury waived by consent of both parties expressed in open court. W. D. Tupper appearing for the Plaintiff & S R Hart for Defendant. Witnesses Michael Burns and Mrs Michael Burns sworn and testify on behalf of Plaintiff & Witnesses John Smith. Mrs Jno Smith. C. Nicholson sworn and testify on behalf of Defendant & case continued till Mch 6 1890 at 10 o'c am
"	6	10 a.m. Parties & their atty in court & trial proceeds. Witnesses J. M. Albin, Hurley. Jerry Ryan. Judge Gayle. H Borches and John Fletcher sworn and testify on behalf of Defendant and case argued & submitted whereupon Defendant is found not guilty & & discharged & bail exonerated

Olmi Wolcott J P

98

No. 98

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. Arakalian Plaintiff

vs.

A. Arakian Defendant

Action for Witness Fees

Demand $ 3 30/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Feby	1	Complaint filed & Summons issued and delivered to J.D. Morgan Constable (H.H. Bartlett Dep)
"	8	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on said Defendant by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said Township this 7th day of February ad, 1890
"	12	Received of Harakian $ 3 30/100 in full of demand and costs in the above entitled action which is now dismissed.

Received 3 30/100 in full satisfaction of above demand.

17th March Satisfaction in full.

X Arakelian
Plaintiff

Chas Wolcott J.P.

No. 99

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Wm L Bullard, Plaintiff

vs.

H A Pierce and Pierce his wife, Defendants

Action Unlawful Detainer

Demand $ 60 & $15 damages & premises

J G Rhodes
Attorney for Plaintiff

Grady & Austin
Attorney s for Defendant

189 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Feby	4	Compl'nt filed & Summons issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
	5	Summons returned and filed showing personal service in said 3rd Township on February 4th 1890 on H A Pierce by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the Complaint and on E S Pierce his wife personally at said home and place by delivering to her a copy of said Summons.
	8	Now comes Grady & Austin Atty's for Defendants and file demurrer in writing to Plaintiff's Complaint
	10	Hearing of demurrer fixed for Wednesday February 12th 1890 at 10 o'clock am and notice given to parties
	12	Parties appear by their Atty's Demurrer submitted & overruled & Deft's given till Thursday February 13th 1890 at 10 o'clock am to answer in Subpoena issued
"		Now comes Defts by their atty's Grady & Austin & file answer in writing
	13	Motion in writing to strike out portion of answer filed by Plff's atty & service of same admitted
	14	Parties appear by their atty's and Plff withdraws motion to strike out because Deft was not given sufficient time to appear. Case goes for Wednesday Feby 19 1890 at 10 am & notice given Subpoena for Plff
	19	10 am. Parties and atty's in court. Subpoena issued for Defendant. Motion to strike out answer or mank of Journal filed by Plff & Journal then made by Defts. Motion by Plff for judgment on pleadings & the same denied. Motion by Plff to strike out 2nd paragraph of ans entered & same granted. Jury demand by Defts & Venire issued. By consent following sworn to try the case P C Appling, J W Statham, P B Donahoe, J M Walls, B F Hosker, A J Smith, J H Brown, J W Slayton R P Murray & Chris Larsen. Witnesses W P Bullard, Carrie Freberg sworn and testify on Plff's Behalf & H A Pierce & Emma Pierce, Lulu Pierce and D Walker sworn and testify on Defts behalf Case argued and submitted to the jury which retires in charge of the proper officer and after deliberation are returned into court with the following verdict "We the jury find for the Defendants. P C Appling foreman" And the same is filed. Whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that Plaintiff take nothing by his said action and that Defendants recover of and from the said Plaintiff their costs Constables costs $ 7.60 Justices costs $ 10.00 Witness fees $ 4.40 Jury fees $ 20.00 Total amount of Judgment $ 42.00 Oliver Walcott J P.
"	20	Execution issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable on Plff's application

Witnesses Lulu Pierce 1 day 1 mile $2.20
D Walker " " " $2.20

Abra judgment adjusted
Dec 15/89 Execution settled in full this 25th day of Dec 1890
Oliver Walcott J P

100

No. 100

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. W. Scott Plaintiff

vs.

P. Walford Defendant

Action Trespass

Demand $ 200

Grady & Austin Attorney for Plaintiff

E. D. Edwards Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Feby	4	Complaint filed & Summons issued and delivered to J. D. Morgan Constable
"	7	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of said defendant by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said Township on February 7. 1890
"	12	Now comes Deft by his Atty E. D. Edwards and files answer and Cross complaint
"	"	Notice of Motion to Strike out cross complaint. To be Heard Feb 18 1890 at 10 am filed in writing by Plffs attys & service of same admitted by Defts Atty
"	18	Parties appear by their attys & motion argued and submitted and is sustained and Defendant excepts to ruling.
Feb	25	Case fixed for Saturday March 8 1890 at 2 o'clock pm & notice given to parties
"	27	Subpoena issued on Plaintiffs behalf
March	3	Subpoena issued on behalf of Defendant
"	8	Subpoena returned and filed
"	8	2 P.M. Deposition taken by consent of parties and Case continued by consent till March 22 1890 at 2 PM Subpoena issued on behalf of Plaintiff
"	18	Amended complaint filed by Plff
"	21	Now comes defendant by his Atty E. D. Edwards and enters oral demurrer to Plaintiffs Amended complaint on the ground that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action
"	22	Case continued by consent till April 5. 1890 at 10 o'clock am
April	1	By consent & stipulation of the attorneys for plff & defts, demurrer overruled, with leave to defts to answer on the 12 day of April 1890 and action continued to that day 2 P.M. for trial, jury demanded,
	7	answer (written) filed of general denial and cross complaint to plffs amended complaint - Cross complaint stricken out on motion. Exception taken
	8	Subpoenas issued on behalf of plff & defts
"	11	Venire issued
	12	Parties and their Atty in Court Venire and Subpoenas returned and filed by consent of respective parties impressed in open court the following seven jurors sworn to try the cause E. Minnett. C. Harris.

Continued to Page 106 Docket

page 185

No. 101

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Pacific Mutual Life and Accident Insurance Company Plaintiff

vs.

L. J. Bresee Defendant

Action for Premium

Demand $25 00/100

S R Hart & J G Rhodes. Attorney for Plaintiff

S R T Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
July	4	Complaint filed & Summons issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	5	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on said Defendant by delivering to him a copy of said summons attached to a copy of the Complaint in said 3d Township on February 5th 1890.
"	8	Now comes defendant L. J. Bresee and enters oral demurrer to Plaintiffs Complaint on the ground that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action
March	6	Hearing of Demurrer fixed for Monday. March 10 1890 at 10 o'clock am and notice given to parties
	10	11 o'clock am The hour fixed for hearing of demurrer having arrived & Deft having been duly notified of the filing of said Demurrer & not having appeared in any way Now on motion of S R Hart atty for Plaintiff that demurrer be overruled the same is now overruled & now on motion of S R Hart atty for Plaintiff that default be entered the same is hereby entered Now on motion of S R Hart attorney for Plaintiff that judgment be rendered and entered it is ordered & adjudged that judgment be and the same is hereby rendered & entered in favor of Plaintiff & against the Defendant for the sum of $25 Twenty five Dollars and costs according to the prayer of the complaint Constables costs $2 00 Justices costs $5 50/100 Total amount of Judgment $32 50/100 Oliver Walcott J P.
	77	Execution issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable

Judgment satisfied in full as per Receipt filed Oct 23 1890 Oliver Walcott J P

102

No. 102

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A M Clark & W H McKenzie doing business under the firm name of Clark & McKenzie Plaintiff

vs.

L J Brisee Defendant

Action for services rendered in making abstract

Demand $23.00/100

Frank Lanning
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Feby	4	Complaint filed & Summons issued and delivered to J P Morgan Constable
"	5	Summons returned & filed showing personal service on said Defendant by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the Complaint in said 3d Township on Feby 5 1890
"	8	Oral demurrer entered by Defendant on the grand that Plaintiffs complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute cause of action
April	8	Hearing of demurrer fixed for Monday April 14th 1890 2 PM, and notice given:
	14	This cause coming on this day, now on motion of Frank Lanning attorney for Plaintiff the demurrer of Defendant is overruled on default of pleading is hereby entered = and now on motion of Frank Lanning Esq the defendant not answering and having been regularly served with copy of Summons and copy of Complaint by said Officer in said 3d Township County of Fresno, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered in favor of the Plaintiffs, A M Clark and W H McKenzie and against the defendant L J Brisee for the sum of twenty three Dollars debt and the further sum of Seven 50/100 Dollars $7.50/ Costs, according to the prayer of the complaint.

Justice fee 3.50
Constable 2.00

Total Judgment $30.50

Abner Wolcott J P

(1890 June 18th Costs taxed by the Clerk)

No. 103

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. H. Brown Plaintiff

vs.

J. M. Simpson Defendant

Action on promissory note

Demand $275 & int

S. Jackson Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Feby	5	Complaint Affidavit & Undertaking on attachment filed and Summons and Writ of Attachment issued & delivered to J. D. Morgan Constable
"	10	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on Defendant by delivering to him a copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said 3d Township on February 8th 1890 and Writ of Attachment returned and filed
"	20	It appearing from the officers return that Deft was personally served with attached copies of the complaint and Summons in said 3d Township on February 8th 1890 and the time allowed by law for answering having expired & defendant not having appeared in any way his default is hereby entered according to law.
"	"	It appearing that defts was personally served with attached copies of the Complaint and Summons in said 3d Township on February 8th 1890 & the legal time for answering having expired & defendant not having appeared or answered in any way this default having been duly entered according to law.
"	"	Now on this day on motion of S. Jackson atty for Plaintiff that judgment be rendered & entered it is ordered and adjudged that the same be and it is hereby rendered and entered in favor of Plaintiff J. H. Brown and against the defendant J. M. Simpson for the sum of $301.57/100 being (principal $275. Interest 6.87. & atty fee $20.) & costs according to the prayer of the complaint Constables costs $14.20/100 Justices costs $7.50/100 Total amount of Judgment $323.57/100 Oliver Wolcott J. P.

104

No. 104

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

S. Jackson, Plaintiff

vs.

J. N. Simpson, Defendant

Action on account

Demand $85 00/100

S. Jackson
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Feby	5	Complaint Affidavit and Undertaking on Attachment filed & Summons and Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J P Morgan Constable
"	10	Summons returned & filed showing personal service on Defdt by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said Township on Feby 8 1890 & Writ of attachment returned & filed
"	20	It appearing from the officers return that said Defendant was personally served with a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said Township on February 8 1890 & the time allowed by law for answering having expired & defendant not having appeared or answered, his default is hereby entered
"	"	It appearing that defendant was personally served with attached copies of the complaint and Summons in said Township on February 8 1890 and the time allowed by law for answering having expired & deft not having appeared or answered in any way and his default having been duly entered according to law
"	"	Now on this day on motion of S Jackson atty for Plaintiff that Judgment be rendered & entered it is ordered and adjudged that the same be and it is hereby rendered & entered in favor of Plaintiff S Jackson and against Defendant J N Simpson for the sum of $85 00/100 and costs according to the prayer of the Complaint Constable's costs $ } Total amount of Judgment $ Justices' costs $7 50/100 } Oliver Wolcott J. P.

Transferred to Page 108

No. 103

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Oscar Dike. — Defendant

Action Delonius Seduction
Delphia Dukes Jr.

Demand $

Goucher & Jones
Attorney & for Plaintiff

" Grady Austin & Burns
Attorney & for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Feby	6	Complaint filed & Warrant & Arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable (F F Bartlett Deputy)
April	9th	alias issued
"	11th	Original warrant returned served and now filed and defendant brought into Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises "Goucher & Jones" presents as attorneys for "The People" and "Grady & Austin and Burns as attorneys for defendant bond fixed in the sum of $1000.00 and given J L Dike and J A Shand becomings sureties thereon, and by consent the time of examination is fixed for Friday 18th day of April 1890, 9 o'clock A.M. = Subpoenas issued & delivered
"	18th	defendant in court and case continued by consent to 22nd day of April 1890, 9 o'clock A.M.
"	22nd	continued on application of plaintiff on certificate of physician now filed, showing sickness of the prosecuting witness, and examination fixed for Tuesday June 3rd 1890 9 o'clock A.M. by consent of respective attorneys = Defendant present in Court =
June	3rd	Continued by consent.
July	14th	Case fixed for examination by consent for July 22nd Tuesday 1890 at 9 o'clock A.M. and subpoenas issued and delivered to J D Morgan constable
"	22nd	Defendant in court and Messrs. Grady & Burns his attys. and Messrs. Welsh & Hoover attys for Pltff, and examination proceeded with (J W Goucher appointed Reporter) Delphina Bozeman, Jane Bozeman, Mamie Bozeman, & Wm Dake Sworn and testify on part of the Pltffs.

Continued on page 108

106

No. 106

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

B. F. Scott — Plaintiff

vs.

C. C. Burnett — Defendant

Action on Promissory Note

Demand $187 98/100 & int thereon @ 1¼% per mo

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Feby	6.	Complaint Affidavit & Undertaking on Attachment filed & Summons & Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	14.	Above action dismissed by order of Plaintiff same being settled in full & costs paid and filed with Court $2.75 P.M. Clerk Wolcott J P
1890		Continued from Page 100. Docket C.
April	12	F. L. Real, Barratt, J. E. Faber, W. L. Williams & J. A. Lane. Witnesses for Scott. Fred Lynch. C. E. Woolfolk. Mrs M. L. Scott Worley Scott Wm Rowe. Capt Pason, Thomas McDonald F. M. Ludeniger. Allen Morse. & Oscar Walker sworn and testify on Plaintiffs behalf and Witnesses Geo. L. Chuck. Geo E. Brown, E. Humphrey. W. E. Burleigh Martin Jay. Chas Peterson, C. Barrell. & B. J. Alford sworn and testify on Defts behalf and case argued and submitted to the jury which retires in charge of the proper officer and after deliberation all returned into court with the following verdict "Fresno Cal April 12 1890. We the jury find for the Plaintiff in the sum of twenty five Dollars ($25.00) C. C. Harris Foreman" & the same is filed whereupon, on motion of Plaintiffs Atty it is ordered & adjudged that judgment be and the same is hereby rendered and entered in accordance with the verdict as follows to wit: in favor of the Plaintiff J. H. Scott & against Defendant B. J. Alford for the sum of twenty five Dollars ($25.00) and costs amounting to one hundred and seventy three 10/100 dollars ($173.10) Constables costs $4.70 Witness fees 93.40 Justices costs $16.00 Jury fee $14.00 total Judgment $198.10 Chas Wolcott J P
"	19	Motion & notice to retax costs filed and fixed for April 24th 1890, 10 a.m. In any & stay by consent.
"	24th	Continued by consent to Monday April 28th 1890, 10 a.m. (Motion to retax costs only left)
"	28th	Motion heard and argued (two witnesses sworn only) Exceptions &c.
"	"	undertaking on appeal filed & Notice of appeal filed April 29th
July	17	Justices Costs paid $18.50 July 17 Papers on Appeal transmitted to Clerk of Superior Court.

Costs $18.50

abstract issued 2nd June 1890.

No. 107

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. W. Robinson, Plaintiff

vs.

F. G. Shell & J. Shell Defendant

Action on promissory note

Demand $125 00/100 Dollars @ 1% per mo from Oct 9/89 & comp monthly & $15 attys fees. Sayle & Caldwell Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
July	7	Complaint filed & Summons issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable & F F Bartlett Dep't
"	12	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on Deft F. G. Shell by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the Complaint & on Deft J. Shell by delivering to him a copy of said Summons both served in said 3d Township on February 11th 1890
March	6	It appearing from the officer's return that said defendants were personally served in said 3d Township on February 11th 1890. as follows. F. G. Shell with a copy of said summons attached to a copy of the Complaint & J Shell with a copy of said Summons and the time allowed by law for answering having expired & neither of said defendants having answered or appeared in any way their default is hereby entered according to law
"	"	It appearing that Deft F. G. Shell was personally served with a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said 3d Township on February 11th 1890 & that Deft J. Shell was personally served with a copy of said Summons at said time and place and the time allowed by law for answering having expired & neither of said Defendants having appeared or answered in any way & their default having been duly answered according to law
"	"	Now on this day on motion of Sayle & Coldwell attys for Plaintiff that Judgment be rendered & entered it is ordered (the original note being produced) and adjudged that judgment be and the same is hereby rendered & entered in favor of Plaintiff A. W. Robinson & against the defendants F. G. Shell & J Shell for the sum of one hundred & forty six 10/100 Dollars ($146 10/100) being (Principal $125, Interest $6 10/100 attys fee $15) & costs according to the prayer of the Complaint.
		Constables Costs $5 50/100 } Total amount of Judgment $155 80/100
		Justices Costs $4 50/100 } Oliver Wolcott J P.
"	"	Execution issued & given J D Morgan Constable

abstract issued June 23 1890.

No. 108

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. M. Clark, Plaintiff

vs.

W. D. Tupper Defendant

Action on promissory Note

Demand $ 66 66/100 & int @ 1% from June 25/1889

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Deby 7	Complaint filed & Summons issued and delivered to
	action dismissed by order of plaintiff and costs paid
	Amer Wolcott J P
	Transferred from Page 105 of this Docket
	People vs Oscar Duke
	E A Potter, W. M. Spencer, H K Lemon, C. C. Janes, W. S. McCartney, E J Ines, F. P. Gray, J D Wagner, J C Young Sworn and testify on part of Pltff
	case continued to Wednesday July 23rd 1890 at 9,0, clock A. M. by consent
July 23rd	defendant in court respective attys, (and C. W. Geanhart being ill by consent J. W. Geanhart is appointed reporter) H. J. Nelson, J. F. Barrett, A. V. Smith, B. F. Peck, Oscar Duke, P. M. Cobby, Sworn and testify on part of defendant & cause submitted; Whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that the evidence is insufficient to hold the defendant to answer in the Superior court and he is hereby discharged and the bail exonerated
	Amer Wolcott, J. P.

(See page 105.)

No. 109

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Lenison Brothers — Plaintiffs

vs.

M. Sair — Defendant

Action on account & assigned account

Demand $187 70/100

Goucher & Jones
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

189 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Feby	7	Complaint Affidavit and Undertaking on Attachment filed and Summons and Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	11	Above action settled in full and costs paid
"	"	Record
"	"	Record $187 70/100 in full satisfaction of above demand Goucher & Jones Attys for Plffs Chas Wolcott J P

110

No. 110

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John S Lindstrom alias S Longstrom — Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace Harakian

Demand $

Attorney ____ for Plaintiff

Attorney ____ for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Feby	8	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable {F F Bartlett Dep}
"	10	Warrant returned and filed and defendant brought into court & on being informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises pleads not guilty and gives bail in the sum of $50 with J D Morgan and D E Beckwith as sureties Trial fixed for Wednesday Feby 12th 1890 at 2 o'clock P M.
"	12	2 P M Parties in court and trial proceeds. Jury waived by consent of both parties expressed in open court. Witnesses. H Harakian and Geo Almigian sworn and testifies on behalf of Plaintiff and Witnesses J S Lindstrom M. Lindstrom and Hemmington sworn and testify on behalf of Defendant and case submitted and time for rendering of judgment continued till February 14th 1890 at 10 a m.
"	14	10 am Parties in court and is found not guilty and discharged and bail exhonerated

John Wellcome J P

No. 111

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Joseph Burns. Plaintiff

vs.

Joe Enos Silva Defendant

Action on promissory Note and on account

Demand $248 90/100 Dollars on $189 84/100 at 10% yr from Jan 7/90 $ 875 attys fee

Webb & Strather
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Feby	8	Complaint. Affidavit and Undertaking on Attachment filed and Summons and Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J Morgan Constable
	12	Above action dismissed by order of Plaintiffs attys same being settled and costs paid

Received full satisfaction of above Demand.
Webb & Strather Attys for Plff.

Almer Waldron J.P.

No. 112

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. G. Rhodes Plaintiff

vs.

D. L. Walker Defendant

Action on assigned account

Demand $ 50

H R Hart + J G Rhodes Attorney for Plaintiff

D M Seaton and in pro persona Grady & Austin Attorneys for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Feby	10	Complaint. Affidavit and Undertaking on Attachment filed and Summons and Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	27	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on Defx by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the Complaint in said 3d Township on Feb 25. 1890 and Writ of Attachment returned and filed
"	28	Now comes Defx by his attys Grady & Austin and enters oral denial to every allegation in Plaintiffs complaint.
Mch	1	Answer in writing filed by D M. Seaton atty for Defendant
"	6	Case fixed for trial for Wednesday March 12. 1890 at 10 am By notice given parties Subpoena issued for Plaintiff
"	11	Case continued by consent till March 12. 1890 at 3 o'clock P m
"	12	3 P m Parties in court & trial proceeds. H R Hart appearing for Plaintiff and D M Seaton for Defx Witnesses J G Rhodes & W P Bullard sworn and testify on Plffs behalf and Witnesses D L Walker and H A Reed for Defx and case submitted whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that Plaintiff take nothing by his said action and that Defx have and recover of and from said Plaintiff the costs — Constables costs $6 10/100 } Total amount of Costs $13 60/100 Justices costs $7 50/100 } Elmer Wolcott J P.
"	15	Notice of Appeal filed by J G Rhodes
April	3	Appeal bond filed

No. 113.

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Smith — Defendant

Action for Search Warrant

Michael Burns

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
July	10	Affidavit files and Warrant issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable

114

No. 114

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

H. Avakian — Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

J. D. Lindstrum

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Feby	10	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J. D. Morgan Constable & F. F. Bartlett Dep't
"	12	Warrant returned and filed and defendant brought into court and is duly informed of the charge against him and of his legal rights and is released on his own recognizance Trial fixed for February 13th 1890 at 3 o'clock P.M.
"	13	3 P.M. Parties in court and by consent expressed in open court a jury is duly waived. Witnesses Pennington, J. D. Lindstrum and Matilda Lindstrum sworn and testify on behalf of Plaintiff and Witnesses Avakian and George Amigian sworn and testify on behalf of defendant and case submitted and time for rendering judgment continued by consent till February 14 1890 at 10 o'clock A.M.
"	14	10 A.M. Parties in court and defendant found not guilty and is discharged

Orin Wolcott J.P.

No. 115

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. A. Shepherd — Plaintiff

vs.

John S. Eastwood — Defendant

Action on Promissory note

Demand $84.20 & int @ 1% per mo from Nov 27/89 & $20 attys fee

S P Hall
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Feby	10	Complaint. Affidavit and Undertaking on Attachment filed Summons & Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
April	25th	Summons returned Served and filed, Showing personal Service on the defendant by the officer in said 3d Township County of Fresno on the 25th day of April 1890 by delivering to and said John S Eastwood defendant a copy thereof and a copy of the complaint attached thereto. Writ of attachment returned Served by levy & filed.
May	5th	It appearing from the return by the officer, on the original Summons, that the defendant John S Eastwood was Served personally, on the 25th day of April 1890, in the 3d Township County of Fresno by delivering to him a true copy of Summons and a copy of the complaint attached thereto, and the legal time to answer, to wit - 5 days, having expired and the defendant Not answering nor appearing in any way, his default is now entered: And On Motion of plaintiff, It is ordered and adjuged that judgment be, and the same is now rendered and Entered in favor of the plaintiff W A Shepherd and against the defendant John S Eastwood for the Sum of Eighty four 20/100 Dollars ($84.20) principal and four 30/100 Dollars ($4.30) interest thereon, and twenty Dollars attys fee and the further Sum of Eighteen 05/100 Dollars ($18.05) costs according to the prayer of the complaint. Justice fees $7.30 total amount of Judgment $126.55. Constable " 10.55 Alvin Walcott J P
	"	Execution issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable.
May	8th	Notice of appeal and undertaking filed for appeal
June	16	Order dismissing appeal filed Execution issued
July	5th	Execution returned Satisfied

June 5th 1890 Received the within Execution and Levied on the following described property to wit: Alvin Walcott J.P. Constable in and for said County of Fresno

116

No. 116

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Graham Plaintiff

vs.

E Kennedy. Defendant

Action for services rendered

Demand $11 50/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Feby 11	Complaint filed & Summons issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable & D J Bailett Dep't
" 12	Summons returned & filed showing personal service on said Defendant by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the Complaint in said Township on February 11th 1890
" "	Above demand settled in full and costs paid to me in the sum of $16 70/100
	Elmer Wolcott J P.
" 20	Recevd 11 50/ in full satisfaction of above demand
	Dr J. Graham

No. 117

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A.M. Clark & Wm H McKenzie doing business under the firm name of Clark & McKenzie
Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs Emma Simmons and L.P. Simmons her husband
Defendants

Action Services performed

Demand $10.00

Frank Lanning
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

189 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Feby	11	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to Jd Morgan Constable
"	17	Now comes Defendants and enter general demurrer to Plaintiffs complaint on the grand that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action
"	19	Action dismissed by order of Plaintiff same being settled in full and costs paid Justice Court
"	20	Recved $10 in full satisfaction of above demand Frank Lanning Atty for Plff

118

No. 118

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

William Heath Plaintiff

vs.

J D Morgan Defendant

Action. Claim & Delivery of Personal property

Demand $163 & $125 damages

A.S. Curtis
Attorney for Plaintiff

Howse & Short
Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Feby	12	Complaint filed & Summons issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable L F D. Bartlett Dep't
"	"	Summons returned and filed, showing personal service on said defendant by delivering to him a copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said Township on this 12th day of February 1890
"	14	Now comes Deft by his attys Howse and Short and enters general oral demurrer to every allegation in Plaintiffs complaint.
Mch	3	Demurrer withdrawn by defendant who enters general oral denial to each and every allegation in Plaintiffs complaint.
"	"	Case fixed for trial for Thursday Mch 20th 1890 at 1300 o'clock P M & notice given (Jury waived)
"	10	Subpoena issued on Defts behalf
"	20	Case continued by consent till Tuesday Mch 25 1890 at 10 am By consent
"	25	Case continued by consent till Saturday Mch 29th 1890 at 10 o'clock am
"	29	parties and attys in court and Wm Heath, J G Woppard and Stella Heath Sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff - W A Saunders, J R McCombs and - Powell Sworn and testify in behalf of deft, (and hff filed by defendant) and case argued and submitted; Whereupon it is ordered adjuged that judgment be and the same is now rendered and Entered, that the plaintiff take nothing in said action, and that defendant have and recover of and from the plaintiff his costs and amounting to the Sum of Twenty four 20/100 Dollars $24.20

Witness W A Saunders $2.40
" J R McCombs $2.40

Constable fees $4.20
Justice $7.00
Witness fees 12.80

Total judgment $24.20

Above Med costs J D

April 3d Bill of costs filed

October 27 Execution issued & delivered to J G Johnson Constable -

No. 119

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Earnest Sweet alias. John Doe — Defendant

Action Selling Liquor without License.

Joseph May

Demand $

W. D. Tupper. Attorney for Plaintiff

T. M. McNamara Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Feby	14	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to Wm Constable
"	21	Warrant returned & filed & defendant gives bond in Tulare County before A.C. Hill in the sum of five hundred with Henry Jerusalem and Sam Lewis as sureties for his appearance in said Fresno County ~~Court~~ this day for February 28 1890 at 2 P.M.
"	25	Subpoena returned and filed
	27	~~Venire issued~~
"	28	Venire returned and filed Parties and their atty in court & trial proceeds. By consent of respective attorneys expressed in open court the following jurors (10) are sworn to try the case D. Scott, B.F. Rocker, David Cowan, S. Hammon, George Foster, P Appling, J.A. Pollard, ~~J.~~ P. Olmstead. J. W. Roscoe L. Jonzie, Elombe, P.B. Donahoo & J.A. Pollard: Witnesses Joseph May and W.L. Hill sworn and testify on behalf of Plaintiff and Defendant sworn on his own behalf and case submitted to the jury which retires in charge of the proper officer, and after deliberation are returned into court with the following verdict. "We the jury find the defendant not guilty P Appling Foreman" and the same is filed whereupon defendant is ~~found~~ not guilty and is discharged

Olmis Wolcott J.P.

120

No. 120

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. M. Story Jr. Plaintiff

vs.

W. T. Rowe & Jennie Rowe Defendants

Action on assigned account for goods sold & delivered

Demand $30

Wm Story Jr. in proper person Attorney for Plaintiff

Hinds & Merriam Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Feby 14	Complaint filed & Summons issued and delivered to J. G. Johnston Constable (J. E. Doolittle deputy)
" 18	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on said defendant W. T. Rowe by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint and showing personal service on Miss Jennie Rowe by delivering to her a copy of said Summons in said 3d Township on February 18th 1890
" 24	Now comes Defendant by his atty Hinds & Merriam and files demurrer in writing to Plaintiff's Complaint
Feby 1	Hearing of Demurrer fixed for Tuesday March 4/90 at 10 am Noted open
" 4	11 o'clock am. The hour fixed upon for hearing of Demurrer having expired & Defendants through their atty Hinds & Merriam having been duly notified of the time fixed upon for said hearing & not appearing in any way and Plaintiff being in court now on motion of Plaintiff demurrer is overruled and the default of the Defendants is hereby entered according to law
" 4.	And now on this day on motion of Plaintiff that Judgment be rendered & entered the default of Defendants having been duly entered according to law. It is ordered & adjudged that Judgment be and it is hereby rendered & entered in favor of Plaintiff W. M. Story Jr and against defendants W. T. Rowe & Jennie Rowe for the sum of ($30) thirty Dollars & costs according to the prayer of the complaint Constables Costs $3.60 } Total amount of Judgment $38 60/100 Justices Costs $5.00 } Elmer Wolcott J. P.
March 8th	Execution issued to Sh's J Johnston (J E Doolittle deputy)

No. 121.

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G. G. Wickson Plaintiff

vs.

Jennie Frazier (Mrs) Chas Burks. Defendant

Action on promissory note

Demand $ 33 & int from Oct 15/85 @ 1% per mo com'd, sworn 4 months

R P Davidson Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Feby 15	Camplaint filed.
april 14	Summons issued and delivered to
	Plaintiffs attorney asks to amend complaint by asking for attorneys fee in the prayer thereof and the same is denied and exception is noted for by dfts [1891 Feb. 2, — motion made to amend complaint and add attorneys fee the same this day by the Court granted; Plaintiff asks for an alias summons + given.
Feb. 1891 2	An alias summons this day issued.
Mar. 2	Summons served, returned and filed showing personal service by the officer on the defendant Chas Burks by the said officer personally delivering to him in the 3rd Township of Fresno County State of California on Mar. 2 91 a true copy of the said summons attached to a copy of the complaint.
18	It appearing from the return of the officer endorsed on the alias summons that a true copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint was served on the defendant Chas Burks in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on Mar. 2, 91 by the said officer delivering to him then and there personally the said papers, and it appearing that the defendant has not answered nor appeared in this action in any way, nor at all and that the legal time so to do to wit: five days has fully expired now therefore his default is hereby entered and on motion of R. P. Davidson Esq. the attorney for the plaintiff judgment is hereby rendered and entered this 18th day of March 1891 in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant Chas Burks for the sum of Fifty Three Dollars debt and Forty Seven 30/100 Dollars interest and Twenty Dollars attorney's fees and Eight 40/100 Dollars costs of suit according to the prayer of the complaint.
	justice's costs $ 5.50 Constable costs 2.60 $ 8.10
	Total judgment $ 128 40/100
	Clerk in Open Court Mar. 18, 91. Chas. C. Prince Justice of the Peace.

Rec'd Justice fee $5.50 Rec'd Constable fee of R. P. Davidson July 3 1891
Chas C Prince
Justice of the Peace

Jno Wickson (Mrs) Plaintiff
by Mary Wickson Agt

John Downie

No. 129

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H A Pierce and Emma S Pierce his wife Plaintiff's

vs.

D. Walker Defendant

Action on account

Demand $ 90 50/100

W Z Austin Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Feby	15	Complaint Affidavit and Undertaking on attachment filed & Summons and Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	27	Summons returned and files showing personal service on Deft by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the Complaint in said 3d Township on Feby 25 1890 and Writ of Attachment returned & filed
Mch	1	Now comes defendant, and defts general oral demurrer to Plaintiffs complaint on the ground that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action
"	6	Above action dismissed on motion of Plff same being settled in full and costs paid Amos Wolcott J P
"	"	Received full satisfaction of above demand W Z Austin Atty for Plff

No. 123

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. St George Hopkins. Plaintiff

vs.

William Rowe Defendant

Action Unlawful detainer

Demand $72 trebled and restitution of Premises

W H Welch & Geo W Jones
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Hinds & Merriam
Attorneys for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Feby	15	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	18	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on said Defendant by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint on February 15th 1890
"	"	Demurrer in writing filed by Hinds & Merriam atty for Defendant.
"	"	Hearing of demurrer fixed for Thursday Feb 20 1890 at 2-30 o'clock P.M. Notice given
"	20	3-30 o'clock P.M. Demurrer overruled & defendant given to and including February 21st 1890 to answer in
"	24	Defendant files answer in writing to Plaintiffs complaint.
"	"	Case fixed for trial for ~~Friday~~ Thursday, Feby 27th 1890 at 10 o'clock am & notice given to parties
"	27	10 am. This action coming on regularly for trial on this 27th day of February 1890 H H Welch & Geo W Jones appearing as counsel for Plaintiff & Hinds & Merriam for Defendant. Trial by jury waived by respective attys for parties in open court & cause tried by Court without Jury. whereupon respective parties sworn in their own behalf. evidence closed & cause submitted to the court. who after deliberation orders judgment to be entered as follows Therefore by reason of the law it is ordered & adjudged that H St George Hopkins, the Plaintiff, do have and recover of and from William Rowe, the defendant the restitution and possession of the following described premises to wit: That certain dwelling house situated on the west one half of Block 149 of the City of Fresno and being between P & Tuolumne Sts of said City It is also ordered and adjudged that the said Plaintiff recover of the said Defendant the sum of forty five 50/100 ($45 50/100) Dollars damages for the unlawful detention of said property being the rents and profits of said premises during the period of said detention which said sum of forty five 50/100 Dollars ($45 50/100) trebled amounting to the sum of $136 50/100 It is also ordered and adjudged that the verbal lease or agreement, under which the said Defendant now holds said premises of Plaintiff shall be and is hereby cancelled and of no further force or effect It is further ordered and adjudged that the said defendant pay all costs of this action Constables costs $2 50/100 Justices Costs $5 50/100 } Total amount of judgment $144 50/100 and that execution issue for the enforcement of this judgment Oliver Welch J P

Writ of Execution issued & delivered to J D Morgan constable

124

No. 124

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Henry Miller Plaintiff

vs.

Manuel Lear Defendant

Action Trespass

Demand $ 299 00/100

Grady & Austin Attorneys for Plaintiff

J H Daly Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Feby	17	Complaint filed & Summons issued and delivered to J. Johnson Constable & JJ White Dep't
"	24	Summons returned and file showing personal service on said defendant by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint at the 3rd Township in said Fresno County on February 21st 1890
"	25	Now on motion of Plaintiff's atty summons withdrawn from file said not having been served on defendant
March	3.	Now comes defendant by his atty J H Daly and enters general oral demurrer to plaintiffs complaint on the grounds that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action
"	6	Parties appear by their attys: Deft withdraws demurrer & enters general oral denial to each and every allegation in plaintiffs complaint
"	"	Case fixed for trial for Wednesday March 12th 1890 at 10 o'clock am. Subpoenas issued
"	6	Action dismissed on motion of Plaintiff, same being settled in full and costs paid

Orrin Welcome J P

Received full satisfaction of above demand

No. 125

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Henry Miller, Plaintiff
vs.
Antone Iriguiro, Defendant

Action Trespass

Demand $ 299 00/100

Grady & Austin
Attorney for Plaintiff

J H Daly
Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
July	17	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J G Johnson Constable. L J Whitlock Dep't
"	24	Summons Returned and filed showing personal service on said defendant by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said Township on February 21st 1890
"	26	Now comes defendant and enters general oral denial to every allegation in plaintiffs complaint on the ground that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action
March	6	Case fixed for trial for Wednesday March 12th 1890 at 11 o'clock am & notice given to parties & Subpoena issued
"	"	Action dismissed by order of Plaintiff same being settled in full and costs paid Orin Woolworth J P Receive full satisfaction of above demand

126

No. 126

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Mar Sim — Defendant

Action Murder Lee Took

Demand $

Attorney ____ for Plaintiff

Attorney ____ for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Feby 17	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued & delivered to J. D. Morgan Constable (D. F. Bartlett Dep)

No. 127

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Charles Terre Plaintiff

vs.

A. Walters & August Walters Defendants

Action Balance due for work & labor done

Demand $199 00

A S Caston Attorney for Plaintiff

Graham Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Feby	18	Complaint filed & Summons issued & delivered to J D Morgan (J G Wofford Dep)
March	10	Summons returned and filed, showing personal service on said Defendant Antone Walters by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the Complaint in said 3d Township on February 27th 1890. & showing personal service on Defendant August Walters by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in the City and County of San Francisco State of California on March 3d 1890
"	21	Now comes Graham Atty for Defendant, August Walters, and enters general oral denial to every allegation in Plaintiffs complaint & special denial of Partnership liability on behalf of August Walters and misjoinder of defendants
"	"	Case fixed for trial for Wednesday March 26th 1890 at 100 o'clock am & notice given to parties
"	26	Parties appear by their attys. Plaintiff dismisses as to August Walters and By consent leave to amend complaint granted to Plaintiff

128

No. 128

In the Justice's Court of Ake Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

S. L. Vanness Plaintiff

vs.

Samuel Carlysle & Sylvester Carlysle Defendants

Action Balance due on an account for Work and labor done

Demand $36 55/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Feby	18	Complaint filed & Affidavit and Undertaking on attachment filed & Summons & Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	28	Action dismissed on motion of Plaintiff same being settled in full and costs paid
"	25	Received full satisfaction of above demand S L Vanness Plff

Elmer Wolcott J P

No. 129

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Henry B. Moore, Plaintiff

vs.

Hamilton Gray and Henry Gray, Defendant

Action goods sold & delivered

Demand $82.50/100

J. W. McDowall
Attorney for Plaintiff

R. P. Davidson
Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Feby	19	Complaint filed Affidavit & Undertaking on Attachment filed & Summons and Writ of Attachment (all allegations in plaintiffs ~~complaint~~.)
"	24	Now comes defendants by their atty R P Davidson and enter general oral denial &c.
"	25	By consent case fixed for Wednesday February 26 1890 at 10 o'clock a.m.
"	"	Subpoena issued on Plaintiffs behalf
"	"	Above action dismissed on motion of Plaintiffs atty cause having been settled
"	"	Received full satisfaction of above demand J. W. McDowall Atty for Plaintiff Louis Wolcott J.P.

130

No. 130 Due Feb 25/90 $240.59

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The First National Bank of Fresno (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

Wm P Bullard & W A Carruthers Defendant s

Action on promissory note

Demand $ 200 & 1½% int from Aug 30/89 + 25 attys fees + 50 attys fee

Church & Cary Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Febg	19	Complaint filed & Summons issued. Affidavit & Undertaking for Attachment filed
"	20	Writ of Attachment issued & delivered to D Morgan Constable
"	25	Above action and costs settled in full to wit in the sum of $255 and the same is hereby dismissed by order of Plaintiff

Silas Wolcott J P.

Received $240.60 in full satisfaction of above demand

Church & Cary
attys for Plaintiff

Silas Wolcott J P

No. 131

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Bank of Visalia (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

W A Carothers Defendant

Action on promissory note.

Demand $200 & int @ 1% per m from Oct 5/88 & $50 atty fus

Church & Cory Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Feby	20	Complaint filed & Summons issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	25	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on Defendant by delivering to him attached copies of the complaint and Summons in said 3rd Township on February 25th 1890
Mch	3	Action dismissed on motion of Plaintiff same being settled in full and costs paid Chas Wolcott J P
"	"	Recvd full satisfaction of above demand

Chas Wolcott J P

132

No. 132

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. D. Fiske. Plaintiff

vs.

J. A. LeBarge & E. D. Stair Partners under the firm name of LeBarge & Stair Defendants

Action Damage for breach of contract

Demand $299

D. M. Seaton Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Feby 20	Complaint filed & Summons issued
" "	Affidavit for Publication of Summons & Order for Publication of Summons filed directing publication thereof in the "Fresno Inquirer" a newspaper published at Fresno, Fresno County, California and at least once a week for two months.
apl 30	Proof of Publication and affidavit of L. H. Schmuck as Manager of said newspaper to wit Fresno Inquirer filed.
May 21	Defaults of defendants entered on motion of D. M. Seaton Esq atty for plff No appearance having been made nor entered by the defendants, nor either of them.

No. 133

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Liv Riese and David Reese. Doing business under the name & style of Riese Brothers in San Francisco — Plaintiff

vs.

Tuck Sing — Defendant

Action goods sold & delivered (Bal due)

Demand $29.00 + 1.50 accrued Interest

E W Risley
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Feby	20	Complaint filed and Summons issued & delivered to J E Johnson Constable (J E Doolittle Dep)
"	21	Summons returned & filed showing personal service on defendant by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said 3d Township on February 21st 1890
"	27	It appearing from the officers return that defendant was personally served with a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said 3d Township on February 21st 1890 and the time allowed by law for answering having expired and defendant not having appeared or answered in any way his default is hereby entered according to law.
"		It appearing that defendant was personally served with attached copies of the complaint and Summons in said 3d Township on February 21st 1890 and the time allowed by law for answering having expired and Defendant not having answered or appeared in any way and his default having been duly entered according to law. Now on this 27th day of February 1890 on motion of E W Risley atty for Plaintiffs that Judgment be rendered and entered it is ordered and adjudged that the same be and it is hereby rendered and entered in favor of Plaintiffs and against the defendant for the sum of Thirty two & 50/100 Dollars and costs according to the prayer of the complaint
		Justices costs $14.50 / Constables costs $2.00 } Total amount of Judgment $39.00

Oliver Walcott J. P.

No. 134

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. F. McVey. Plaintiff

vs.

J. G. Johnson. Defendant

Action Balance due on account

Demand $60 & interest

E. W. Risley
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Feby	20	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
Mch	1	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on said Defdt by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the Complaint in said Township on Mch 1st 1890
"	6	Now comes Defendant and enters general oral demurrer to Plaintiffs Complaint on the ground that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action
"	"	Hearing of demurrer fixed for Monday March 10 1890 at 10-30 o'clock am. and notice given to parties
"	10	11-30 o'clock am. The time fixed for hearing of Demurrer having expired. and deft having been duly notified of the fixing of said hearing and not having appeared or answered in any way. Now on motion of E W Risley Atty for Plaintiff that Defendants Demurrer be overruled the same is hereby overruled
"	"	Now on motion of E W Risley Atty for Plaintiff that Defendants default be entered the same is hereby entered and now on motion of E W Risley attorney for Plaintiff that Judgment be rendered and entered, it is ordered and adjudged that Judgment be and the same is hereby rendered and entered in favor of Plaintiff W. F. McVey and against Defendant J. G. Johnson for the sum of $69 sixty nine Dollars and costs according to the prayer of the Complaint Justices costs $4.00 Constables costs $1.80 Total amount of Judgment $74.80/100 Oliver Wolcott J. P.
"	"	Execution issued and delivered Full satisfaction received May 20, 1890 E. W. Risley Atty for Plff.

No. 135

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

J. H. Finch — Defendant

Action Information threatened offense.
Chas Coyle

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Feby 22	Complaint filed and Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to D Morgan Constable
" 23	Warrant returned and filed ~~and defendant~~ Defendant brought into court and on being informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises pleads not guilty and gives bail in the sum of $500 with Joel Ramos and M. Aemisseger as sureties Cause set for Saturday ~~March 29th~~ 1890 at 10 o'clock a.m.

Discharged by Court's order;

136

No. 136

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Charles Coyle — Defendant

Action Cruelty to animals

Demand $

D. M. Seaton & A. D. Supper
Attorneys for Plaintiff

E. D. Saunders
Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Feby	13	Complaint filed and Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J. D. Morgan Constable
"	24	Warrant returned & filed & defendant brought into court and on being informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises pleads not guilty and gives bail in the sum of $100 cash & Trial fixed for Mch 1st 1890 at 2:30 o'clock P.M.
"	26	Subpoena issued
Mch	1	2.30 Case continued by consent till Thursday Mch 13/90 at 2 P.M. subpoena issued
"	13	2 P.M. Jury demanded by Defendant & Venire issued returnable at 4 P.M.
"	"	4 P.M. Parties in court Trial proceeds. By consent the following jurors sum to try the cause John Hansen, Sam Hensley, Geo Cama, H A Price, J Abbott, J.W. Lindsey, A Morrell, J F Brown, Robt Harlow, P R Jones and S Hammons. Witnesses J H Finch, E.M. Castena, Jose Ramos, & Chas Servian sworn and testify on behalf of Plaintiff and Witnesses Charles Coyle and James Mullen sworn and testify on behalf of Defts & cause argued and submitted to the Jury. which retires in charge of the officer and after deliberation are returned into court and report that they are unable to agree. whereupon they are discharged
"	14	Action dismissed on motion of District Attorney & Bail exonerated Oliver Wolcott J.P.

appeal $100.00 returned (dismissed by District attys)

Charles Coyle

Oliver Wolcott J.P.

No. 137

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Inyo STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. D. Grady, Plaintiff

vs.

Sarah A Jagger, Defendant

Action on promissory note

Demand $50 & int @ 1¼% per mo from Sep 10/89 + $10 atty fee

R B Carey
Attorney for Plaintiff

L. L. Cory & L. M. Monltrie
Attorneys for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1891 Feby	7th	Complaint filed & Summons issued and delivered to J. D. Morgan Constable
Nov.	18	It appearing that the summons heretofore issued has been lost the Court this day upon motion of the plaintiff W. D. Grady, Esq orders the issuance of an alias summons.
"	23	Alias summons issued, returned & filed.
"	28	Defendant through her attorney L. L. Cory enters a general denial to plaintiff's complaint.
Jan	5	The Court this day sets the trial of the above action on Jan 7, 1892 at 10.30 A. M.
"	"	Defendant this day by leave of the Court first had and obtained files an amended answer to plaintiff's complaint.
"	7	The trial of the above action continued until Jan 9, 92 at 10 A. M.
"	9	The trial of the above action continued until Jan 25, 92 at 10 A. M.
"	25	The trial of the above action continued until Jan. 30 92 at 9 A. M.
"	30	The trial of the above action continued until Feb. 2, 92 at 9.30 A. M.
Feb.	2	An amended answer this day filed for defendant by her attorneys Messrs Cory & Monltrie.
"	"	At the hour set the parties at interest are present and the trial proceeds: W. D. Grady is sworn and testifies in his own behalf; and Mrs. Sarah A Jagger and R. B. Daniels are sworn and testify in behalf of the defence. After argument by Counsel the Court takes the matter under advisement.
"	9	The Court this day finds for the plaintiff. Whereupon judgment is ordered entered in favor of the plaintiff W. D. Grady and against the defendant Sarah A. Jagger for the sum of $50 00/100 debt and for the sum $21 15/100 interest and for the sum of $12 55/100 Costs of suit.

Justice fee $9.75
Constable fee 2.80
$12.55

Total judgment $83 73/100

Done in open Court Feb. 9, 1892

Daniel A. Pence,
Justice of the Peace.

138

No. 138

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

T. G. Yancey Plaintiff

vs.

Miss Lulu Nelson Defendant

Action on assigned account

Demand $41 56/100

Church & Cory Attorney for Plaintiff

S Jackson Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Feby 25	Complaint filed & Summons issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
March 21	Summons returned and filed, showing personal service on Defendant Miss Lulu Nelson by delivering to her a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the Complaint in said Township on March 21st 1890
" 25	Demurrer in writing filed by S. Jackson attorney for Defendant
April 23	Demurrer set for hearing on Friday April 25th 1890 9:30 o'clock a. m.
" 25	Defendant's attorney S. Jackson in Court and consents that the demurrer be overruled and the same is overruled and Deft answers (orally) denying each and every allegation in Plaintiff's Complaint =
May 26	Case set for trial on Wednesday May 28th 1890 10 o'clock a.m. and notice given =
" 26	attorneys in Court and by consent trial postponed to Monday June 2nd 1890 at 10 o'clock a. m.
June 2nd	action dismissed by order of Plaintiff's attorneys costs paid

Chas Wolcott J.P.

No. 139.

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F M. Robinson, Plaintiff

vs.

P B Donahoo, Defendant

Action on promissory note

Demand $250 & int @ 1% from Oct 1st 89 & $2.5 attys fee

E.W. Risley
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
July	14	Complaint filed & Summons issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J J Bartlett Dep)
Feby	26	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on said Defendant by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said 3d Township on February 26th 1890
Mch	8	It appearing from the officers return that Defendant was personally served with a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said 3d Township on February 26th 1890 & the time allowed by law for answering having expired and defendant not having appeared or answered in any way the default is hereby entered according to law
"	"	It appearing that Defendant was personally served with attached copies of the Complaint & Summons in said 3d Township on February 26th 1890 and the legal time for answering having expired and defendant not having answered or appeared in any way and his default having been duly entered according to law Now on this day on motion of E W Risley attorney for Plaintiff that Judgment be rendered & entered, it is ordered and adjudged that Judgment be and the same is hereby rendered and entered in favor of Plaintiff F M. Robinson & against the Defendant P B Donahoo for the sum of & two hundred & eighty seven Dollars ($287) being (Principal $250. Interest $12.50 & $25 attys fee) and costs according the prayer of the complaint Constables costs $2.00 } Total amount of Judgment $293.00 Justices costs $4.00 } Oliver Walcott J. P.
"	14	Costs paid by Plaintiff and abstract of Judgment given Pltf
Dec	4	Execution this day issued & delivered to Attorney Haines & the costs ($1.25) paid by the plaintiff.
"	30	The above execution returned partially satisfied & filed

140

No. 140

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

C. F. Riggs — Defendant

Action Battery

F E Hoppock

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Feby	28	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
Mch	1	Warrant returned and filed and defendant in court informed of the charge against him & of his legal rights. Bail fixed in the sum of $50 which defendant gives with ____ and ____ as sureties Trial fixed for Thursday Mch 6 1890 at 10 o'c am & Subpoenas issued
"	6	10 am. Parties in court and trial proceeds. Witnesses F E Hoppock. Josh Sponogle, Wm Hozat. A S Duncan & E H Nasbet sworn and testify on behalf of Plff & C F Riggs on his own behalf and case submitted whereupon Deft is found guilty & case laid for rendering of Judgment. and is fined in the sum of $5.00 Fine paid Defendant discharged and bail exonerated

Linei Wolcott J P

No. 141

141

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Al Smith — Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Feby	28	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
Mch	1	Warrant returned and filed and defendant in court and duly informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises pleads guilty waives time for ~~trial~~, and is fined in the sum of $ 1 & 50 "
"	"	Fine Paid and Defendant discharged

Oliver Wolcott J P

142

No. 142

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. A. Shepherd, Plaintiff

vs.

W. G. Griffeth, Defendant

Action balance due on account

Demand $ 15 65/100

W A Shepherd in proper Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
March 1	Complaint filed & Summons issued and delivered to J. G. Johnson Constable & J. E. Doolittle Dep.
" "	Action dismissed by order of Plaintiff same being settled in full and costs paid

Almi Wolcott J. P.

(Jan. 1, 1892 to Dec. 31, 1892)

No. 147

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Clark mos Mrs Kenoi
Plaintiffs
vs.
Geo W Fuller
Defendant

Action for Abstract of title

Demand $ 20 00

Frank Lanning
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
March 1	Complaint filed & Summons issued to J D Morgan Constable (J H Bartlett deputy)
April 8th	Summons returned and filed, showing personal service on the defendant Geo W Fuller by the Constable on the 8th day of April 1890 in said 3d Township Fresno county by delivering to him a copy thereof and a copy of the complaint attached thereto.
" 28	It appearing from the return of the officer that the Summons and complaint were served by delivering copies thereof to the defendant personally in said 3d Township Fresno county on the 8th day of April 1890, and the time for answering, to wit ten days, having expired, and the defendant not having in any way answered nor appeared, his default is hereby entered, and on motion of Frank Lanning Esq attorney for the plaintiffs it is ordered and adjudged that judgment be and the same is now rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiffs Abe, Blanche & W H H Mrs Perigo and against the defendant Geo W. Fuller for the sum of twenty Dollars ($20 00) and the further sum of $7 50 costs according to the prayer of the complaint Justice fees $3 50 Constable " 2 00 Total judgment $27 50 Abe Nolen J P

(Amn 1892/1890 costs Pl'ffs)

144

No. 144

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe — Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Conn Ferguson

Demand $

Attorney ____ for Plaintiff

Attorney ____ for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Mch 2	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable

No. 145

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

E Downs alias J Downey — Defendant

Action Battery A S Mitchell

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

189 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
March	3	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	"	Warrant returned and filed & Defendant in court, informed of the charge against him, and of his legal rights, pleads guilty and waives time for rendering of Judgment is fined $15.00 Fine paid and defendant discharged

Alvin Holcomb J P

146

No. 146

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Luther Bennett — Defendant

Action Petit Larceny W L Hill

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff E O Edwards

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Mch	3	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest & given Ores to W L Hill
"	5	Warrant returned and filed & defendant brought into court and is duly informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises pleads not guilty Bond fixed in the sum of $100 &. Case fixed for Friday Mch 14 1890 at 2 P m Subpoenas issued
"	8	Defendant gives Bond in the sum of $100 with B J Alford and W E Marlar as sureties Defendant Demands Jury
"	14	2 P m. Case continued by Application of Defendant to Monday March 24th 1890 at 2 o'clock P M Venire issued returned and filed
"	24	2 P m. Parties in court and trial proceeds. By consent the following jurors sworn to try the case A S Nikins, W H Tucker, S Hammond, C C Cobb W W Macy, R Utterback C J Smith, C B Harkness, J Bratton, W H Knapp L L Packard, J J Travis: Witnesses Sworn and Ben Johnson Lindsay Frank Lindsay Henry Bowen and W S Hill sworn and testify on behalf of Plaintiff & Luther Bennett & Geo Pate sworn and testify on behalf of Defendant & case submitted whereupon the Jury retires in charge of the proper officer and after deliberation is returned into court and report their verdict as follows: "We the jury find the defendant not Guilty W H Tucker Foreman" whereupon Jury discharged & defendant discharged and Bail exhonerated

Oliver Wolcott J P

No. 147

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

George Owens — Defendant

Action Forgery. H L Hill

Demand $

H H Welsh Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1889 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Mch	3	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued & delivered to W L Hill Constable
"	5	Warrant returned & filed defendant in court informed of the charge against him & of his rights in the premises Bail fixed in the sum of $1500 ~~Examination~~ fixed for Friday Mch 14 1889 at 10 am Subpoena issued
"	14	10 am Defendant in court and Examination proceeds H H Welsh appears for the People & E W Risley appointed short hand reporter at request of Dist Atty. Witnesses Jas. T. White, Wm H. Tibbetts, Robt Dunham, Elton Bell, J G. Wright, and Horace Bonham sworn and testify on behalf of People and cause submitted whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that Defendant be and he is hereby held to answer before the Superior Court of said Fresno County on said charge of Forgery as set forth in the complaint with Bond fixed in the sum of $1000 00

Owen Wolcott J P

148

No. 148

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J G Rhodes, Plaintiff

vs.

R A Rose. Defendant

Action on promissory note

Demand $ 95 00/100 + int @ 10% from Apr 1st 90

S R Hart Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Mch 4	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to L D Morgan Constable (J G Wolfora deputy)
April 1st	Summons returned and plea showing service by the Constable, by Serving on said defendant R A Rose a copy of the Summons and copy of the complaint attached thereto personally in the said 3d Township Fresno County on the 1st day of April 1890
	action dismissed by plaintiff and costs paid
costs hrs 2,20 Justice 2 00 $ 4 20	adv $ 2 80 atty. per order dismissed. S R Hart Atty for Plaintiff
	Alvin Wolcott J P

No. 149

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

William Huber. — Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace.

Heinrich Bretzer

Demand $

T W Bowles
Justice

Geo W Jones
Attorney for Plaintiff

D McLaon
Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Mch	4	Complaint filed and Warrant of Arrest issued to J G Johnson Constable & J E Doolittle Dep't
"	5	Warrant returned & filed Deft in court informed of the charge against him and of his legal rights. pleads not guilty. gives bond in the sum of $50 with Hemp Neal and P. Kulmeyer as sureties. Trial fixed for Friday Mch 7th 1890 at 2 P M. Subpoena issued
"	7	Subpoena returned and filed. Subpoena returned and filed, Parties in Court & trial proceeds. G W Jones appearing for People and D McLaon for Defendant. Interpreters F. Fisher & J. W. Borchers sworn. Witnesses Heinrich Bretzer & William Bretzer sworn and testify on behalf of Plaintiff 2) case submitted. whereupon Defendant is found not guilty and is discharged 3) Bail exonerated

T Lyon Woolcott J P

150 C

No. 150

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

D. Stilz — Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace.
Heinrich Brehyer

Demand $

Geo W Jones
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
March	4	Complaint filed & Warrant issued & given J D Johnson Constable (J E Doolittle Dep)
"	5	Warrant returned and filed, Deft in court informed of the charge against him and of his legal rights. pleads not guilty & gives bail in the sum of $50 with C Kalt & P Mulmeyer as sureties Trial fixed for Friday March 7th 1890 at 2:15 o'clock P M
"	7	2-15 P.M. Case continued by consent till March 19 1890 at 10 o'clock a.m. by consent
April	14	action dismissed by order of Distrct attorney.
"	"	defendant discharged and bail exonerated

Chas Walcott J P

No. 151

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Chris Kale — Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Geo W Jones Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Mch	4	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued & given J G Johnson Constable & J E Doolittle Dep't
"	5	Warrant returned & filed. Deft in court informed of the charge against him & of his legal rights. Pleads not guilty & gives bond in the sum of $50 with Wm Huber and H Deal as sureties. Trial fixed for Friday March 7' 1890 at 2:30 o'clock P M
"	7	2:30 o'clock P M. Case continued by consent till Mch 19 1890 at 10:15 o'clock am
April	14	action dismissed by order of District attorney & Defendant discharged and bail exonerated

Chris Wolcott J P

152 C

No. 152

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Henry Deal — Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace
Heinrich Bretzer

Demand $

Geo W Jones
Attorney for Plaintiff

D. McSalon
Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
March	4	Complaint filed and Warrant of Arrest issued & given J.G. Johnson Constable & J E Doolittle Dep
"	5	Warrant returned and filed and Deft in court and duly informed of the charge against him & of his legal rights pleads not guilty & gives bail in the sum of $50 with Wm Huber and D Stitz as sureties. Trial fixed for Friday March 7th 1890 at 2 45 o'clock P m
"	7	2 45 P m. Case continued by consent till March 19 1890 at 10 30 o'clock a m
"	19	10-30 o'clock a m Parties and atty in court and trial proceeds. J W Borchers sworn as Interpreter Witnesses Wm Bretzer. H Bretzer, F. Oppenlander Geo Gonshin. Maggie Shorr and Cathini Bretzer sworn and testifies on behalf of Plaintiff and Witnesses Henry Deal. Wm Huber. Chas Kal, Phil Kulmeyr and Daniel Stitz sworn and testifies on behalf of Defendant The following Jurors having been sworn to try the case. Wm Lynch J.A. Thrasher. J W Statham, J Bloomingdale, J. Judge. J A Ross. S Prather J. Dualls, W. Litchfield, J Lowry, J. H. Roscoe. R W. Gilliam, and case submitted to the jury which retires in charge of the proper officer. and after deliberation are returned into court and report that they are unable to agree and are discharged by consent of respective parties
April	8	action fixed for trial by consent on Tuesday April 15th 890 10 a m. and fix demanded by defendant:
"	14	action dismissed by order of the District attorney defendant discharged. Bail exonerated. Chas Nuleon J P

No. 153

153

In the Justice's Court of Alcalde Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Phil Neilmeyer — Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace
Kenneth Bridges

Demand $

G W Jones
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Mch	4	Complaint filed and Warrant of Arrest issued & given J G Johnson Constable & J E Doolittle Dep't
"	5	Warrant returned and filed and Deft in court and duly informed of the charge against him and of his legal rights pleads not guilty & gives bail in the sum of $50 with H Deal and D Seitz as sureties Trial fixed for Friday March 7th 1890 at 3 o'clock P M
"	7	3 o'clock P.M. Case continued by consent till March 19 1890 at 10-45 o'clock am
April	14	Action dismissed by order of District Attorney defendant discharged and bail exonerated

Almon Woolcott J P

154

No. 154

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. D. Fiske Plaintiff

vs.

P. Kumpf Defendant

Action for rent due

Demand $200

D M Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
March	5	Complaint filed and Summons issued thereon given J D Morgan Constable & J E Johnson Dep't
"	10	Summons returned and filed, showing personal service on said Defendant P Kumpf by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the Complaint in said 3 Township on March 10. 1890
"	20	It appearing from the officers return that Defendant was personally served with a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the Complaint in said 3 Township on March 10. 1890 and the time allowed by law for answering having expired and Defendant not having appeared or answered in any way said default is hereby entered according to law
"	"	It appearing that Deft was personally served with attached copies of the Complaint and Summons in said 3 Township on March 10. 1890 and the legal time for answering having expired and Deft not having appeared or answered in any way and his default having been duly entered according to law
"	"	Now on this day on motion of D M. Seaton Attorney for Plaintiff that judgment be rendered and entered it is ordered and adjudged that judgment be and it is hereby rendered and entered in favor of Plaintiff J D. Fiske and against the Defendant P Kumpf for the sum of two hundred Dollars ($200) and costs according to the prayer of the Complaint Constables costs $2 00/100 Justices costs $4 50/100 Total amount of Judgment $206 50/100 Elmer Wolcott J P
May	2	Abstract of Judgment issued

This judgment satisfied by order of Plaintiff with the sum of $205.

By Elmer Wolcott Justice's Fees $2.00

No. 153

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Henry Miller Plaintiff

vs.

Rogers Defendant

Action Damages for trespass

Demand $ 299.

Grady & Austin
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Reed & Merriam
Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Mch	6	Complaint filed and Summons issued and given to Morgan Constable
"	25	Now comes Defts by his attys Reed & Merriam and files general demurrer and to Plaintiff Complaint on the ground that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action

Filed Mch 6th 1890
Wm Mortimer
Clerk
By Melvin Young Deputy

156

No. 156

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Frank B. Costa
Plaintiff
vs.
John W. Stayton
Defendant

Action for Loard

Demand $45.00

Goucher & Jones
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
March	6	Complaint filed & Summons issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	10	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on said defendant J W Stayton by delivering to him a copy of said Summons in said 3d Township on March 8th 1890 (copy of said Complaint attached to a)
"	15	It appearing from the Offices return that Defendant was personally served with a copy of said Summons in said 3d Township on March 8th 1890 and the time allowed by law for answering having expired and defendant not having appeared or answered in any way his default is hereby entered according to law
"	"	It appearing that Defdt was personally served with attached copies of the Complaint and Summons in said 3d Township on March 8th 1890 and the legal time for answering having expired & Defdt not having appeared or answered in any way & his default having been duly entered according to law Now on this day on motion of Goucher & Jones attorney for Plaintiff that Judgment be rendered & entered it is ordered and adjudged that the same be and it is hereby rendered and entered in favor of Plaintiff Frank B Costa and against the Defendant John W. Stayton for the sum of forty five Dollars ($45.00) and costs according to the prayer of the complaint Constables costs $2.00 } Total amount of Judgment $51 50/100 Justices costs $4.50 } Elmer Wolcott J P Judgment Satisfied this 23 day of June 1890 Goucher & Jones Attys for Plaintiff.

No. 137

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Juan Rodriguez — Defendant

Action Threatened Offense

Jose D Moreno

Demand $

A L Cureton — Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo L Hood — Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Mch	6	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	"	Warrant returned and filed & Deft brought into court and is duly informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Bond fixed in the sum of five hundred dollars which deft gives with Jose C T. Briones and H C Wisely as sureties Examination fixed for Monday March 10 1890 at 2 o'clock P M and Subpoena issued
"	10	Subpoena returned and filed. Parties and attys in court & ready for Examination which proceeds. E W Risley appointed short hand reporter at request of Dist Atty. J L Flores sworn as Spanish Interpreter and R O Silveira sworn as Portugese Interpreter. Witnesses Jose D Moreno & Ricardo Augusto sworn and testify on behalf of Plaintiff and Witnesses John Roderick. Tom Mitchell. J M Phillips and Patrick Sullivan sworn and testify on behalf of Defendant & cause argued and submitted whereupon Defendant is discharged there not being sufficient evidence to Hold him and Bail exonerated

Elmer Wolcott J P.

158

No. 158

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

A C Hughey — Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

J G Rhodes

Demand $

E S Van Meter
Attorney for Plaintiff

R B Terry
Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Mch	7	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable.
"	"	Warrant returned & filed & defendant brought into court & is duly informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Bail fixed in the sum of $500 which Defendant gives with D R Miller and H Stutzman as sureties
"	12	Examination fixed for Saturday March 15th 1890 at 10 o'clock a.m. and notice given
"	"	Subpoena issued
"	15	Subpoena returned & filed. Parties in court & Examination proceeds. Dept being ready. E S Van Meter appearing for Plaintiff and R B Terry for Defendant & E W Risley appointed short hand reporter at request of Dist Attorney and witnesses J G Rhodes, A Hendra and Thuman Hart sworn and testify on behalf of Defendant & Defendant in his own behalf and case submitted whereupon defendant is discharged there not being sufficient evidence to hold him & bail exonerated

Elmer Wolcott J P

No. 159

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. G. Rhodes. Plaintiff

vs.

B. T. Alford Defendant

Action Foclosure

Demand $ 20.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Mch	8	Complaint filed and Summons issued
"	"	Summons returned & filed showing personal service on said Defendant by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the Complaint in said 3d Township on March 8th 1890.
"	"	Action dismissed on motion of Plaintiff same being settled in full and costs paid.
		Received full satisfaction of above demand paid to Plaintiff

Chas Wolcott J P

160

No. 160

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Steve Mitrovich — Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace
Anton Lapozich

Demand $

Attorney ____ for Plaintiff

Attorney ____ for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Mch	8	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued and given to J G Johnson Constable & J E Doolittle Dep
"	"	Warrant returned & filed and defendant brought into court & is duly informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Bail fixed in the sum of $100 which defendant gives with W N Berbora & L Popovich as sureties Trial fixed for Tuesday 11 1890 at 10 o'clock am
"	11	Parties in court. Prosecution withdraws case and pays cost amounting to $3.60 Whereupon defendant is found discharged & bail exhonerated

Elmer Wolcott J P

witness Joel Gregory

No. 161

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Ed Bacon — Defendant

Action Battery

Ernest Engel

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1890		
March	10	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued & delivered to J. Thompson Constable
"	"	Warrant returned and filed and defendant brought into Court & is duly informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises pleads that he has been fined in the sum of $10 in J.C. Hogues Justice Court of the 3rd Township for the offense of Battery and produces receipt showing said fine whereupon Defendant is discharged

Chas Wolcott J.P.

No. 162 Complaint fees 2 5 1 5 / 1 0 8 5 / 3 5 8 0 } 5 5 0 0 / 3 5 8 0 / 7 9 2 0

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Henry H. Johnson Plaintiff

vs.

Geo Wicks Defendant

Action Labor

Demand $50 00

J G Rhodes Attorney for Plaintiff

Grady & Austin Attorneys for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Mch	13	Complaint Affidavit and Undertaking on Attachment filed & Summons and Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	14	Answer in writing filed by Grady & Austin Attys for Defendant
"	26	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on Defendant by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the Complaint on said 3rd Township on March 13th 1890 and Writ of Attachment returned and filed
April	10	½ case set for trial on Saturday April 19th 10 a m. Subpna issued for defts
	19th	Case continued on application of defendants, to Friday April 25th 1890. 2 P M. on witness fee of $3.20 paid by defts to plff as condition of Continuance
"	25th	parties and counsel in court (jury waived) and trial proceeds H. H. Johnson, Mrs M Johnson and Alex Madsen Sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff, and Geo. Wicks Sworn and testifies in his own, (defendants) behalf - argued by respective attorneys and submitted - Whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that judgment be and the same is hereby rendered and Entered in favor of Henry H Johnson the plaintiff and against Geo Wicks the defendants for the Sum of Fifty Dollars $50 00 debt and the further Sum of Eighteen 20/100 Dollars ($18 20) according to the prayer of the complaint.

Total amount of Judgment Fifty Eight 20/100 ($68 20

Constable fees $8 80
Justice fees, 9,40

Abner Walcott J P

Filed Satisfaction Piece this 4th day of May 1890. H. H. Johnson

by Mrs. Johnson

Oct	27	Execution issued and delivered to J Dmorgan Constable.
Nov	3d	Issued $50 00 Fifty Dollars

No. 163

aJ 40
aW 60

163

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Alma Jewett — Defendant

Action Keeping a house of ill fame
J Morgan

Demand $

Welsh & Bernhard — Attorneys for Plaintiff

Geo L Hood — Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Mch	10	Complaint filed and Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J P Morgan Constable
"	11	Warrant returned and filed and deft brought into court and on being informed of the charge against her and of her rights in the premises pleads "Once in jeopardy" & gives bail in the sum of $100 cash. The Defendant pleads that she has been once in jeopardy for the offence charged, and that on the 4th and 5th days of March 1890 at Madera in 1st Township Fresno County State of California in the Justices Court of John Charles he being a Justice of the Peace in and for said Township and county
"		Case fixed for trial for Monday March 17th 1890 at 10 o'clock am
"	14	Subpoenas issued Venire issued on Defts demand for Jury
"	17	10 o'clock am. By consent case postponed till Mch 17th 1890 at 2 o'clock P m
"	"	2 o'clock P.M. Venire & Subpoena returned and filed Parties in court and trial proceeds. H H Welsh & E H Bernhard appearing for the People & Geo L Hood for Defendant & People plead no former jeopardy and deny the same. Defendant pleads not guilty. Parties stipulate that the pleas be heard & determined at the same time. Defendant files affidavit for change of Venue. Question argued and submitted & the change of Venue is granted, and cause transferred to O. B. Cobb Justice of the Peace of the 2nd Township Said Fresno County

Alma Wolcott J P

April 7½ Upon motion of H. H. Welsh Esq District Attorney (Deputy) the action is dismissed, and the bail deposit is directed to be returned to defendant

Almon Wolcott J P

11 11 Received the above sum of $100 00 (deposit in lieu of bail) of Almon Wolcott J P.

Geo L Hood
Attorney for Defendant

No. 164

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R. Ruben & Paul R. Ruben Plaintiff

vs.

G E Colwell Defendant

Action on account.

Demand $ 24 80/100

J G Rhodes Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Mch	11	Complaint filed & Summons issued & delivered to

No. 165

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Catarino Cavallero Plaintiff

vs.

Ed Sutherland Defendant

Action on account for work done

Demand $ 62 25/100

Goucher & Jones Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Mch 11	Complaint filed & Summons issued to J. D. Morgan Constable.
1890 July 30.	Summons returned Served and filed, showing personal Service on the defendant Ed Sutherland in the 3d Township County of Fresno on the 25th day of July 1890 by delivering to him a true copy thereof and a copy of the Complaint attached thereto
August 13th	It appearing from the return made by the officer on the original Summons that the defendant "Ed Sutherland" was personally served by said officer on the 25th day of July 1890 in the 3d Township County of Fresno, by delivering to the defendant a true copy of said Summons and a copy of the complaint, and the legal time to answer to wit 10 (ten) days having expired and the defendant not answering nor appearing in the action in any way nor at all, his default is now entered, and on motion of Messrs Goucher & Jones the attorneys for the plaintiff judgment is hereby this 13th day of August 1890 rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Catarino Cavallero and against the defendant Ed Sutherland for the Sum of Sixty two 25/100 Dollars ($62 25/100) and the further Sum of Fourteen 50/100 Dollars ($14 50/100) costs of Suit according to the prayer of the complaint constable fees $10 00 Justice — — 4,50 total Judgt $76 75 Oliver Wolcott J.P.

No. 166

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

N. J. Pickle — Plaintiff

vs.

C. W. Rensburg — Defendant

Action for money loaned

Demand $ 80.00 + int from Nov 1889 at 7%

S. Jackson Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Mch	17	Complaint filed & affidavit and Undertaking on Attachment filed & Summons and Writ of Attachment issued to J G Johnston Constable (J. E. Doolittle dy cnty)
April	10	Summons returned and filed Showing personal Service by said officer on the defendant C. W. Rensburg on the 7th day of April 1890 in said 3d Township Fresno county by delivering to him a copy thereof and a copy of complaint attached thereto - and writ of attachment returned showing levy &c -
"	14	The defendant not appearing in any way, and the legal time having expired, to wit five days, and the defendant having been personally served on the 7th day of April 1890 with a copy of the Summons and copy of complaint attached in said Township. his default is duly entered and now on Motion of the plaintiffs attorney, S Jackson Esq judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the Plaintiff N J Pickle and against the defendant C W Rensburg for the Sum of Eighty Dollars ($80.00 Dollars interest $2.33 and costs amounting to the Sum of one hundred and ninety four 73/100 Dollars according to the prayer of the complaint Constables fees $103.90 Total Judgment $194.73 Justice = 8.50 Almon Wolcott J. P.
"	19	Execution issued to J D Morgan Constable
"	24	Execution returned Satisfied Almon Wolcott J. P.

Paid Satisfaction in full of the above Judgment

S. Jackson Pffs Attys

No. 167

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The South British F & M Ins Co (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

C H Rensberg Defendant

Action on promissory note

Demand $240 05/100

S Jackson Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Mch	13	Complaint filed and Affidavit and Undertaking on Attachment filed and Summons and Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J G Johnson Constable & E Doolittle Dep.
April	10	Summons returned and filed, showing personal service by said Officer, on the defendant C H Rensberg on the 7th day of April 1890 in said Township Fresno County, by delivering to him a copy of said Summons and a copy of Complaint attached thereto; and writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy &c.

168

No. 168

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Saint British F. & M. Ins Co (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

C. W. Rensberg Defendant

Action on Promissory Note

Demand $249 09/100

S. Jackson Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS	18
March	13	Complaint filed & Affidavit and Undertaking on Attachment filed and Summons and Writ of Attachment issued & delivered to J. G. Johnson Constable & J. E. Doulin Dep.	
April	102	Summons returned Served and filed, showing personal service on the defendant C. W. Rensberg on the 7th day of April 1890 in said 3d Township Fresno County by said officer, by delivering to him a copy of the Summons and a copy of the Complaint attached thereto. Writ of attachment returned and filed, showing levy &c.	

Dismissed

No. 169

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Cummings & Higgins, Plaintiffs
vs.
S S Culver, Defendant

Action Balance due on account

Demand $9.55/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
March	14	Complaint filed and Affidavit and Undertaking on Attachment filed & Summons and Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J. D. Morgan Constable & J G Hoffard Def't
"	"	Action dismissed by order of Plaintiffs Same being settled in full and costs paid

John Wolcott J P

170 ✓

No. 170

In the Justice's Court of 5th Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Alma Jewett — Defendant

Action Transacting business without License.

Demand $ $50 and Costs

W H Welsh
Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo L Hood
Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
March	15	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued and given J D Morgan Constable & J J Bartlett Dep
"	"	Warrant returned & filed & defendant brought into court and is duly informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises pleads not guilty and gives cash bail in the sum of $50.00
"	17	Case fixed for trial for Friday March 21st 1890 at 10 o'clock am and jury demanded by Defendant. Subpoena issued
"	21	10 a.m. Parties appear by their attorneys and Defendant files motion in writing for change of venue. Motion granted and cause transferred to J. T. Walton Justice Court of Fifth Township Fresno County California

John Wolcott J D

Rec'd of John Wolcott the cash bail in the sum of $50.00

J. T. Walton J. P.
5th Township
Fresno Co.

No. 171

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

William ~~Degan~~ Degan Plaintiff

vs.

R R Murray Defendant

Action Unlawful detainer

Demand $ 28 37/100

H C Tupper Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
May	15	Complaint filed & Summons issued and delivered to dismissed by plaintiff and costs paid Oliver Wellcome J P.

No. 172

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

T. J. May Plaintiff

vs.

John Stores Defendant

Action on promissory Note

Demand $124 50/100 + int @ 5% pr yr from Mch 6/89 & $14 costs of protest

Church & Cory Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Mch 15	Complaint filed and Affidavit and Undertaking on Attachment filed and Summons & Writ of Attachment issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable
" 19	Summons returned & filed showing personal service on Defendant by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said 3rd Township on March 17th 1890 and Writ of Attachment returned & filed
" 22	Intervention, in writing, filed by Thomas L Kennedy. thought his attorney Geo B Graham
" 25	It appearing from the Sheriffs return that Deft was personally served with attached copies of the complaint and Summons in said 3rd Township on March 17th 1890, and the time allowed by law for answering having expired, and defendant not having appeared or answered in any way his default is hereby entered according to law
" "	It appearing that Defts was personally served with attached copies of the Complaint and Summons in said 3rd Township on March 17 1890 and the time allowed by law for answering having expired and Defts not having answered or appeared in any way and his default having been entered
" "	Now on motion of Church & Cory attorneys for Plaintiff that judgment be rendered and ~~ordered~~ it is ordered and adjudged that judgment be and the same is hereby rendered and entered in favor of Plaintiff T J May and against Defendant John Stores for the sum of one hundred forty one 91/100 Dollars ($141 95/100) being (Principal $124 50/100, Interest $13 45/100 & costs of protest $4 00) and costs Justices costs $7 50/100 Constables costs $31 50/100 Total amount of Judgment $180 95/100 Oliver Walcott J P
" 11	Execution issued to J D Morgan Constable
" 29	Motion to Strike out intervention filed and hearing fixed for 31st March 1890, 10 a.m. continued to April 1st 1890, 10 a.m.
April 1st	Motion agreed to submitted and the same granted & the intervention stricken out
" 8th	Execution returned with return of $87 50

No. 173

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H Falkenberg & J Krogh partners under the firm name of Falkenberg & Krogh. Plaintiffs

vs.

W B Slackhaus & J Slackhaus partners under the firm name of Slackhaus Bros Defendants

Action Balance due on account

Demand $ 71 80/100

S Jackson
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
March	17	Complaint filed & Affidavit and Undertaking on Attachment filed & Summons and Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to Js. Johnson Constable & J E Doolittle Dep't

174 ✓

No. 174

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Hausbrough — Defendant

Action Grand Larceny
Samuel Rowe

Demand $

H. H. Melvin
Attorney for Plaintiff

S R Hart
Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
March	17	Complaint filed & Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J. G. Johnson Constable Chas Fraser Dep't
"	18	Warrant returned and filed and defendant brought into court and is duly informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises Bail fixed in the sum of $1000. In default of which Def't is committed to the custody of the Sheriff of said Fresno County pending his examination which is filed for Thursday March 20. 1890 at 10 o'clock a.m. & Subpoena issued
"	20	10 am Case continued till Mch 29. 1890 at 10 o'clock a.m. on motion of Defendant in order that he may secure counsel
	29	Defendant in court in person with his attorney S R Hart and an application of defendant case continued to Friday the 4th day of April 1890 10 o'clock A. M.
April	4th	Defendant in court and S R Hart his atty — Jas Melvin appearing for the People. E W Risley appointed as Reporter, and Examination had — Samuel Rowe, Jennie Patterson and E W Hazen Sworn and testify in behalf of the People — case argued and submitted, Whereupon the defendant is held to answer in the Superior Court and bail is fixed in the sum of $1000 00 and defendant committed to the Sheriff of said Fresno County until he gives bail.

Orrin Wolcott J. P.

No. 175

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M. Saier Plaintiff

vs.

J.W. Carlisle and P.D. Bozeman Defendants

Action Promissory Note

Demand $ 27 00

S. Jackson Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Mch 18	Complaint filed and Affidavit and Undertaking on Attachment filed and Summons and Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J.G. Johnson Constable & J.E. Doolittle Dep't.
April 9th	Summons returned Served and filed, Showing personal service on the defendant J.W. Carlisle by the officer, on the 3rd day of April 1890 in the said 3rd Township Fresno County by delivering to him a copy thereof and a copy of said Complaint attached thereto, and a copy of the Summons on P.D. Bozeman on the 8th day of April 1890 in the said Township by said officer, and by delivering the same to him personally on that day and in said Township County.

176

No. 176

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. B. Chaiel Plaintiff

vs.

A. D. Turner Defendant

Action for use of surveying instruments

Demand $ 34 00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Mch 18	Complaint filed & Summons issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable & J T Baulch Dep
" "	Summons returned and filed, showing personal service on said Deft by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said 3d Township on March 18th 1890.
" 26	It appearing from the Officers return on the original Summons that the defendant A D Turner was personally served with a copy of the Summons and a copy of Complaint attached thereto in said 3d Township County of Fresno on the 18th day of March 1890, and it further appearing that the time for answering has expired to wit, 5 days, and the defendant not appearing in any way his default is hereby entered and on motion of plaintiff It is ordered and adjudged that judgment be and the same is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff H. B. Chaiel and against the defendant A D Turner for the sum of thirty four Dollars ($34 00) and the further sum of six 50/100 Dollars ($6 50) costs of suit - in all amounting to the sum of Forty 50/100 Dollars according to the prayer of the complaint.
	Justice fees $4 50 / Constable = = 2 00 — Total amount of judgment ($40 50)
	Oliver Walcott J P
May 2nd	Execution issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable.
July 7th	Execution returned Not Satisfied that being no property -

No. 177

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Frank E. Callisch — Plaintiff

vs.

R. C. Gardner — Defendant

Action on Promissory Note

Demand $35 and int at 1% per mo from Nov 17/89

S Jackson
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
March	18	Complaint filed & Affidavit and Undertaking on Attachment filed and Summons and Writ of attachment issued and delivered to J G Johnson Constable & J E Doolittle Dep'y
April	17	Summons returned Served and filed, showing personal Service on the defendant R C Gardner in said 3d Township County of Fresno on the 17th day of April 1890 by delivering to him a copy thereof and a copy of the complaint attached thereto = attachment returned and filed.
April	24	It appearing from the return of the officer, on the original Summons, that the defendant R. C. Gardner was personally Served in said 3d Township Fresno County on the 17th day of April 1890 with a copy of said Summons and a copy of the Complaint attached thereto - and the legal time to answer having Expired to wit 5 days, and the defendant Not appearing in any way, his default is now entered; And on Motion of S Jackson Esq attorney for plaintiff and proof of said Note, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff said Frank E. Callisch and against the defendant said R C Gardner for the Sum of Thirty five Dollars $35.00 principal and one 45/100 Dollars $1.45 interest and costs amounting to Eleven 20/100 Dollars ($11.20) to wit Constable fees $3.20 Justice " $8.00 according to the proof of the Complaint Forty Seven 63/100 Dollars ($47.63) Total Judgment $47.65

Abner Walcott J P

May	8	Execution issued and delivered to J G Johnson Constable; Constables add'l cost 2.90 Total $6.40 Paid
July	29	Execution returned with $10.00 cash thereon and filed
"		- alias Execution issued & delivered to J G Johnston constable.
"	30	cash $3.00 Justice costs paid
Nov	10	- alias Execution issued to I D Murgan Constable =

returning Satisfied by order of Plaintiff's Atty
Nov 11th 1890.
A. Walcott J.P.

178

No. 178

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. J. Patterson Plaintiff

vs.

Chas Taylor & Wm Taylor Defendants

Action For rent due

Demand $ 37 00/100

A. S. Cureton
Attorney for Plaintiff

D. M. Seaton.
Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Mch	18	Camplaint. Affidavit and Undertaking on attachment filed & Summons and Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J. Johnson Constable & J. E. Doolittle Dep't
	20	Summons returned and filed. showing personal service on Chas Taylor by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the complaint in said 3rd Township on March 19. 1890 & on Wm Taylor by delivering to him a copy of said Summons in said 3rd Township on March 19. 1890 & Writ of Attachment returned and filed
	22	Answer in writing filed by D M Seaton Atty for Defendants
April	1½	by consent and notice given trial fixed for Tuesday April 8½ 10 A M, 1890
	7½	Subpoenas issued for Pltff & and defendants
	8½	parties in court and action continued to Wednesday April 9½ 10 a.m. " 1890 on application of defendants Affidavits on file
"	9½	parties in court, and witnesses, Subpoenas duly returned, affidavit of Defendants for change of venue filed and argued and submitted, whereupon the same is granted. bill of costs filed and exception thereto.
"	11	Stipulation filed that trial be had by Jury of four jurors =
"	18	Subpoenas issued by Plaintiff
	19½	action continued to May 3rd 1890 10. a.m. and Subpoena issued for defts
May	2nd	venire issued and Subpoena for Pltff
"	3	Parties in court = venire returned = and trial proceeds. The following jurors are accepted and Sworn to try the issues joined to wit = C C Harris, J A Lane, Wm Reed and J. H. Tavor = the following witnesses are Sworn Testify on behalf of Plaintiff to wit = W J Patterson, Mrs J. Ryan, W. G. Barnum, Wm Ingram, J Thrasher, Saml Rowe = the following witnesses are Sworn and testify on behalf of defendants to wit = Wm Taylor, Chas Taylor, J Martin, Wm Rowe, A M Porter, Mrs G. Taylor, W Rodener and Robert Perrin = argued by respective attorneys and

(carried to page 179 Docket B)

May 17 Atty's fees $22.50 to A S Cureton

No. 179

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. G. Yancey, Plaintiff

vs.

Edward Rogers and Augusta M. Rogers his wife Defendants

Action on Account

Demand $88 10/100

Church & Coy Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
March	19	Camplaint filed & Summons issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable & J G Wofford Def't
"	20	Affidavit and Undertaking on Attachment filed and Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable & J G Wofford Def.
April	23	action dismissed by order of plaintiff's atty's, costs paid Oliver Wolcott
1890 May	3rd	Brought over from Page 178 Docket C W J Patterson vs Chas Taylor and William Taylor
	11	Submitted to the jury, who retire in charge of the sworn officer, and after due deliberation are returned into court, and render the following verdict to wit "We the jury find for the plaintiff in the sum of thirty seven Dollars C C Harris Foreman" and the same is filed and the jury discharged. Whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that judgment be and the same now is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff W J Patterson and against the defendants Chas Taylor and Wm Taylor the defendants for the said sum of thirty seven Dollars ($37 00) and the further sum of one hundred and forty eight 40/100 Dollars ($148 40/100) costs of suit = Total amount of judgment $185 40/100 Justice ~~costs~~ $18 20 Constable fees 4 00 Jury fees 8 00 Witness fees 76 20 Judgment $185 40/100 Oliver Wolcott, J. P.
	6	Ten days Stay of proceedings allowed by consent = Bill of costs filed by Plaintiff

May 17 Atty's fees $20 00 to C & S credited on Execution
Cons Constable fees & Jury fees $11 00 more to be made.
Justice fees paid.

180

No. 180

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. G. Yancey Plaintiff

vs.

W. D. Grady and Annie Grady his wife Defendants

Action Balance due on Account

Demand $120 77/100 + int from Sept 26/89 at 7% per yr

Chuck & Cony Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
March	19	Complaint filed & Summons issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J G Wyffa deputy)
"	24	Now comes Defendants and enters general oral denial to each and every allegation in Plaintiffs complaint
"	"	Summons returned and filed showing personal Service by said Constable on the 19th day of March 1890 in said 3d Township Fresno County, by delivering to defendant W D Grady a copy thereof attached to a copy of the Complaint and a copy of Summons on Defendant Annie Grady in said Township County
April	2d	action dismissed by order of Plaintiffs attorneys

Amer Wolcott

16.35

No. 181

181

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J G Yancey Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Storer Defendant

Action on two promissory notes

Demand $170.45 + int @ 1% comp from Jan 7/89 + $50 atty fee + int @ 1¼% from May 9/89 + $50 atty fee

Church & Coxey Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1889 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
March	19	Complaint filed and Summons issued to J D Morgan constable (J S Wofford deputy)
"	20	affidavit and undertaking filed for writ of attachment and writ issued & delivered to J D Morgan constable, (J S Wofford deputy) on April 15 1890. on application of Pltfs attys

1890

Sept 15 appears R P Dawson Esq as attorney for defendant and answers orally, for the 1st denying each & every allegation of plaintiff's complaint.

1890

October 6 day of trial fixed for Thursday October 9 1890. 2 P.M.

October 9 Now on this 9 day of October 1890 appears Messrs Church & Coxey attys for the plaintiff and the hour fixed for trial having arrived and the Notes set forth in the complaint being proved filed and J.H. Coxey being sworn on part of plaintiff testifying as to the fee of attorneys - and defendant not appearing at the trial; on motion of plaintiffs attorneys Messrs "Church & Coxey" judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J.G. Yancey and against the defendant Frank Storer - for the sum of two hundred and twenty $\frac{45}{100}$ Dollars principal and forty $\frac{70}{100}$ Dollars ($14 $\frac{70}{100}$) interest and the sum of thirty $\frac{00}{100}$ Dollars ($30.00) atty's fee and the further sum of twenty-four $\frac{85}{100}$ Dollars ($24 $\frac{85}{100}$) costs of suit -

Constable fees	$16 $\frac{35}{100}$	Total judgment	$324 $\frac{70}{100}$
Justice	8 $\frac{50}{100}$		

Abner Walcott J.P.

October 22nd Execution issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable

and attys fees

Recorded in full this 19th November 1890

J.G. Yancey

J.H. Coxey

182

No. 182

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Daniel Ahrans — Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace
Edward Vogelsang

Demand $

Attorney ____ for Plaintiff

Attorney ____ for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
March	19	Complaint filed and Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to E. Vogelsang constable
"	"	Warrant returned and filed and defendant brought into court and is duly informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises pleads guilty. waives time for rendering of judgment and is fined in the sum of $20. which fine is assumed by W. J. Williams
		Elmer Wolcott J P
July	9th	1890 Fine into court by W J Williams
		Elmer Wolcott J P

Fined $20 cts
July 9th 1890

No. 183

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. A. Schweizer Plaintiff

vs.

John Anderkeien Defendant

Action on account

Demand $ 1 50/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1890		
Mch	20	Camplaint filed & Affidavit and Undertaking on Attachment filed and Summons and Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J. G Johnson Constable & J. E Doolittle Def'r
"	"	Action dismissed on motion of Plaintiff. same being settled in full and costs paid

Received full satisfaction of above judgment

Chas A Schweizer

Olin Wolcott J P

No. 184

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

S Jackson, Plaintiff

vs.

Lulu May Nelson Defendant

Action for Legal services & money loaned

Demand $48.00

S Jackson Attorney for Plaintiff

Lulu Nelson Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Mch	21	Complaint Affidavit & Undertaking on Attachment filed and Summons and Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J.G. Johnson Constable & Ex Deputy
"	"	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on Defdt by delivering to her a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of the Complaint in said 3d Township on March 21st 1890 and Writ of Attachment returned and filed
"	26	Demurrer, in writing, filed by Defendant in Proper person

No. 11

In the Justice's Court of ..... Township,

COUNTY OF ..... STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

.....
.....
.....
Plaintiff.....
vs.
.....
.....
Defendant.....

Action Criminal
.....
Demand $.....
.....
Attorney.....*for Plaintiff*.....
.....
Attorney.....*for Defendant*.....

DATE	PROCEEDINGS

No. 186

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

S Jackson Plaintiff

vs.

Tom Judge Defendant

Action for Legal Services

Demand $ 15.00

S Jackson Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
March	24	Complaint filed and Defendant, in writing, waives the issuance of Summons & consents that judgment be entered against himself and in favor of Plaintiff for the amount claimed in the complaint wherefore it is ordered and adjudged that judgment be and it is hereby rendered and entered in favor of Plaintiff S Jackson and against Defendant Tom Judge for the sum of (#15) fifteen dollars and cost, according to the prayer of the complaint Justices costs #4.00 Total amount of Judgment #19.00
"	"	Execution issued and given to Constable

Louis Nulcott J.P.

April	1st	Execution returned - Satisfied in part - $ constable fees $12.00 / Justice = 3.25

No. 187

In the Justice's Court of the Thir Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Charles M Barrett, John D Hicks Jr and Fred W Fisher trading as copartners as Barrett Hicks & Co — Plaintiff(s)

vs.

Tom Judge — Defendant

Action Account assigned for account

Demand $ 68 38/100

S Jackson
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
March	24	Complaint filed and Defendant waives in writing the issuance of summons and consents that judgment be rendered and entered against himself and in favor of Plaintiff for the amount claimed in the complaint wherefor it is ordered and adjudged that judgment be and the same is hereby rendered and entered in favor of Plaintiffs Charles W Barrett. John D Hicks Jr. and Fred W Fischer. trading as copartners as Barrett Hicks & Co and against Defendant Tom Judge for the sum of sixty eight & 38/100 Dollars ($68 38/100) and costs according to the prayer of the complaint
		Justices Costs $4 00 — Total amount of judgment $72 38/100
		Oliver W Lott J P
"	"	Execution issued and given J G Johnson Constable
April	12	Execution returned satisfied.
		Constable fees, $7 70
	paid	
D.	7 23	Justice " 5 25

188

No. 188

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. G. Rhodes, Plaintiff
vs.
J. E. Faber, Defendant

Action for Legal services

Demand $ 10 00

J. G. Rhodes in proper Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Mch 25	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable & J G Wofford Dep't
" 26	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on defendant by delivering to him a copy of the Summons and Complaint attached thereto on the 26th day of March 1890 in said 3d Township County of Fresno.
" 31	Defendant appears and answers (orally) denying each and every allegation in plaintiff's complaint and pleads a tender of two Dollars made by him to plaintiff on or about the 15th day of March 1890, before the commencement of this action, and brings the same into Court.
April 7	action fixed for trial on Friday April 11th 1890, 2 P.M. and notice given,
" 11	parties in court, jury waived, and trial proceeds, H C Tupper and J G Rhodes sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and C Smith & J G Rhodes in behalf of defendant and case submitted, whereupon it is found that the defendant's plea of tender is in all respects true, and judgment is hereby rendered and entered that the defendant have and recover of and from the plaintiff his costs herein expended, and said tender in $2 00 is paid thereon, Total judgment $ 6 50

Constable fees 2 00
Justice fees 4 50

Elmer Walcott J P

No. 189

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

William M Kratz
Plaintiff

vs.

Harry Stoner
Defendant

Action for Money loaned and account furnished

Demand $ 270 00

J T Ryan
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
March	27	Complaint & affidavit filed, and $50 deposit within of undertaking by plaintiff made, for writ of attachment. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J S Morgan Constable (J G Woofra deputy)
"	"	Summons and writ of attachment returned & filed showing service personally on defendant Harry Stoner by said Constable on the 27th day of March 1890 in said 3rd Township Fresno County by delivering to him a copy thereof and a copy of the complaint attached thereto with the said Summons & complaint.
April	3rd	The time for answering having expired and the defendant not appearing in any way his default is now entered; and on motion of J T Ryan Esq attorney for plaintiff, & appearing from the return of the officer on the summons that personal service of said Summons and complaint by copy, was made on the defendant in said 3rd Township on the 27th day of March 1890, and the time to plead by defendant, to wit 5 days, having expired and he not appearing in any way; It is ordered and adjudged that said default be, and the same is now entered and entered in favor of the plaintiff William M Kratz and against the defendant Harry Stoner for the sum of two hundred and seventy dollars ($270.00) and costs amounting to the sum of twelve 90/100 Dollars ($12.90) according to the prayer of the complaint. Total $282.90
		Deputy returned April 3rd 1890 — J. McGrath
costs paid		Constable fees $3.40 Justice = 7.50 — Amos Woolcott J.P.
"	"	abstract of judgt given

190

No. 190

In the Justice's Court of Washington Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Harry Stoner — Defendant

Action Criminal Grand Larceny

Wm. Raty Prisoner

Demand $

J.P. Ryan — Attorney for Plaintiff

R.B. Terry — Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
March 27	Complaint filed and warrant & arrest issued and delivered to J.D. Morgan Constable (J.G. Wofford deputy)
" 28	Warrant returned served and defendant brought into Court and is informed of his rights in the premises and on his application, hearing is fixed for March 29th 10½ A.M.; bond fixed in the sum of $1000.00 and executed by J.O. Davis and H.D. Miller as sureties =
"	Subpoenas issued
" 29	defendant in court and respective attorneys and examination had (E.W. Risley appointed Court Reporter) Wm. McRaty, N.J. Baldwin and C.W. Lenhart sworn and testify in behalf of Plaintiff; and H. Stoner, M. Hennessy Jas. Long & J. White on behalf of defts & the same is argued & submitted Whereupon, the Evidence being insufficient to hold defendant to answer, he is discharged and bail exonerated

Thos. Woolcott J.P.

No. 191

In the Justice's Court of Millerton Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J H Brown — Plaintiff

vs.

W N Bishop — Defendant

Action for pasturage of Stock

Demand $17.35

J Jackson — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1890 March 28	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J G Johnson Constable. C W Fraser Dy
" 29	Summons returned and filed showing personal Service on said defendant W N Bishop by delivering to him a copy of said Summons attached to a copy of Complaint in said 3d Township on March 29th 1890.
April 5	The defendant not appearing in any way and the time for answering having expired to wit 5 days, and personal Service of the Summons and complaint as appears by the return of the officer having been made on the defendant in said 3d Township on the 29th day of March 1890 by delivering to him a copy of said Summons and copy of the complaint attached thereto, the default of the defendant is now entered - and on Motion of J Jackson Esq It is ordered and adjudged that judgment be and the same is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J H Brown and against the defendant W N Bishop for the Sum of Seventeen 35/100 Dollars ($17.35) debt and the further Sum of Six 50/100 Dollars ($6.50) costs according to the prayer of the complaint. Constable fees $2.00 Total amount of Judgment $23.85 Justice = $4.50 Oliver Walcott J P
May 1	Execution issued and delivered to

No. 192

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

T. G. Yancey. Plaintiff

vs.

H D Gross. Defendant

Action Goods sold & delivered

Demand $40 00/100

Chuck & Coy
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Mch	29	Complaint Affidavit and Undertaking on attachment filed and Summons and Writ of Attachment issued and delivered to J. D Morgan Constable
1890 May	29	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on the defendant H D Gross by the Officer on the 29th day of April 1890 in the 3rd Township County of Fresno by delivering to him the defendant a true Copy of said Summons and a copy of the Complaint attached thereto
"	4	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy. Default

Action dismissed by order of plaintiff's Attys and costs paid

Chuck & Coy

No. 198

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G Winter, Plaintiff

vs.

J G Johnson, Defendant

Action on Promissory Note

Demand $ 47.50 Int @ 3% pm Oct 8/89 + $30

Attys fus. Sam Jackson Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Mch	29	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to ~~J G Johnson Constable Charles Brown Dep~~ J D Morgan Constable (J G Wofford deputy)
April	1st	Summons returned and filed, showing personal service on the defendant by said Officer by delivering to him a true copy thereof and a copy of the complaint attached thereto on the 31st day of March 1890 in said 3d Township County of Fresno.
"	8th	It appearing that the Summons and complaint were personally served by said Officer on the said 31st day of March 1890 in said 3d Township and County of Fresno on said defendant J. G. Johnson by copys of the same to him delivered and no answer or demurrer having been filed by him, (the legal time 5 days having since expired) his default is hereby entered, and on motion of S Jackson attorney for the plaintiff It is ordered and adjudged that judgment be, and the same is now rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff, G Winter, against the defendant J. G. Johnson for the sum of Forty Seven 50/100 Dollars principal, and Eight 55/100 Dollars interest and Thirty Dollars attorneys fees and costs of the suit of Six 30/100 Dollars ($6 30) according to the prayer of the complaint dated April 8th 1890
		Justice costs $4 50
		Constable $2 00
		total amount of Judgment $92 55
		Abner Walcott Jr
May	2nd	Execution issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	19	returned "no property found" by said Constable
June	4th	alias Execution issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
August	11	returned no property found. Filed
Sept	21	Execution (3) issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable
Nov	6	Execution returned no property found.

No. 194

In the Justice's Court of The Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. W Ridenour
Plaintiff

vs.

Rimon Mannord & al John Camy Partners doing business under the firm name and style of Rimand & Camy
Defendants

Action Trespass.

Demand $ 60 00

Nauset & Short
Attorneys for Plaintiff

H C Jeppes & J G Rhodes
Attorneys for Defendants.

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Mch	29	Complaint filed & Summons issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable (3 days notice)
April	1st	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on the defendants by the officer by delivering to them copies thereof attached to copy of complaint of 31st day of March 1890 in said 3d Township
April	1st	Defendants appear by J G Rhodes their attorney and demur (orally) to plaintiffs complaint in general form; on the ground that the complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action.
"	3	Demurrer fixed for hearing on Saturday April 5th 1890 at 10 o'clock A.M. and notice given
"	5	appears parties and defendants consent that the demurrer be and the same is overruled; o
"	"	Defendants now by their attorney J G Rhodes come and answer (orally) denying each and every allegation in plaintiffs complaint;
"	"	action fixed for trial on Thursday April 10th 1890 - 10, A.M. and notice given
"	7	Subpoenas issued to plffs.
"	10	parties in court and case continued by consent to Thursday April 17th 1890. 9. A. M.
"	17th	parties in court (Jury waived) and trial proceeds: W W Ridenour, J G Rhodes & H C Thompson and J T Parker sworn testify in behalf of plaintiff and R Mannard and J G Rhodes sworn and testify in behalf of defts cause submitted, and continued by consent for briefs to Wednesday April 23d 1890
"	19	Brief argument by defendant filed
"	21	Brief argument by plffs filed
"	23	The action having been submitted and briefs filed by attorneys for plaintiff and defendant, It is now ordered adjudged that judgment be and the same is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff W W Ridenour and against the

Transferred to Page 197

No. 195

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Asadorian — Defendant

Action Grand Larceny
Ex Serpeau

Demand $

Van Meter
Attorney for Plaintiff

D. M. Sealon
Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Mch	29	Complaint filed and Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J. Johnston Constable (J. E. Doolittle Deputy)
"	"	Warrant returned Served, and filed: and defendant brought into court and is informed of the charge against him & his rights in the premises & on his application examination is fixed for Friday April 4th 2 o'clock P. M. 1890 and bail fixed at $1000.00
April	1st	Subpoena issued and returned April 4th 1890
"	4	Defendant in court with his counsel D. M. Sealon Esq. Van Meter for People. E. W. Risley appointed as reporter. Hebastinian Interpreter. And examination proceeds. George Serpeau, John Serpeau, S. Wright and J. E. Doolittle Sworn and testify in behalf of the People. John Asadarian, Abrantanian, John Johnshanian, A Melchanian, J Mormathian Sworn and testify in behalf of Defendant and case Submitted, Whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that the defendants be discharged the Evidence being insufficient to charge him, and that there was also probable cause in making the said complaint, defendants discharged.

Alva Neel Pro J P

196

No. 196

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California, Plaintiff

vs.

E W, John Doe Callingwood Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

May Conviction

Demand $

Attorney ..... for Plaintiff

Attorney ..... for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
Mch 29	Complaint filed: and Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable D G Hapgood Def'y
"	Warrant returned Served and filed Defendant brought into Court and informed of the charge against him and his rights in the premises and time of examination fixed for Monday March 31st 1890 2 o'clock P. M., bail fixed at $300.00 and given.
" 31st	Defendant in court, and Van Heller acting as District Atty in behalf of people and on Motion of District Atty, (after consulting the prosecuting witness) action is dismissed and bail exonerated, on the ground that said prosecuting witness, was mistaken as to the defendant and had been misinformed,

Oliver Wolcott J. P.

No. 197

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Joe Collingwood — Defendant

Action for Search Warrant
Mrs Mary Coulter

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Mch	29	Affidavit for Search Warrant filed and Search Warrant issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable & J G Wofford Dep't
April	23rd	(Continued from page 194 (Docket A) defendants Ramon Miniadora & John Canny for the sum of Seven 50/100 Dollars ($7.50) damages, and the sum of twenty-nine 50/100 Dollars ($29.50) costs, amounting to the sum of Thirty-Seven Dollars ($37.00) in all.
		Justice fees $8.00 — Total judgment $37.00
		Constable fees $9.00
		Witness fees $12.40
		Almer Wolcott J. P.
"	11	Bill of costs filed by plaintiff.
"	28	Notice of appeal filed
		Paid by defendants and receipt for the same by Plff
		Almer Wolcott J. P.

198

No. 198

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Miles Wallace Plaintiff

vs.

G. W. Owen Defendant

Action on Promissory Note

Demand $235 and int @ from Mch 29/90 at 10% per Ann for 1 year $11.75 atty fee $44.50 J P Mears Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
Mch	29	Complaint filed & Summons issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J G. Wofford deputy)
April	21st	Summons returned Served and filed, Showing personal Service by the officer on the defendant G. W. Owens in the 4th Township Fresno County, by delivering to him a copy thereof and copy of Complaint attached thereto on the 19th day of April 1890
"	25	action dismissed by order of plaintiffs attorney

Amer Walcott J P

No. 199

In the Justice's Court of the Hunt Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E W Nyton Plaintiff

vs.

William Robertson Defendant

Action on account for rent

Demand $ 64 00

Firman Church
Attorney for Plaintiff

Grady & Austin
Attorney's for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
March 31	Complaint affidavit and bond for attachment filed and Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J G Wofford deputy)
April 1st	Summons and writ of attachment returned and filed, showing by the return of the officer that he delivered a true copy of said Summons and copy of the complaint attached thereto personally to said defendant on the 1st day of April 1890 at and in the said 3rd Township Fresno county
" 7th	defendant now orally answers by his attorneys Messrs Grady & Austin, and denies each and every allegation in complaint, and pays into court the sum of thirty one dollars ($31 00) as and for a tender by him made on Saturday April 5th 1890 to plaintiff
" 7th	Settled and dismissed by plaintiff costs paid Firman Church Atty for Pltff Orrin Wolcott J P.

200

No. 200

In the Justice's Court of the Ind Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

A Lawson
John Doe Saunders — Defendant

Action Criminal Battery
W E Watson Prosecuting Witness

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1890 April 1st	Complaint filed and Warrant of Arrest issued to Jd Morgan (L G Wofford Agent)
" 11	Warrant returned Served and filed Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him. pleads guilty. Statement made by Prosecuting Witness and defendant. Defendant waives hour of rendering Judgment and is fined in the Sum of $7.50, fine paid and Deft is discharged.

Alex Wolcott J.P.

No. 201

In the Justice's Court of the Utica Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

M F Phillips
John Doe — Defendant

Action Criminal (Battery
J B Tigall Pltf

Demand $

J Phillips Pltf
Interpreter

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1890 April 2nd		Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable.
		Warrant returned Served and filed, defendant in court and gives his true name M F Phillips and is informed of the charge against him - pleads Not guilty, - bond fixed in the sum of $50.00 and the same is given and filed, John Rodgers and J W Mitchell becoming sureties - trial fixed for Thursday April 3rd 2 o'clock P.M. 1890 and Subpoena issued.
11	3	defendant in court (Waives jury) and trial proceeds. Witness J B Tigall Sworn in behalf of plaintiff, Antone Louis, John Bracick, Frank Metz, J W. Mitchell, and M F Phillips Sworn and testify on behalf of defendant, case Submitted and defendant found guilty, as charged in complaint - Waives time of rendering judgment and is fined in the Sum of Fifteen Dollars $15.00 which is paid and defendant is discharged and bail exonerated

Orin Walcott J P

202 V

No. 202

In the Justice's Court of Millerton Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

J Shigall — Defendant

Action Criminal Battery
M H Phillips J P

Demand $

J Phillips Justice

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1890	
April 2nd	Complaint filed and warrant issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable,
" 3	Warrant returned Served and filed Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - pleads not guilty and by his consent case fixed for trial on April 3d 1890 - 2 1/2 o'clock P M, and defd permitted to go on his own recognizance
" 3d	Defendant in Court and trial proceeds, J W Mitchell, Frank Hatze, Antone Louis, John Rodericks, and M H Phillips Sworn and testify in behalf of Plaintiff and J Shigall defendant Sworn & testifies for Self (Jury being first waived) Case Submitted and defendant found Not guilty & discharged

Oliver Wolcott, J. P.

No. 103

In the Justice's Court of the Ind'a Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Charles Olesen — Plaintiff

vs.

H. C. Haun — Defendant

Action civil for work and labor done,

Demand $ 28 50

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1890 April 3d	Complaint affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed, and Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J G Wofford deputy)
" 3d	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on the defendant H C Haun by the officer by delivering to him a copy of said Summons and copy of complaint attached thereto in said 3d township Fresno county on the 3d day of April 1890.
" 7	Writ of attachment returned and filed
" 8th	Defendants answers orally, denies each and every allegation in plaintiffs complaint; and action fixed for trial Saturday April 12th 1890 10 o'clock a. m.
12	continued by consent to Monday April 21st 1890 10 a. m.
21	this hour being fixed for trial hearing had, and defendant not appearing and the plaintiff being present, now on Motion of the plaintiff It is ordered and adjudged that judgment be and the same is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Charles Olesen and against the defendant H C Haun for the sum of twenty eight 50/100 Dollars $28 50 debt and the further sum of fifteen Dollars ($15 00 costs of Suit. Total amount of Judgment Forty Seven 50/100 Dollars ($47 50) Constable fees $11 50 Justice " 7 50 Oliver Walcott J P Paid by $33 00 paid by defendant
May 6th	Execution issued and delivered to J D Morgan constable
7	Execution returned satisfied
22nd	Recd payment of Oliver Walcott J P Charles Olsen

204

$536 hours J P

No. 204

In the Justice's Court of Un Und Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Joseph Chandler
Plaintiff

vs.

J W Humphrys, Mrs Mack & J C Paddock
Defendant S

Action civil for wrongful taking

Demand $ 9475

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
April 4th	Complaint & affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed - Summons and writ of attachment issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable
August 8th	Dismissed by plaintiff and costs paid

Amos Wolcott J P
Joseph Chandler.
Plaintiff

No. 205

In the Justice's Court of the 2nd Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Puget Sound Lumber Company (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

S J Hinds Defendant

Action Suit on note

Demand $ 12 [illegible] Dollars $150 atty. fee

Lindley & Lindley Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
April 4	Complaint affidavit and undertaking filed for writ of attachment. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J G Johnston Constable (J E Doolittle deputy)
	a.
	action dismissed by plaintiffs attorneys & costs paid.
	Chas Wolcott J P

206 c

No. 206

In the Justice's Court of the Ind Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Leo Ight, Leo Drun aid Hoc Chi — Defendants

Action Grand Larceny ah Hing &c.

Demand $

Attorney ..... for Plaintiff

Attorney ..... for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1890 April	4	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued to J D Morgan Constable (deputy) G Wofford.
"	6	Bond of one thousand Dollars given by ah Sing one of the defendants, Lee Wah and Jul Sing Hemming Sureties.
"	7	ah Sing in court one of the defendants and is informed of the charge against him and his rights in the premises Messrs Goucher & Jones appear as his attorneys and S R Hart atty appears for the People, and day of examination is fixed for Monday the 14th day of April 1890 10, a.m.
"	14	ah Sing in court with his attys Messrs Goucher & Jones and The People by S R Hart atty and on motion of atty for the People the action dismissed and defendant discharged and bail exonerated

Chas Nevell J P

No. 207 April 22nd costs paid

In the Justice's Court of Hu-Hna Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Ben F Rathegeber Plaintiffs

vs.

C C Markle Defendant

Action Suit for goods sold

Demand $ 246 63

Goucher & Jones Attorneys for Plaintiff

E F Benhard Attorney for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1890 April 4	Complaint affidavit and undertaking filed for writ of attachment - Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable; Summons returned showing personal service on defendant in said Township on 5th April 1890 by delivering to him copy thereof & copy of complaint attached (attachment writ returned executed)
" 8th	defendant files demurrer in writing general by his attorney E F Benhard
" 18th	Demurrer overruled by consent; now on motion of Messrs Goucher and Jones attorneys for the plaintiffs, & appearing that the defendant was personally served on the 3rd day of April 1890 with a copy of the Summons and complaint by delivering the same to him personally by the said officer and the defendant by his attorney E F Benhard having filed a written demurrer to plaintiffs complaint and the same being overruled by consent, judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiffs "Ratheganabarageno" and against the defendant C C Markle for the sum of Two hundred and forty six 63/100 dollars (246 63/100) debt and costs amounting to the sum of one hundred and seven 90/100 Dollars according to the prayer of the complaint.

Constable fees $ 4 90
Justice = $ 8 00
Recrd. = $ 45 00

Total judgment $ 348 53

Chas Wolcott J P

208

No. 208

In the Justice's Court of the Kind Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A Walters
Plaintiff

vs.

J. G. Johnson
Defendant

Action Claim & delivery of two horses & $75.00 damages & Notice of Lien
Demand $200.00 Total,

J R Neal
Attorney for Plaintiff

D. W. Seaton
Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
April	3rd	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable, (J J Bartlett Deputy)
"	7	Summons returned Served and filed, showing Service on the defendant J G Johnson by said Constable & by delivering to him personally a copy thereof and a copy of the complaint attached to the Summons, in said Township County of Fresno on the 7th day of April 1890.
"	9	answer in writing filed, D W Seaton atty for defts. of general denial and justification
"	11	cause tried by consent for trial on Saturday April 12th 1890 10 A M. and Subpoena issued on behalf of defts
"	12	parties in court and their attorneys and Jury sworn, A Walters H. Stender, H Wehmer & Wm Slaughter and Charles Terre Sworn and testify in behalf of plff. and Submitted on points and authorities to be filed and cause to be heard on Monday April 14th 1890 by consent of parties = defendant files points &c.
"	14th	The Law and facts having been considered It is now ordered and adjudged that the ~~defendant~~ plaintiff take nothing by his said action and judgment is hereby rendered and entered against A Walters plaintiff and in favor of the defendant J G Johnson for his costs to wit the Sum of Ten 40/100 Dollars ($10.40)

Constable fees $2.40
Justice = $8.00 } Total Judgment $10.40

Oliver Wolcott J P

No. 209

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G. W. Johnson — Plaintiff

vs.

E. F. Ball — Defendant

Action (civil) for hay sold in 1889

Demand $210.00

John McDowell — Attorney for Plaintiff

A. Shurtleff — Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
1890 April	7	Complaint filed and Summons issued & delivered to J. G. Johnston Constable (J. E. Doolittle deputy)
"	8th	Summons returned, and filed, showing personal service by the officer on the defendant E. F. Ball in said 3d Township County of Fresno, by delivering to him a copy of said Summons and a copy of the complaint attached thereto; on the 8th day of April 1890
"	14	defendant appears by his attorney A. Shurtleff and files answer in writing of general denial
"	17	By consent trial fixed for Tuesday April 22nd 1890, 10 a.m.
"	21	Subpoena issued for defts = and for plaintiff =
"	"	action continued by attorneys consent to Thursday April 24th 1890, 2 o'clock P.M.
"	24th	parties and attorneys in Court and trial proceeds, G. W. Johnson and R. B. Shuyler sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and E. F. Ball Chas E. Sutherland and W. S. Livingston sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant and the case argued and submitted; Whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that judgment be and the same is now rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff G. W. Johnson and against the defendant E. F. Ball for the sum of Seventeen 50/100 Dollars (Gold coin) ($175.00) debt and the sum of Fourteen 80/100 Dollars ($14.80) costs, in all amounting to the sum of Three hundred 30/100 Dollars ($322.30)

Justice fees $8.00
Constable = = 4.40
Witness fee = 2.50

Total Judgment $322.30

Orrin Wolcott J.P.

" Bill of costs filed by plaintiff

" 29th Notice of appeal and undertaking filed

May 26th Debt & costs paid in full

Orrin Wolcott J.P.

Issued July 26th 1890. Summons execution attys for Plaintiff

No. 210

In the Justice's Court of the 2nd Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H B Choice — Plaintiff

vs.

F G Schell — Defendant

Action On notes mileage accounts & attys fee

Demand $ 191.29 (also 35.00 Interest & Costs)

Rousse & Short
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
April	7th	Complaint affidavit filed and order of $ 35.00 by plaintiff for writ of attachment - Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan constable (F H Bartlett deputy)
"	12th	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on defendant F G Schell by said officer on the 7th day of April 1890 in the 2nd Township Fresno county by delivering to him a copy thereof and a copy of the complaint attached thereto: writ of attachment returned and filed
"	19th	It appearing that the defendant F G Schell was personally served with a copy of the Summons attached to a copy of the complaint and with a copy of the complaint, as fully appears by the return of the officer on the original Summons on file, on the 7th day of April 1890 at and in the 2nd Township County of Fresno, and the time to answer, to wit 10 days, having elapsed, and the defendant not answering nor appearing in any way, his default is now entered - and on motion of Messrs Rousse Short attorneys for plaintiff Judgment is ordered and entered in favor of the plaintiff H B Choice and against the defendant F G Schell for the sum of one hundred and ninety one 29/100 Dollars ($191.29) debt and Thirty five Dollars ($35.00) attorneys fees and the further sum of Seventeen 10/100 Dollars costs of suit, according to the prayer of the complaint (Total judgment $ 243.39. Constable fees $ 9.10 Justice " 8.00 } Done this 19th day of April 1890 Elmer Wolcott J P
July	8th	Execution issued delivered to J D Morgan Constable.
November	5th	Execution returned not satisfied & now filed
"	20	abstract given to plaintiff

Cross suit of Jno Lewis and H Klamm vs Same filed Nov 20th 1890

No. 211

In the Justice's Court of the third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J Williamson
Plaintiff
vs.
Harvest
Defendant

Action On Sale of property
Demand $ 45.00
Nourse & Short
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Van Meter & Harrold
Attorneys for Defendant

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1890 April 8th	Complaint filed and defendant waives service of process and appears by his attorneys Van Meter & Harrold.
" 10th	Demurrer in writing filed, general, to complaint.
16th	Hearing of demurrer fixed for Friday April 18th 1890, 9:30 a m and notice given
" 18	Parties in Court and demurrer overruled by consent, and ~~and~~ answer in writing filed, of general denial, Statute of frauds, and cross complaint
1890 May 1	22nd answer to cross complaint, in writing filed by Pltff
	7th affidavit for change of venue filed by defendant & date April 17th 1890. and motion therefor granted and case transferred to S L Hodges J P of said 3rd Township, parties consenting thereto, and costs paid by defendants.

Chas Wolcott J P

212 €

No. 212

In the Justice's Court of the Ithd Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

J H & Landrum — Defendant

Action Battery Thos Rock Jr. vs.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff D M Sealon

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
April 8th	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued and delivered to J G Johnston (J E Doolittle deputy)
" 9th	warrant of arrest returned and filed, defendant in court D M Sealon his attorny defendant is informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises and enters a plea of not guilty — bond fixed at the sum of $100.00 J H. Brown and R Helmany become sureties on the bond — trial is fixed for Thursday 17 of April 1890, 10 A. M. — Subpoena issued
" 17th	Parties in court and by consent case continued to April 24th Thursday, 1890 10 A. M. and witnesses instructed
April 24th	parties in court by mandate, and trial proceeds Thomas Rock, Mrs Susan Rock Sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and J H & P Landrum, Joseph Landrum E E Robinson and Charles Ritter Sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant, and case argued & submitted whereupon the defendant J H & P Landrum is found not guilty — is discharged and hence exonerated.

Chas Nevill J P

Dec 10th 1890 Affidavit of Jno and Thos Rock

No. 213

In the Justice's Court of the 2nd Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R H Beamer — Plaintiff

vs.

"The Farmers Bank of Fresno" (a Corporation) — Defendant

Action for taxes paid

Demand $163 3/4

R P Davidson — Attorney for Plaintiff

Tupper & Tupper — Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
April 9th	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J G Johnston Constable (J E Doolittle Deputy)
" "	Summons returned Served and filed showing personal Service on the defendant by the officer on the 9th day of April 1890 in this Township Fresno County by delivering to W W Phillips, the managing Agent of defendant, a copy of Summons and a copy of the complaint attached thereto
" 10th	Demurrer in writing filed by defendant - hearing fixed for Monday 14th April 1890, 9 A.M.
" 14	Demurrer argued and submitted, and by consent taken under advisement until Saturday April 19th 1890.
" 19th	Demurrer overruled and time given to answer within five days. by consent of parties
" 21st	answer (oral) by defendant denying each and every allegation in plaintiffs complaint.
1890 Sept 22	Stipulation filed as to date of trial fixed for November (Friday) 21st 10 A.M. 1890
Nov 21st	Continued by consent to Monday November 24th 1890 10 o'clock A.M.
Monday 24th	Appears the parties by their respective attys and case argued, and by consent expressed in open court. Case submitted for the purpose of filing briefs and decision of the action to Saturday November 29th 10 o'clock A.M. 1890
29	Now on this 29th day of November 1890 10 o'clock A.M. after due deliberation Judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff R H Beamer and against the defendant "The Farmers Bank of Fresno" a corporation for the Sum of one hundred and Sixty three 34/100 Dollars ($163 34/100) dollars and the further Sum of Eight 90/100 Dollars ($8.90 cents) Costs according to the prayer of the complaint. (Total Judgt = $172 24/100)

Dec 10th 1890 Appeal taken by defendant from Judgment in favor of plaintiff

Appeal Undertaking filed Dec 10th 1890 in sum of $300 with Wm Forsyth & Jas Forsyth as sureties

Costs Justice $3.40
Constables fees $3.50
Justice = 3.30

Clerks fees $1.50
Sheriffs fees $1.00
Total $172.24

214

No. 214

Lyon 2 00
Jun 2 00
8. Paid (12 days)

In the Justice's Court of the third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

B J Scott — Plaintiff

vs.

Henry Ragnes and W A Field — Defendants

Action on promissory note

Demand $ 22 70 and int 10 pr ct per month from Mch 13, 1890

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
April	9	Complaint filed and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment, Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable.
"	11	Summons returned served and now filed, showing personal service on Henry Ragnes one of the defendants by said Officer on the 11th day of April 1890 in said 3d Township, Fresno County by delivering to him a copy of the Summons and a copy of the complaint attached thereto, and delivered to W A Field, co defendant, a copy of said Summons in said Township and County on the 10th day of April 1890; Writ of attachment returned served by levy and filed
17		action dismissed by plaintiff

J Smith Wood Coll

No. 215

In the Justice's Court of Minnia Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E Hickman — Plaintiff

vs.

Henry Brown — Defendant

Action Goods Sold

Demand $ 18.00 Unless

Church & Cary

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney ..... for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
April 10	Complaint, affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed, and Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable

Received this day the sum of 18.50 in full for above claim & interest.

Dated July 9, 1890

Church & Cary

Pltfs attys

John Heal Clk

216

No. 216

In the Justice's Court of Centre Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. Burnside — Plaintiff

vs.

Ed A Hall — Defendant

Action for work and labor

Demand $ 30 63

Attorney ____ for Plaintiff

Attorney ____ for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
April	10	Complaint filed and Summons issued to J G Johnston Constable (J & Doolittle deputy)

No. 217

In the Justice's Court of the Ind Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

William Dawson

Plaintiff

vs.

A Walters

Defendant

Action on account of goods sold

Demand $ 10 50/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
April	11	Complaint, affidavit and bond filed for writ of attachment Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J G Johnston constable J E Doolittle deputy
	11	Action dismissed being settled and costs paid

Oliver Wolcott J.P.

218

No. 218

In the Justice's Court of the Mid Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe — Defendant

Action criminal petit Larceny
Sing Yong Lee

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
April	11	complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued & delivered to J. G. Johnston Constable (Washington)

No. 219

In the Justice's Court of the third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Pablo Ynarraguire and Pedro Iribarri Plaintiffs

vs.

Henry Miller Defendant

Action Trespass with Sleeping Animals

Demand $ 299 99

Goucher & Jones Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1870 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
April 12th	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to

220

No. 220

In the Justice's Court of the third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Pablo Jparragaire
Plaintiff

vs.

Henry Miller
Defendant

Action Trespass by sheep on Lands

Demand $ 299.99

Goucher & Jones
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS	18
April 12	Complaint filed and Summons issued Delivered to	9

No. 221

In the Justice's Court of Fresno Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

ah Sing — Defendant

Action Assault & Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
April 14	Complaint filed and defendant in court & is informed of the charge against him and his rights in the premises, Whereupon he pleads guilty and waives time & further proceedings and is fined $17.50 which is paid & and the defendant discharged

Asher Wolcott J.P.

222 ℄

No. 2224

In the Justice's Court of the Mid Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of

Plaintiff

vs.

Jacob Brantner

Defendant

Action (Battery) distinguishing the Case
P. A. Scott Pltf.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
April	15th	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued and delivered to J. G. Johnston Constable (H. J. Hart deputy).
"	"	Warrant returned Served and filed - defendant in court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - pleads not guilty - bond is fixed in the sum of $50.00 and executed by Wm Hawkins and Wm Tompkins as sureties - and trial fixed by consent for Monday 21st of April 10 A.M.
"	18th	Subpoenas issued
"	21st	Action moved as parties present in court (jury waived) Present A. Scott P. L. Ralston, W. H. Troy, Charles Tubbs, & Augustus Poncheo sworn as witnesses in behalf of the People and testify; and Wm Hawkins, Wm Tompkins, I. R. Johns, Frank Hickey, Chas Grably, J. B. Williams and Jacob Brantner sworn and testify on behalf of defendant - action submitted, whereupon the defendant is found guilty as charged in the complaint, and time to render judgment fixed at 5 o'clock this day and date by consent of defendant
"	"	The Court having arrived and defendant being present in court, judgment is duly rendered and entered against him that he be and he now is fined the sum of $50.00 and is allowed 30 days in which to pay the same

Oliver Wolcott J. P.

Pltf Hawkins 1.
Wm Tompkins 2.
Pltf Johns 3.

No. 223

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

223

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas Flynn and John Doe — Defendant 2

Action Robbery

John Mooney Jr

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
April 15	Complaint filed and warrant issued.

224

No. 224

In the Justice's Court of Liberty Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Peter A Scott and W Lathrop — Defendant 5

Action Disturbing the Peace

Jacob Brandt Pltf

Demand $

D W Seaton — Attorney for Plaintiff

J R Heath — Attorney for Defendant 5

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
April	15th Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued and delivered to J G Johnston (H J Hart deputy) constable - warrant returned served and filed and defendants in court - informed of the charge against them and their rights in the premises - they plead not guilty - bound each in the sum of $50.00 and bound given by W Lathrop one of the defendants G Lehman and S Cutler becoming sureties, Peter A Scott the other defendant committed until he give bail - day of trial fixed for Monday April 21st 2 P.M. by consent
"	18th Subpoenas issued
"	21st parties and attorneys in court and trial proceeds (Jury waived) J Brandt, J Hickey, W W Reay, J R Johns, Wm Hankins Wm Jumper, C Gravle and John Williams sworn and testify on part of Pltff - August Pinocha, Sam'l Ralston, Chas Tubbs, J W Lawrence and P A Scott sworn and testify on part of defts - on motion of atty for Pltff W. Lathrop one of the defendants, is discharged, evidence being insufficient; and action argued and submitted, whereupon the defendant Peter A Scott is found guilty - as charged in the complaint - Waives time for rendering judgment and is fined in the sum of $10.00 and judgment is hereby rendered against him for said sum of ten Dollars

Oliver Wolcott J P

No. 2235

In the Justice's Court of Millerton Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Antonio de J Dias Jr
Plaintiff

vs.

Antonio de J Dias Jr
Defendant

Action for Labor

Demand $ 72

Hova & Crawford
Attorneys for Plaintiff

J H C Daly
Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
April 16	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J D Morgan constable
" 17	Summons returned Served and filed; Showing personal Service on the defendant Antonio de J Dias Jr by the Officer, in said 3d Township County of Fresno on the 17th day of April 1890 by delivering to him a copy thereof and a copy of the complaint attached thereto;
" 17	defendant now answers (orally) denying each and every allegation in plaintiffs complaint.
" 21st	By consent of parties action fixed for trial on Wednesday April 23d 1890 at 2 o'clock P.M.
" 22nd	action being by Stipulation of plaintiffs attorney, the writing now filed is dismissed Costs paid by defendant

Chas Wolcott J P

226

No. 226

In the Justice's Court of Washington Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A B Covalt

Plaintiff

vs.

Sarah J Forsyth

Defendant

Action for money advanced

Demand $30.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
April 16	Complaint affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed - Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. G. Johnston Constable (J. E. Doolittle deputy)
" 17	Action dismissed costs paid
Costs	Constable $ 4.70
Costs	5.36

Full Satisfaction Entered

A B Covalt

Plaintiff

No. 227

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G Winter — Plaintiff

vs.

L E McClure — Defendant

Action on promissory note

Demand $107.50 & $40.00 attys fees

J Jackson — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
April 16th	complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J G Johnston Constable
" 30th	dismissed by order of plaintiffs attorney, without prejudice

Amer Wolcott J P

228 c

No. 228

In the Justice's Court of the Una Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People vs. John Ahart

Plaintiff

vs.

Defendant

Action Insanity

James Hagan P.M.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS	18
April 17th	affidavit filed and warrant of arrest issued & delivered to Hill constable	

No. 229

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W H Rowe

Plaintiff

vs.

Story and Son

Defendant

Action goods sold

Demand $ 30 25

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
April 18	Complaint filed

230

No. 230

In the Justice's Court of Washington Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California Plaintiff

vs.

Bailey Kay Leach Defendant

Action assault with a deadly weapon
E L Marshall Pltf

Demand $

Melvin & Van Nette
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Goucher & Jones
Attorneys for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
April 19	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued delivered to J D Morgan Constable
" "	Warrant returned served and filed and defendant brought into Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - bond fixed in the sum of one thousand Dollars ($1000.00) S N Griffith and Benj R Woodworth become sureties thereon
May 27	Case fixed for examination on Thursday May 29th 1890 at 2 o'clock P.M. and Subpoena issued.
" 29	Defendant in court with his counsel and Jeff Hayes and examination proceeds (E W Risley appointed by request of District Attorney) E L Marshall and P E Appling Sworn and testify on part of Jeff; and case argued and submitted by respective counsel whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that the defendant be held to answer the charge in the complaint alleged, before the Superior Court of Fresno County and bail is fixed in the sum of one thousand Dollars ($1000.00) which is given Mattie F Leach and S N Griffith become sureties thereon.

John Marshall J P

No. 381

In the Justice's Court of the third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

D Impractice — Defendant

Action Bailey J G Wofford J P

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
April 21st	Complaint filed and warant of arrest issued to J D Morgan constable (J G Wofford deputy)
" "	Warant returned served and filed and defendant brought into court, and is informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises; whereupon he pleads guilty - waives time of judgment & sentence and is fined in the sum of five (5) Dollars ($5.00) fine paid and defendant discharged

Amie Wofford J P

March

232

No. 232

In the Justice's Court of the third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. J. Riggs
Plaintiff

vs.

W H Hall
Defendant

Action for goods sold

Demand $ 32 40/100 Interest

E. W. Risley
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
April 22nd	Complaint filed

No. 233

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

J A Burns — Defendant

Action Battery
Mrs S J Bozeman Pltf,
Demand $
G W Howard — Attorney for Plaintiff
Hall & Dyer — Attorneys for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
April 22nd	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable.
"	Warrant returned Served and filed - defendant in court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Pleads not guilty - bail fixed in the sum of one hundred Dollars, and gives Herman Church and E L Tucker becoming sureties thereon.
June 17th	case fixed for trial on Saturday June 21st 1890 at 10,0 clock A M, and Subpoena issued and sent parties in court by their attorneys and defendant in person and trial proceeds - Jury returned and by consent of both parties expressed in open court the following Eight persons were Sworn as Jurors to try the case to wit J F Kenney, J H Bethel A J Wade, Leigh Ormsby, J J Bailey, C E Sleigh Jno Tuck and W J Lucas, and E J Stevens, B Gillespie, W J Mayne, L D Simmons, J D Fox, and P Bozeman are Sworn as witnesses and testify on part of plff - and Elmer Potts, P B Donohoe, J M. Loxley, J S Chambers, F. DuBois, and Wm Bishop are Sworn and testify on part of defendant, Case argued and Submitted to the Jury who retire and after deliberation return into Court with the following verdict "We the Jury find the defendant Not Guilty" C E Sleigh Foreman - and the Same is filed, whereupon defendant is discharged and his bail exonerated
"	Amos Wolcott J P

234

No. 234

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J G Rhodes — Plaintiff

vs.

Wm P Bullard — Defendant

Action for legal services & money advanced

Demand $ 63.60

J G Rhodes
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
April 23	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J G Johnston Constable (H J Hart deputy)
June 28	Summons returned served and filed - showing personal service on the defendant Wm P Bullard by the officer on the 28th day of June 1890 in the 3d Township County of Fresno by delivering to him then and there a true copy of said Summons and a copy of the Complaint attached thereto.
July 3d	defendant answers (orally) and denies each and every allegation in plaintiffs complaint.
" "	day of trial fixed for Monday July 7th 1890 10 o'clock A M, and notice given (both parties being present).
" 7th	parties in court and trial proceeds (jury being waived) J. G. Rhodes Sworn and testifies in his own behalf and Wm P Bullard Sworn and testifies in his own behalf and case submitted - whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that judgment be and the same is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J G Rhodes and against the defendant Wm P Bullard for the Sum of thirty three 60/100 Dollars ($33.60) debt and the further Sum of Seven 10/100 Dollars costs of Suit ($7.10) Constable fees $2.40 / Justices = 3.00 / total = $40.70

Abner Wolcott J.P.

No. 235

In the Justice's Court of Kings Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

L Finch — Defendant

Action Misdemeanor (appropriating an Ins Keeper

Demand $ Thirty Dollars Fee

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
April 24	Complaint filed and warrant issued and delivered to

236

No. 236

In the Justice's Court of the Ista Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. G. Jancy Plaintiff

vs.

W D Grady Defendant

Action Goods Sold

Demand $138.69

Church & Leavy
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
April 24	Complaint, affidavit and undertaking filed for writ of attachment Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
" 25	Summons returned Served and filed, showing personal Service on the defendant W D Grady on the 25th day of April 1890 in said 3rd Township County of Fresno by delivering to him a copy of said Summons and a copy of the Complaint attached thereto by said officer. Writ of attachment returned with levy & and filed.
May 2	Def'dant answers (orally) denying each and every allegation in plaintiffs complaint.
3	Undertaking attachment filed by defts
May 13	action fixed for trial on Thursday May 15th 9 o'clock a.m. 1890, and notice given.
15	Appears plaintiff by his attorneys Messrs Church & Leavy and defendant in his own proper person, and trial proceeds (jury being waived) J O Bixbee Sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff and two exhibits introduced, & action submitted by both parties, Whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that judgment be, and the same is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J. G. Jancy and against the defendant W D Grady for the sum of one hundred and thirty-eight 69/100 Dollars ($138.69) debt, and the further sum of Fifteen 80/100 Dollars ($15.80) costs according to the prayer of the complaint - amounting to the sum of $154.49
	Constable fees $7.10
	Justice = 8.50
	witness = 2.20
	Total Judgment $154.49
	Oliver Walcott J.P.
" -	Stay of proceedings for 10 days, granted by consent.
June 27	Execution issued and delivered to J D Morgan constable
" 30	Execution returned Satisfied.

Paid in full Church & Leavy 6/30/90

No. 237

In the Justice's Court of the Alma Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

William Bettridge
Plaintiff

vs.

James Seadler
Defendant

Action On promissory Note

Demand $200.00 with 10 per cent per annum from March 2nd 1889

D Jackson
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
April	25	Complaint, affidavit and undertaking filed for writ of attachment, Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to
May	8	Summons returned Served and filed, showing personal Service on the defendant James Seadler on the 28th day of April 1890 in the County of Sacramento, Calfornia by the Sheriff of said County, and by delivering to the defendant a true copy of said Summons and a copy of the complaint attached thereto; The writ of attachment having been issued to the Sheriff of the City and County of San Francisco is returned by said Officer and now filed -
Error	13th	~~action fixed for trial on Thursday May 15th 1890 = Jahn A. M. and notice given.~~
May	19th	action dismissed by order of plaintiffs atty

D Smith Wolcott J P

238

No. 238

In the Justice's Court of the third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John M. Scott
Plaintiff

vs.

"The Bank of California"
M J Church
Defendant S

Action for interest one paid

Demand $ 73 cts

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
April 25th	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable;
May 12th	action dismissed by Plaintiff costs paid
	Elmer Wolcott J.P.

No. 239

In the Justice's Court of the Ursa Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A W Johnson and W L Fletcher — Plaintiffs

vs.

Hank R Haun — Defendant

Action trespass by stock &c

Demand $ 137 cc

Amedee Coy — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney ____ for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
April 25	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J D Johnston constable, (J E Doolittle deputy)
" 26th	Summons returned Served and Now filed, showing personal service on the defendant, Hank Haun, by the Officer on the 26th day of April 1890 in said 3rd Township County of Fresno by delivering to him a true copy of said Summons and a copy of the complaint attached thereto.
	Ng 2 Defendants cost

240 X

No. 240

In the Justice's Court of the Utica Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

J J Bayle — Defendant

Action Assault with a deadly weapon

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
April 26th	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J Dennis deputy)
" 11	Warrant returned served and filed. Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises, J G Rhodes Esq appears as his attorney. Bail is fixed in the Sum of Five hundred Dollars ($500.00) and is given J. G. Hoar and J. G. Rhodes Morning Smokes.
May 12th	Dismissed by order of District Attorney. Defendant discharged and bail exonerated.

Chas McDevitt J P

5

No. 241

In the Justice's Court of Centerville Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W J Meakley — Plaintiff

vs.

M Thea Kearney — Defendant

Action Wrongful taken

Demand $350

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
April 26th	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J. G. Johnston Constable
" 26	Summons returned Served and now filed, Showing personal Service on M Thea Kearney the defendant by said Officer on the 26th day of April 1890 in the 3d Township Fresno County, by delivering to him a true copy of said Summons and copy of Complaint attached thereto
" 30th	Action dismissed defendant costs paid in full. Oliver Wolcott J P
May 3d	Upon Satisfaction of above entry W J Meakley Plaintiff

242

No. 242

In the Justice's Court of the Ctn'a Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Henry Rangner
Plaintiff

vs.

a Sachs & L Heinghs
& a Sachs & Heinghs
Defendant 8

Action Work & labor

Demand $10.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
April 26	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable.
" 29	Summons returned Served and now filed, showing personal Service of the Same by said Officer on the 28th day of April 1890 on the defendant A Sachs by delivering to him a true copy of the Summons and copy of the Complaint attached thereto, and a true copy of the Summons on defendant L Heinghs in said Township County of Fresno on the same day and in said Township
May 1st	Action dismissed at Plaintiffs and costs thereof paid
	James Wolcott J P
" "	Received above in full from James Wolcott J P
	Henry Rangner Plaintiff

Pd Wolcott 2.50
Doolittle 2.50
Kempff

No. 243

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Sam'l C. Partridge — Plaintiff

vs.

H. Stender — Defendant

Action goods sold & interest

Demand $62.00

Sam'l C. Partridge
Attorney for ~~Plaintiff~~

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
April 26th	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J. G. Johnston (J. E. Doolittle deputy) Constable.
" 29th	Summons returned Served and now filed, showing personal service on the defendant H. Stender by the officer, on the 28th day of April 1890 in said 3rd Township by delivering to him a true copy of the said Summons and a true copy of the Complaint attached thereto
May 8th	It appearing from the return of the officer on the original Summons that the same was served on the defendant H. Stender on the 28th day of April 1890 in said third Township County of Fresno by delivering to him a true copy of said Summons and copy of the complaint attached thereto, personally. And the time to answer having expired to wit five days, and the defendant not having answered the complaint, nor appeared in any way, his default is hereby Entered, and on motion of the plaintiff Sam'l C. Partridge judgment is hereby rendered and Entered in favor of the plaintiff Sam'l C. Partridge and against the defendant H. Stender for the Sum of Sixty two Dollars ($62.00) debt, and the further Sum of Seven Dollars ($7.00) costs according to the prayer of the complaint. total amount of judgment $69.00
	Justice fees $5.00
	Constable = 2.00
	total judgment $69.00
	Chas Wolcott J.P.

244

No. 244

In the Justice's Court of the Utica Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe (real name unknown) — Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace
H J Heart P.V.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
April	26	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued and delivered to J G Johnston Constable (H J Heart deputy)
"	26	Warrant returned served and filed - Defendant in court and gives his true name as John Doe - is informed of the charge against him - pleads not guilty and on his application the trial is fixed for Tuesday the 29th day of April 1890 - 10 o'clock a.m. - Bond given in the sum of $37.50 A Toms and A J Johns becoming sureties
"	28	Subpoena issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
"	"	Defendant in court and withdraws his plea of Not guilty - and pleads guilty - Waives time of judgment and is fined in the sum of Seven 50/100 Dollars ($7.50) - and the same is paid - defendant is discharged and bail exonerated.

Geo Colvin

Levi Wolcott J.P.

No. 245

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M Marcus Plaintiff vs. J G Johnston Defendant	Action for goods sold Demand $ 30 00 E W Bonham Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
April	29	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J W Dumas deputy)
May	2nd	Summons returned served and filed, showing personal service on the defendant J G Johnston by the Officer on the 2nd day of May 1890 by delivering to him a true copy of said Summons and a true copy of the complaint attached thereto, in the 3rd Township county of Fresno;
"	5	defendant answers (orally) and denies each and every allegation contained in plaintiff's complaint.

246 C

No. 246

In the Justice's Court of the third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John H Morrison alias John Doe — Defendant

Action Battery
John Madden Pltf

Demand $

H H Nesbit — Attorney for Plaintiff

S B Hart — Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
April 29th	Complaint filed and warrant issued and delivered to J D Morgan (J P Dumas deputy)
" "	Warrant returned served and filed and defendant in Court, gives his true name as John H Morrison, and is informed of the charge against him - pleads not guilty - bond fixed in the sum of Fifty Dollars, which is given P L Blanc and S B Hart becoming sureties thereon, day of trial fixed for April 30th 1890 at 10 o'clock A. M., S B Hart Esq appearing as attorney for defendant, jury demanded.
" 30.	Parties in court, venire issued for 8 jurors, returned and filed and the following jurors are sworn to try the case viz W. M. Pitts, P Reeny, John Shirley, D Bartholomew, J J May, C Vanvalen, R S Leonard, Frank Poole, J W Ryan, H Sallen, J L Reed and C Lynch - the following named witnesses are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff, J ohn Madden, F Dixon W Burns, J Edwards, A Whitley, E Albert and M Back defendant is sworn and testifies in his own behalf, whereupon the case is submitted without argument to the jury, who retire in charge of the sworn officer and after deliberation are returned into court with the following verdict - We the jury find the defendant guilty as charged - and the same is filed and the jury discharged and the defendant waives time of rendering judgment whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that the defendant pay a fine in the sum of twenty five Dollars ($25.00) and he is committed to the custody of the Sheriff until the same is duly satisfied in proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of the fine, or until lawful payment shall have been made of such portion of said fine as shall not have been satisfied by imprisonment at the rate aforesaid ordered

Elmer Wolcott J P

No. 247

€ 247

In the Justice's Court of Millerton Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Madden — Defendant

Action Battery

J H Morrison Pltf

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
April 30th	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J W Dumas Deputy)
May 1st	Warrant returned Served and filed and defendant brought into Court and is informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises whereupon he pleads Not guilty, and on his application the day of trial is fixed for Friday May 2nd 1890 2 o'clock P.M.
" 2nd	Defendant in Court (jury trial waived) and trial proceeds J H. Morrison and Albert Snow and testify on behalf of Pltff = W Burns, a Kaufman, - Fantassel, and John Madden Sworn and testify on behalf of defft and Submitted, whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that the defendant is Not guilty, and he is discharged

Almon Wolcott J P

248

No. 248 Court 5.56

In the Justice's Court of the 4th'a Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Sing Sam Sing
Plaintiff
vs.
Sang Jek
Defendant

Action goods sold

Demand $ 33.95

D. M. Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
May	1½	Complaint filed and affidavit and maintaining for writ of attachment – writ of attachment and Summons issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J W Dimas deputy)
"	1½	Summons returned Served and filed, showing personal Service on the defendant "Sang Jek" by the officer on the 1½ day of May 1890 by delivering to him a true copy of the Same and a true copy of the complaint attached thereto in said 3d Township Fresno County
90	7½	writ of attachment returned and filed. It appearing from the return of the officer on the original Summons, that the defendant Sang Jek was Served by delivering to him personally a true copy of the Summons and a true copy of the Complaint attached thereto in said 3d Township County of Fresno on the 1st day of May 1890 and the defendant not answering nor appearing in any way, and the legal time to answer the complaint to wit – 5 days having Elapsed his default is hereby entered, and on motion of D M. Seaton Esq attorney for Plaintiff Judgment is hereby rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Sing Sam Sing and against the defendant "Sang Jek" for the Sum of thirty three 95/100 Dollars ($33 95/100) debt and the further Sum of thirteen 50/100 Dollars costs of Suit ($13 50/100) amounting in all to the Sum of Forty Seven 45/100 Dollars ($47 45/100)
		Constable fees $5 50 — Total Judgment $47 45/100 Justice – 8.00 Amos Wolcott J.P.
May	7½	Execution issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable.
	8	Execution returned "no property found"

Judgment paid and satisfied in full this 29th day of May 1890
D M Seaton
atty for plaintiff

Paid 9.25
Constable 5.50

No. 249

In the Justice's Court of the Millerton Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

N F Pickle — Plaintiff

vs.

C E McClure — Defendant

Action on promissory Note

Demand $107.50 & $40.00 attys fee

S Jackson — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 12	Complaint affidavit and undertaking filed for writ of attachment. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable.

250

No. 257

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mand Bradford
Plaintiff

vs.

J L McClelland
Defendant

Action Money paid for Lafes

Demand $ 90.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
May	1st	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable. (J W Dumas deputy)
"	3	Summons returned Served and filed. Showing personal Service on the defendant J L McClelland by said officer on the 3rd day of May 1890 in said 3rd Township and County by delivering to him a true copy of the same and a copy of the complaint attached thereto.
"	9th	Action dismissed by plaintiff costs paid. Chas Wolcott J P

No. 237

In the Justice's Court of the Ima Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Morland — Defendant

Action Letting a house for prostitution & Bacon Pr

Demand $

H H Welsh — Attorney for Plaintiff

S R Hart — Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
May	2nd	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan constable (J G Woffard deputy)
"	"	Warrant returned Served and filed and defendant brought into Court, S R Hart appearing as his attorney, and defendant is informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises, and pleads Not guilty - bond fixed in the sum of one hundred Dollars ($100.00) Which is given P L Blanc and J C D as becoming sureties
"	3	Subpoena issued; on my consent to be fixed for the 6th day of May 1890 at 2 o'clock P M
"	6th	continued by consent to May 8th 1890 - 10 o'clock A M
"	8	action dismissed by order of District Attorney and costs taxed at $19.10

Lewis Walcott

Bond returned

252 X

No. 252

In the Justice's Court of the Mid Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Ada Smith and Mary Scott Jane Doe and Mary Roe Defendants

Action Imprisonment in jail for one year & $300 Bacon J P

Demand $

H Hebbelsh Attorney for Plaintiff

S R Heart Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
May	2nd	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J G Wofford deputy)
"	"	Warrant returned Served & filed Defendants brought into Court and appear by their attorney S R Heart Esq - all informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises - give their true names as follows i.e. "Ada Smith" and "Mary Scott" and plead Not guilty. bail fixed in the Sum of one hundred Dollars Each, which is given P L Blanc and J C Dyas becoming Sureties
"	3rd	Jury empanelled, and trial fixed for May 6th 1890 by consent.
"	6th	venire issued for 20 jurors, on demand of pfts - Subpoenas and venire returned served and filed and parties and respective attorneys in Court, and trial proceeds the following witnesses, by consent of both parties expressed in open Court, were sworn to try the action to wit - William Hilton, L Simmons, B Miller, E D Bowen, G Bell, W S Harris, G Ramsbottom, S Hammond, and C Bailey, and the following named witnesses were sworn and testified in behalf of plaintiff - E. C. Bacon, A J Stevens, H. Steinmiller, Mrs Jessow, Mrs Rose, Wm Owens, G Anderson, M B Washburn, E.B. Moore and J G Wofford, and the following named witnesses were sworn and testified in behalf of defendants: Mary Scott, Ada Smith, Ben Woodson, E Ironmonger, W R Greer and E Linsey; Case argued and submitted to the jury. who retire in the charge of the sworn officer and after due deliberation return into Court with the following verdict to wit - We the jury find Ada Smith guilty as charged - W D Bowen Foreman - We the jury find Mary Scott, Not guilty - W D Bowen Foreman - And the jury are polled on demand of defendant Ada Smith, and asked to said verdict and said verdict is now filed whereupon it is ordered that Mary Scott be discharged and hereby is discharged: and the time to render judgment is

Transcribed to page 230 Book A

No. 253

In the Justice's Court of the third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J W. Fulton — Plaintiff

vs.

a D Turner — Defendant

Action Goods sold

Demand $250.00 Interest

Church & Coony — Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney ..... for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 2d	Complaint filed, and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable.
June 10	Summons returned Served and filed showing personal Service on the defendant a D Turner, by the Officer on the 28th day of May 1890. in the 7th Township County of Fresno by delivering to him a true copy thereof and a copy of the complaint attached thereto. Writ of attachment returned and filed.
" "	And now on this 10th day of June 1890 it appearing that the defendant was personally Served with a true copy of said Summons and a copy of the Complaint attached thereto, on the 28th day of May 1890 in the 7th Township County of Fresno by the return of the Officer on the original Summons, and the said defendant a D Turner not having answered nor appeared in said action in any way and the legal time to wit 10 days having elapsed, in which to answer. Now on motion of Messrs Church and Coony attorneys for the plaintiff It is ordered and adjudged that he renewed and entered and the same is hereby renewed and entered by default against the defendant a D Turner and in favor of the plaintiff J W Fulton for said Sum of two hundred and fifty dollars ($250.00) and $12.75 interest thereon and the further Sum of forty one 30/100 Dollars ($41.30) costs of Suit according to the prayer of the complaint.
	Constable fees $32.30 Total disbursements $296.05
	Justice - $9.00 Oliver Walcott J P.

254

No. 234

In the Justice's Court of Alcalina Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W Laing Malcolmson
Plaintiff
vs.
G W Cole
Defendant

Action for rent of house

Demand $ 90 00

S Jackson
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 2nd	Complaint filed and Summons issued delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J G Wolfe Deputy)
" 9th	affidavit and undertaking filed for writ of attachment, and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable.
" "	Summons returned served and filed, showing personal service thereof on the defendant G W Cole on the 9th day of May 1890 in the said Township, County of Fresno by said officer, and by delivering to him a true copy of the said Summons and a copy of Complaint attached thereto (Inventory of property attached filed.)
" 14th	Defendant by Smith & Troy his attorneys, and demurs (orally) to plaintiffs complaint on the ground that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action; and answers (orally) reserving the demurrer) denying each and every allegation set forth in the complaint;

No. 253

In the Justice's Court of the Ania Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Wm Peterson — Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs D F Terry — Defendant

Action for labor performed

Demand $63.00

A S Cureton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

J E D

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
May	3d	Complaint affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J G Johnston Constable (J E Doolittle Deputy)
"	6th	Summons returned served and filed, showing personal service on the Defendant Mrs D F Terry by the Officer on the 3rd day of May 1890 in the 3d Township County of Fresno, by delivering to her a true copy of said Summons and a copy of the complaint attached thereto.
"	"	Writ of attachment returned served by levy, and filed
"	10	action dismissed by order of the plaintiff the same being settled.

Amer Wolcott J P.

" " Mem Satisfaction.

Wm Peterson
Plaintiff

256

No. 256

In the Justice's Court of the Ima Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E Mennet — Plaintiff

vs.

Thos Masengale — Defendant

Action govds Sold

Demand $ 12 92

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
May	5	Complaint, affidavit and undertaking filed for writ of attachment, Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
	6	action dismissed by order of plaintiff
		Oliver Walcott J P
May	6	Brought over from page 251 Docket of D Defendant Ada Smith is held for May 7 1890 2 o'clock P M.
"	7	Judgment is hereby rendered that defendant be fined in the Sum of twenty Dollars ($20.00) and the Same is now paid by said defendant Ada Smith; whereupon She is discharged and her bail exonerated
		Oliver Walcott J P.

Bond to County

No. 237

In the Justice's Court of the third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

"The Grady Opera House Company" a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

W Mitrovitch — Defendant

Action damages trespass

Demand $299.00

D M Seaton — Attorney for Plaintiff

P V B Terry — Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 5th	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J G Johnston (J. & Doolittle).
" "	Summons returned served and filed, showing personal service on the defendant W Mitrovitch by the officer on the 5th day of May 1890 in the third Township County of Fresno by delivering to him then and there a true copy of the Summons and copy of the complaint attached thereto
" 9th	Defendant demurs to the complaint (oral, on the ground that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action
" 26th	Demurrer submitted for hearing, on Thursday May 29th 1890 at 10 o'clock A. M. and so ordered
" 29th	Demurrer overruled by consent, and defendant answers (orally) and denies each and every allegation contained in plaintiff's complaint.

W Mitrovitch vs Grady Opera House Co. No 257 Third Township

258

No. 2358

In the Justice's Court of the Ind Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Borello — Defendant

Action assault with deadly weapon
J B Garbarino J P

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
May	7	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable, (J F Bartlett Deputy)
"	7	Warrant returned served and filed, and defendant in court & informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - bail fixed in the sum of Five hundred Dollars ($500.00) which is given & executed by J. M. Inalls and W J Bonnifield Sureties.
"	8	appears Wm Jeppen Esq District atty and "Gordon & Lows" for the defendant and examination fixed for Friday 9th day of May 1890 - 10 o'clock A.M. and subpoenas issued
"	9	Subpoenas returned issued and filed. Defendant and attorneys in court and case continued by consent to Monday May 12th 1890 - 10 o'clock A.M.
"	12	Dismissed by order of District attorney - defendant discharged bail exonerated

Geo Walcott J P

No. 239

In the Justice's Court of the Minn Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Sol Goodman — Defendant

Action Distribuing the Peace
G. H. Walley J. P.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
May	7th	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued Deliverd to to J. G. Johnston Constable (J. E. Davidite Deputy)
"	"	Warrant returned Served and filed and defendant is informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - pleads Not guilty and is permitted to go on his own recognisance.
"	13th	Defendant appears and withdraws his plea of Not guilty, and pleads guilty to said charge - waives time of rendering judgment, and judgment is rendered and entered that he pay a fine of Six 50/100 Dollars ($6.50) and that same is now paid and defendant is discharged

Chas Wolcott J P

Recd Money Order

260

No. 260

In the Justice's Court of the third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Sol Govaman — Defendant

Action Malicious Mischief
G. H. Walley

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
May	7	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable J G Wofford appears
"	"	warrant returned Served and filed, and defendant in court - is informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - pleads not and is permitted to go on his own recognizance,
"	15	Defendant appears and withdraws his plea of not guilty and pleads guilty to the charge set forth in the Complaint - Waives time for Judgment, Judgment - Whereupon Judgment is rendered and entered that he pay a fine of Six 50/100 Dollars ($6,50) and that defendant discharged

Lewis Walcott J P

Malicious Mischief

260

Suit for $ as follows

1890

$3.85 Judgment Paid Constable

No. 261

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Costs paid as follows
Two cases
$1.50
Two cases
Constable $3.75

Ing Sam Ing — Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Ing — Defendant

Action on Garnishment

Demand $ 49 35/100

D M Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 8th	Complaint filed, and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment = Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan, Constable (J W Dimas agt)
" 22nd	Summons returned served and filed showing personal service by said officer, on the 9th day of May 1890 on the defendant Ah Ing, in the 3rd Township County of Fresno by delivering to him personally a true copy of said Summons and a copy of the complaint attached thereto
"	Writ of attachment returned and filed
"	It appearing from the return of the officer on the original Summons that the defendant Ah Ing was personally served with a true copy thereof and a copy of the complaint attached thereto on the 9th day of May 1890 in said 3rd Township County of Fresno, and the time to answer the complaint, to wit 5 days, having expired and the said defendant not answering nor appearing in any way, his default is hereby entered; And Now on motion of D M Seaton Esq attorney for the plaintiff it is ordered and adjudged that judgment be and the same is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff "Ing Sam Ing" and against the defendant "Ah Ing" for the sum of Forty nine 35/100 Dollars $49 35 and the further sum of Fifteen 60/100 Dollars costs according to the prayer of the complaint.
	Constable fees $7.60 } Total judgment $64 95 Justice 8.00 }
	Alex Walcott J P
"	Execution issued delivered to J Morgan Constable (J W Dimas agt) returned Satisfied =

$33.85 Judgment Paid
Full and all costs paid
D M Seaton
Atty for Pltff

262

No. 262

In the Justice's Court of the Ima Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Joseph A Cowdrey
Plaintiff

vs.

Jennie Swanson
Defendant

Action Wrongful Conversion

Demand $ 12 00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
May	8	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable.
"	12	Summons returned Served and filed Showing personal Service on the defendant Jennie Swanson, in Said Ima Township County of Fresno, by said officer, on the 12th day of May 1890 by delivering to him a true copy of said Summons and a copy of the Complaint attached thereto.
"	13	Action Settled, debt and costs paid Justice 2.50 Const 2.50 Ima Wolcott J.P.
"	15	Received the costs $12 00 of Ima Wolcott J.P. J. A. Cowdrey. Plaintiff.

No. 263

263

In the Justice's Court of the Utica Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

A. S. Cameron — Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

$2 Packwood Pr.

Demand $

E S Van Meter — Attorney for Plaintiff

Ed Edwards — Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
May	10	complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued and delivered to John M Hemsley Sheriff
"	12	Warrant returned served and filed - defendant arraigned - is informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises; he pleads not guilty and is permitted to go on his own recognizance.
June	16th	cause set for trial on June 19th Thursday, 1890 at 10 o'clock A. M.
"	17th	Subpoenas issued
"	19	appears E S Van Meter Esq. attorney for plff and Ed Edwards attorney for defendant and by consent cause continued to Wednesday June 25th 1890 at 2 o'clock P.M. and witnesses duly being demanded.
"	25th	E S Van Meter attorney for plff present and Ed Edwards attorney for defendant - on motion of attorney for plaintiff action dismissed

Oliver Woldt J.P.

No. 2621

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G Imperatice — Plaintiff

vs.

G Digatano — Defendant

Action on note

Demand $ 297.75 & interest

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 12th	Complaint filed, and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment - Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan constable (J G Hoffman)
" 13	Summons returned served and filed, showing personal service on the defendant, G. Digatano on the 12th day of May 1890 in said 3rd Township County of Fresno by the officer, by delivering to him a true copy of said Summons and a copy of the complaint attached thereto - Writ of attachment returned served by levy and filed -
14th	action settled by parties in court and costs paid

Oliver Walcott J.P.

No. 265

In the Justice's Court of Ho-Chia Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe — Defendant

Action Petit Larceny
Mfr a Criminal Pr.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
May	12	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued Defendant to J D Morgan Constable (John Dennis deputy)

No. 266

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

"The Grady Opera House Company" (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

M Mitrovitch Defendant

Action for rent and appropriated property

Demand $295.50

D M Seaton Attorney for Plaintiff

R. B. Terry Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 13	Complaint filed and Summons issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J G Wofford Deputy)
" 13	Summons returned Served and filed, showing personal Service thereof on the defendant M Mitrovitch on the 13th day of May 1890 in said 3d Township County of Fresno, by said officer, by delivering to him a true copy of said Summons and a copy of the Complaint attached thereto.
" 19	Demmer (oral) by defendant to the complaint on the ground that the same does not state facts to constitute a cause of action.
" 22	Demmer fixed for hearing on Thursday May 29th 1890 at 10 o'clock a m and notice given.
" 23	Demmer overruled by consent and defendant answers orally & denies each and every allegation contained in plaintiff's complaint.

No. 267

267

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. M. Shonogle — Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs S J Thurman — Defendant

Action Services as Physician &c

Demand $173.00

S Jackson — Attorney for Plaintiff

J R Hart — Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 12th	Complaint filed and Summons issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J W Dumas deputy)
" 15th	Summons returned served and filed, showing personal service by the officer on the 13th day of May 1890 in said 3d Township County of Fresno, on the defendant Mrs S J Thurman, by delivering to her a true copy of said Summons and a copy of the Complaint attached thereto.
" 19th	Demurrer in writing filed by defts attorney J R Hart Esq
" 21st	hearing of demurrer fixed for Friday May 23d 1890 at 10 o'clock a m. and notice given
" 23	Demurrer overruled by consent of the respective attorneys and by consent defendant given until Monday 26th May 1890 inclusive, to answer.
" 26th	answer in writing filed by defendant of general denial and day of trial fixed for Saturday May 31st 1890 at 10 o'clock a m. and notice given.
31st	parties and attorneys present by consent trial continued to June 2nd (Monday) 1890 - 9 o'clock a m.
June 1890 2nd	Jurors ordered issued on request of defts returnable 11 o'clock a m June 2nd 1890. (defendant pays into court $63.00 as a tender)
" "	defendant files amended answer in writing of general denial and counter claim of $250.00 - Jurors returned, and parties and attorneys in court and trial proceeds - and by consent in open court eight jurors are accepted and sworn to try the case to wit H R Hurst, Saml Funk, J L Reel R S Conard, J T Zigler, B H Gillespie, Eli Henderson and Ked Kramer; and the following named witnesses are sworn and testify on behalf of plaintiff to wit - F M Shonogle, W J Mayne, and H St George Hopkins. and the following named witnesses are sworn and testify on behalf of defendant to wit S J Thurman, E J Thurman, J Schell and W A Rohrer - 6 exhibits introduced - action argued and submitted to the jury who

Continued on page 269

268

No. 268

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. E. Snow — Plaintiff

vs.

John Walsh — Defendant

Action for Medical Services

Demand $12.50

S Jackson — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 13	Complaint filed, and affidavit and maecatchin for writ of attachment - Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable (John Dennis deputy)
" 13	Summons returned Served and filed, showing personal Service on the defendant John Walsh on the 13th day of May 1890 in said 3rd Township county of Fresno, by the Officer, by delivering to him a true copy of said Summons and a copy of the complaint attached thereto; and the writ of attachment returned Served and filed.
May 20	It appearing from the return of the Officer on the original Summons, that the defendant John Walsh was personally Served with a true copy of said Summons and a copy of the Complaint attached thereto in said 3rd Township on the 13th day of May 1890, and the legal time to answer to writ 5 days having expired, and the said defendant not appearing by answer or demurrer nor in any way, his default is hereby Entered, and on motion of S Jackson attorney for the plaintiff it is ordered and adjudged that judgment be and the same is hereby rendered and Entered in favor of the plaintiff H. E. Snow and against the defendant John Walsh for the Sum of twelve 50/100 Dollars ($12.50) and the further Sum of thirteen Dollars costs. according to the prayer of the complaint: amounting to the Sum of twenty five 50/100 Dollars ($25.50) Constable fees $5.00 Justice = = 8.00 total judgment $25.50 Elmer Wolcott J P
1890 Oct 1	Execution issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable.

No. 269

In the Justice's Court of the Union Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John L Choice — Plaintiff

vs.

E G Vinzens — Defendant

Action goods Sold

Demand $ 37 50/100

S Jackson
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 14th	Complaint filed and affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment, Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable.

270

No. 270

In the Justice's Court of the Utica Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J D Kirke — Plaintiff

vs.

Geo L Bradley — Defendant

Action for trespass

Demand $23 68

D M Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 13	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J G Wofford Deputy)
June 11	Summons returned Served and filed. Showing personal Service on the defendant Geo L Bradley by one E. M. Morgan, a private individual, delivering to him a true copy thereof and a copy of the complaint attached thereto on the 22nd day of May 1890 in the City and County of San Francisco

Dismissed

The above action is hereby dismissed —
The claim of $23 68 is paid - also $7 40 costs Paid to Court

June 13 - 1890

D M Seaton
atty for Plff

Simon W Ladd J. P.

No. 271

In the Justice's Court of the Ithna Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J D Fiske
Plaintiff

vs.

L E Eshleman
Defendant

Action for Lates Paid &c

Demand $ 5 41

D M Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 15	Complaint filed and Summons issued delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J S Wofford deputy)
" 19	Summons returned Served. and a personal appearance and May 3 oath and costs.

Ithna Wolcott J P

Received the sum of $5 41 being the amt of Judgment & Costs paid in Court Judgment paid.

D M Seaton
atty for plaintiff

272

No. 272

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Ark Compton
Plaintiff
vs.
F M, Sponogle
Defendant

Action Labor assigned account

Demand $39 30/100

D M. Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 2	Pltf Complaint, affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed & Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J W. Dumas deputy)
" 17	Summons returned Served and filed, Showing personal Service on the Defendant F M. Sponogle in said Township County of Fresno on the 17th day of May 1890 by said officer, by delivering to him a true copy of said Summons and a copy of the Complaint attached thereto. Writ of attachment returned and filed.
" 31st	Pltf appearing from the return of the Officer on the Original Summons that the defendant was personally served with a true copy thereof and a copy of the complaint attached thereto in said this Township County of Fresno on the 17th day of May 1890 and he not answering nor appearing in any way in the action and the legal time therefor to wit 5 days having expired, his default is hereby entered, and on Motion of D M. Seaton Esq attorney for plaintiff Judgment is now rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Ark Compton and against the defendant F M. Sponogle for the sum of thirty nine 30/100 dollars ($39 30 dollars debt and the further sum of Eighteen 10/100 Dollars costs of Suit according to the prayer of complaint.

Constable fees $9 50
Justice " 8 50

Total Judgment $57 40

Oliver Wolcott J P

June 9th Execution issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable

1890 - Aug 21st Rec'd $39 30/100 D M Seaton atty for pltff
" " " " 10 45 constable fee J. D. Morgan, Clerk
" " " Rec'd 9 75 Justices Costs Oliver Wolcott J.P.

No. 273

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Charles Smiather
Defendant

Action Battery (Disturbing the Peace)
J G Wofford Pro.
Demand $
E S Van Meter
Attorney for Plaintiff
G L Hoard
Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 17	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued & delivered to J Morgan Constable (J G Wofford Deputy) Warrant of arrest returned Served and filed, and defendant in court - is informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises and pleads not guilty - day of trial fixed for Monday May 19th at 10 o'clock A.M. and demands a jury trial - Venire and Subpoena issued - bond fixed in the Sum of fifty Dollars - P Ridder and G L Foster become Sureties.
" 19	Defendant in Court with his counsel, and Plaintiff by E S Van Meter Attorney, and Trial proceeds - the following named jurors are sworn to try the case to wit - A Robinson, L A Welsh, Wm Shaw, J J Hamilton, C J Bayruff, D H Paull W P Lee, J Hanson, J A Vanness, S Hammond, F W Stone and R C Conrad, and the following named persons were sworn and testified in behalf of Plaintiff to wit - Wm Hicks, J G Wofford, C Anderson and S Wolfenberg, and P Ridder Sworn and testified in behalf of defendant, and Case Submitted to the jury, who retire in charge of the Sworn officer, and after deliberation are returned into Court and render the following verdict " " We the jury in the case of the People against Charles Smiather find the defendant not guilty " Wm H Lee Foreman " which is filed; Whereupon the defendant is discharged and costs exonerated

Chas Nordell J P

274

No. 274

In the Justice's Court of the Kings Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Hicks — Defendant

Action Bailey

John Pence Pltf

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Geady & Austin

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 17	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J G Hoffman deputy)
" "	Warrant returned served and filed = Defendant in court and is informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises = pleads guilty = and afterwards appears with his counsel on same day and by leave withdraws his said plea and pleads Not guilty and by consent day of trial is fixed for Monday May 19th 1890, at 2 o'clock P.M. = Defendant's jury trial = Venire issued and Subpoenas said fixed in the sum of $50.00 J Wolfenberg and J D Fiske becoming Sureties
" 19	Defendant in court with his attys and Pltff appearing E T Dan Merrill Esqr and trial proceeds = the following named persons all sworn and accepted as jurors to wit = Nora Bradford Thos McGary A B Reynolds E Henderson G A Conklin A Merrill J B Welsh W H Hopkins W S Basham H P Ewing Saml Trimble & Johnstead = the following named persons all sworn and testify on part of Pltff to wit = Chas Smeltzer P Kidder J G Wolfford L Swanson Mrs S Hammond and the following named witnesses on the part of the defendant are sworn and testify to wit = Wm Hicks and J Wolfenberg = When on case is argued and submitted to the jury, who retire in charge of the sworn officer and after hours of deliberation are returned into Court and answering to their names, report that they are unable to agree upon a verdict and are discharged; and by consent of defendant and counsel the trial to be hereafter fixed;
May 31	Defendant in court and withdraws plea of Not guilty and pleads guilty = makes time of pleading judgment; and is sentenced to pay fine of Fifty dollars ($50.00) which is paid and defendant discharged and bail exonerated

A Levi Wolfenberg J P

No. 275

In the Justice's Court of Washington Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J D Fiske

Plaintiff

vs.

"Prussian National Insurance Company" (a Corporation)

Defendant

Action for Money Loaned Money on a Policy of Insurance

Demand $ 96 50/100

D M Dealon

Attorney for Plaintiff

Mouse & Short

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 19th	Complaint filed and a Summons issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J G Wofford deputy)
June 11th	Summons returned Served and filed showing personal Service on the defendant by one E M Morgan, a private individual, delivering & the Copy thereof attached to a Copy of the Complaint on one Frank Jacoby one of the managing agents of defendant "Prussian National Insurance Company" (a corporation) on the 21st day of May 1890 in the City & County of San Francisco.
" "	Demurrer and offer to allow judgment filed by deft "Mouse & Short" attys for deft.
June 21	Written Stipulation filed by respective attorneys for Plaintiff and defendant withdrawing demurrer and withdrawing offer to take judgment heretofore filed, and that the plaintiff take judgment according to the prayer of his complaint against the defendant - Whereupon judgment is hereby entered and rendered pursuant to said written Stipulation in favor of the plaintiff J D Fiske and against the defendant "Prussian National Insurance Company" (a Corporation) for the Sum of Ninety Six Dollars ($96 50/100) and the Sum of Eight 50/100 Dollars ($8 50/100) costs. Constable fees $4 50 / Justice " 4 00 } Total Judgment $104 50 Elmer Wolcott J P

The above judgment is hereby paid satisfied and discharged the same having been paid in full

June 26th 1890

D M Dealon
Atty for plaintiff

J D Fiske vs Prussian

276

No. 276

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E. H. Martin — Plaintiff

vs.

J. D. Johnston — Defendant

Action Money had & received

Demand $ 3 50/100

Attorney ____ for Plaintiff

Attorney ____ for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 21st	Complaint filed and Summons issued delivered to J. D. Morgan Constable (J. Denis deputy).
" 21st	Summons returned Served and filed, showing Service on the defendant J. D. Johnston by the Officer on the 21st day of May 1890 in said 3rd Township in said County of Fresno by personally delivering to him a true copy of the same and a copy of the complaint attached thereto.
" 24th	Dismissed by Plaintiff and costs paid

Amos Malott J.P.

No. 277

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe alias John Doe — Defendant

Action Malicious mischief

H A Peirce

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

S Jackson

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 19	Complaint filed and Warrant issued and delivered to J G Johnston Constable (J E Doolittle Deputy)
" 21	Warrant of arrest returned Served and filed Defendant in court with S Jackson Esq his atty and is informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Plead not guilty. Day of trial fixed for Saturday May 24 1890 10 o'clock A M and permitted to go without bail; Subpoena issued.
" 24	Parties in court Mr Jones appearing for Plff as atty and trial proceeds (Jury waived) and the following named persons are Sworn and testify on behalf of Plff to wit H A Peirce, M A Morgan and M Mitchell - and case Submitted without argument, Whereupon it is found that the defendant is Not guilty and he is discharged and judgment is so Rendered and entered

Amos Wolcott J P

278

No. 278

In the Justice's Court of the Utica Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J M Elam — Plaintiff

vs.

A A Peison — Defendant

Action on promissory note

Demand $107.00 / One hundred and seven 00/100 dollars (1888 + $50.00 atty fee)

E W Risley — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 21st	Complaint, affidavit and Mandate ing filed for writ of attachment filed - Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable (John Dennis dep'd)
" 22nd	Summons returned Served and filed, showing personal Service by said officer on the defendant A A Peison by delivering to him a true Copy thereof and a Copy of the Complaint attached thereto, in said 3rd Township, Fresno County, on the 21st day of May 1890. -
" 4	Writ of attachment returned, defendant appearing Withdrawn and releasing levy thereon in the Sum of $250.00 Man Sections 554 + 555 of the Code of Civil Procedure (the attorney for the Plaintiff Withdrawing Notice &c) S H Reader and J M. Campbell becoming Sureties thereon the same is now filed
May 27th	It appearing from the officers return on the original Summons that the defendant A A Peison was personally served with a Copy of the Summons and a Copy of the Complaint attached thereto, in said 3rd Township County of Fresno on the 21st day of May 1890 and the time to appear and answer having expired, to wit 5 days, and the said defendant not any pleading nor appearing in any way (except to file undertaking on attachment as aforesaid) his default is hereby entered - and now on Motion of E W. Risley Esq. attorney for plaintiff and the production of said note, judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the Plaintiff J M. Elam and against the defendant A A Peison for the Sum of one hundred and Seven Dollars ($107.00) principal Sum and twenty one Dollars interest ($21.00) and fifty Dollars ($50.00) attorneys fee and the further Sum of Fifteen 20/100 Dollars ($15.20) costs of Suit according to the prayer of the complaint.
	constable fees $7.20
	Jurors = = 8.50
	Total disbursements $194.20
	Chas Holcomb J P.

$1.00 on account

No. 279

In the Justice's Court of Lisbon Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John Albin
Plaintiff

vs.

Chas Ludtke
Defendant

Action On account (goods sold,)

Demand $43 75

A S Cureton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 21st	Complaint filed and Summons issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable (John Dennis deputy)
" 22nd	Summons returned Served and filed, Showing personal Service on the defendant Chas Ludtke by the officer, on the 22nd day of May 1890 in the Lisbon Township County of Fresno, by delivering to him a true copy of said Summons and a copy of the complaint attached thereto.
" 28th	It appearing from the return of the officer on the issued Summons that the defendant Chas Ludtke was personally Served with a true copy thereof and a copy of the complaint attached thereto on the 22nd day of May 1890 in the Lisbon Township County of Fresno and the legal time to answer to wit 5 days having expired, and the defendant Not answering, nor having appeared in any way his default is duly Entered; And Now on Motion of A Slureton Esq, attorney for the plaintiff It is ordered and adjudged that judgment be and the same is rendered and entered in favor of John Albin the plaintiff and against the defendant Chas Ludtke for the Sum of Forty three 75/100 Dollars ($43 75) debt and the further Sum of Nine 00/100 Dollars ($9 00) costs according to the prayer of the complaint Total judgment Fifty two 85/100 Dollars ($52 85) Constable fee $3 60 } total $52 85 Justice — 5 50 } Chas Wolcott J P

280

No. 280

In the Justice's Court of the Ind Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geo. W. Thomas
Plaintiff

vs.

P. C. Appling and
Mrs P. C. Appling
Defendants

Action for goods sold

Demand $21.50

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 23	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J G Wofford deputy)
" 24	Summons returned Served and filed, showing personal Service on the defendants by the officer on the 23rd day of May 1890 in said 3rd Township County of Fresno by delivering to each of them a true copy of Summons and a copy of Complaint attached thereto.
" 28	Demurred to by defendant to plaintiffs complaint that the same does not state facts to constitute a cause of action; Time of hearing demurrer fixed for Saturday May 31st 1890, 2 o'clock P.M.
" 31	Parties in court and defendant pays debt and plaintiff dismisses the action as to costs paid.

Dism'd on payt of J P

Costs of dism'd on payt of J P the above in full

Geo W Thomas
Plaintiff

Costs $5.50

No. 281

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

West Publishing Company a Corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

W D Grady — Defendant

Action On 2 notes & an account

Demand $ 215.46

Chas H Leavy
Attorney for Plaintiff

W D Grady
Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
May	14	Complaint filed and Summons issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J W Dupras deputy)
"	"	Summons returned Served and filed, showing personal Service on the defendant W D Grady in said 3rd Township Fresno County on the 24th day of May 1890, by the said Officer by delivering to the defendant a true copy of said Summons and a copy of the complaint attached thereto.
"	31st	Demurrer in writing filed by defts (general), also demand for copy of account.
August	29	Hearing of demurrer fixed for Tuesday the 2nd day of September 1890 at 10 o'clock A. M., and notice given.
September	2nd	Parties in court by their attorneys, and demurrer overruled by consent and defendant to answer by and on Wednesday September 3rd 1890.
"	2nd	Answer in writing filed by defendant of general denial and insolvent discharge
October	8th	Trial fixed for Saturday October 18th 1890, 2 P.M.
"	"	Notice given plaintiff and it to give notice to defendant.
"	"	Notice of day of trial withdrawn on motion of plaintiff it not being ready and defendant not having been notified,
"	22	Trial fixed for Friday October 24th 1890 2 o'clock P.M., & notice given
"	24th	Case continued by consent to Wednesday October 29th 1890 2 P.M.
"	29th	Parties & attorneys in court and trial proceeds, original notes produced filed and case argued & submitted, whereupon judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff West Publishing Company a corporation and against the defendant W D Grady for the sum of one hundred and forty-seven Dollars ($147.00) debt and forty seven Dollars interest and ten 30/100 dollars. $9.50 costs of suit; done October 29th 1890.
		Constable fees $2.00
		Justice " 7.50
		Total Judgt $203.50
		Orrin Wilcox J.P.
April	8	Execution this day issued & given to Chas Franz constable.
May	14	The above execution returned this day satisfied & filed.

May 24 1890 Notice of Appeal filed by Deft
May 24 1890 Undertaking on Appeal filed by Deft
Transcript to Supreme Court ordered
Sent to Supreme Court May 31st 1890

282

No. 282

In the Justice's Court of the Utica Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. G. Rhoades
Plaintiff
vs.
C. H. Raymond
Defendant

Action assigned account

Demand $ 25.00

J G Rhoades
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 24th	Complaint & affidavit & undertaking for writ of attachment filed & Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J D Dumas deputy)
" 28th	Summons returned served and now filed, showing personal service on the defendant C. H. Raymond by said officer by delivering a true copy thereof with a copy of the complaint attached thereto, on the 24th day of May 1890 in said 3rd Township County of Fresno, to the said defendant. Writ of attachment returned and filed.
May 29 1891	It appearing to this Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a true copy of said summons attached to a copy of the complaint were personally served on said defendant C. H. Raymond by the said officer personally delivering the same to him in the 3rd Township of Fresno County, State of California on May 24, 1890 and it appearing that said defendant has not answered in this action nor appeared therein in any way nor at all and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days has duly expired now therefore his default is duly entered and on motion of J. G. Rhodes the plaintiff herein judgment is duly rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J. G. Rhodes and against the defendant C. H. Raymond for the sum of $25.00 debt and for the sum of Fourteen and 10/100 dollars costs of suit

Justice fee $7.00
Constable fee 7.10
$14.10

Total judgment $39.10

Done in open court this May 29. 1891

Chas R. Rice
Justice of the Peace.

No. 283

283

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

James Bachus

John Doe — Defendant

Action Battery

He, and Relation Pl'w,

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

W D Grady

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 26	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan, Constable (J G Wofford deputy)
" "	Warrant returned & filed - defendant brought into court and is informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises and pleads guilty of the charge in complaint and waives time of rendering judgment and is fined in the sum of one hundred dollars ($100) which is paid and defendant is discharged
	defendant & prosecuting witness make their respective statements in open court.

have to County

Chas Wolcott J.P.

284

No. 284

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

A. Wilkins & Wilkins and P Karr alias John Doe — Defendant S

Action Assault (Battery) John Doe Pltf

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 28	Complaint filed and Warrant of arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Const table
" "	Warrant of arrest returned Executed and filed defendants in court and give their true names to be A Wilkins S Wilkins and P Karr, and are informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises plead not guilty
June 16	defendants in court and case dismissed as to A Wilkins for want of prosecution S Wilkins and P Karr withdraw their plea of Not guilty and plead guilty as charged and waive time of Rendering Judgment and are each fined in the sum of one Dollar ($1.00) which is paid into court and defendants are discharged, and judgments so rendered and Entered

Amer Wolcott J P

Bench & Bar

July 19 1890 Costs Rec'd & $14.30 of Clerk

No. 285

In the Justice's Court of Millerton Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R Utterback — Plaintiff

vs.

F M. Spunogle — Defendant

Action for wrongful taking

Demand $30 85/100

D M Seaton — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 31	Complaint filed and Summons issued delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J W Dumas deputy)
June 9th	Summons returned Served and filed - Showing personal Service on the defendant F M Spunogle by the Officer on the 9th day of June 1890 in said Millerton Township and County of Fresno by delivering to him a true copy thereof and a copy of the complaint attached to said Summons.
" 23rd	Now on motion of D M Seaton Esq attorney for the plaintiff it appearing from the return of the Officer on the original Summons that the defendant was personally served by delivering to him a true copy thereof and a copy of the complaint attached thereto on the 9th day of June 1890 at and in said Township and County, and the legal time to answer to wit 5 days having expired and the defendant not answering nor appearing in any way his default is now entered, and on further motion of plaintiffs attorney D M Seaton Esq judgment is now rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff R Utterback and against the defendant F M Spunogle for the Sum of thirty 85/100 Dollars ($30 85/100) debt and the further Sum of Six 90/100 Dollars Costs of Suit according to the prayer of the complaint.
	Constable fees $ 1.50
	Justice " 5.50 Total $37.75
	Abner Wolcott J P
1890 July 7	Execution issued to J D Morgan Constable (J W Dumas deputy)

July 9th 1890 Costs paid $7.50 of Clerk.

Rcvd $14.30 on account of judgment
July 19-1890 D M Seaton attorney
Aug 21-1890 Rcvd 12.50 on acct of judgment

286

No. 286

In the Justice's Court of the China Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Jim Defendant

Action brought (violating a horse of F. D. Smith
Opium (C. W. Fraser & P. W.

Demand $

Van Meter Esq. for Plaintiff
Attorney

Graay & Johnson
Attorneys for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 31st	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued delivered to J. G. Johnson Constable.
" 4	Warrant returned and filed and defendant in court and is informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Pleads Not guilty; trial fixed for Friday June 6th 1890 at 10 o'clock a.m. bond fixed in the sum of fifty Dollars and given Ah Lew and Quong Tee Kee Company Sureties.
June 5th	Subpoena issued
" 6th	Def't moves to dismiss on the ground that the offense is charged in the complaint and not in the exception to the last clause of the section. Motion overruled. Jury trial demanded by defendant and time fixed and returned. Defendant in court and respective attys and trial proceeds. The following jurors selected and sworn to try the case to wit. J. H. Fisher, A. Wilkins, Wm. Nolan, Thos Campbell, John Dyson, S. Clapp, J. J. Ingram, E. Harris, G. Bell, J. Kennedy, I. V. Comstock, and J. G. Reader. W. H. Childers, C. W. Fraser, J. G. Johnson are sworn and testify on part of Pltff as witnesses and Ah Jim, and Doctor Pedan on part of defendant and case argued and submitted to the jury, who retire for deliberation and are returned into court and report that they are unable to agree upon a verdict whereupon they are discharged from further consideration of the case and by consent in open court of the defendant and the attys of the Pltff and deft.
16th	Trial fixed by consent for Friday June 20th 1890 at 10 o'clock a.m. Jury demanded by deft.
17th	Subpoena duces issued
20	Continued by consent to Thursday 26th 1890 at 10 o'clock a.m.
26	Parties in court and respective attorneys Van Meter Esq for Pltff and Austin & Graay Austin " for deft. Subpoena duces returned Served & filed and the following persons are accepted & sworn as jurors to try the case to wit. Dan Bruce, P. Savage, W. R. Jennish, J. S. Wilkins, J. P. Nunes, J. E. Stalker, J. Chambers, M. L. Linnard, E. Wood, R. Utterback & B. Phipps.

Commenced to Jan 2 1894 Bowlder C.
" "

No. 287

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Jop — Defendant

Action Assault, a house to smoke opium. & w. Fresno Pct

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Grady & Jansen

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 31st	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued and delivered to J. G. Johnston Constable;
" "	Warrant returned and filed, and defendant in court and is informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - pleads Not guilty - trial fixed for Friday June 6th 1890 at 10 o'clock a. m. - Bail fixed in the sum of fifty Dollars, and given Ah Lew and Jung Lee Becoming Sureties;
June 2	Trial fixed for Friday Oct 3rd 1890 10 o'clock a. m. and Subpoena issued
Oct 3rd	appears defendant by Grady & Jansen and withdraws his plea of Not guilty and pleads guilty to the charge against him in manner & form of the foregoing complaint and is sentenced to pay a fine of $60.00 - which is paid and defendant discharged from custody
" 6	Exonerated

Ohrien Wolcott J P

Oct 24 1890
Nacio Ortega

288

No. 2886 1

In the Justice's Court of the Millerton Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Hing — Defendant

Action Visiting a place to smoke opium &c. Laws Thereof

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Friday & Minor

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
May	31st	Complaint filed and warrant & arrest issued & delivered to J G Johnston Constable
"	"	Warrant returned and filed - defendant in court and is informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - pleads not guilty - day of trial fixed for Friday June 6th 1890 at 11 o'clock a m, - bond given in the Sum of fifty Dollars. Ah Lew and Irving Lee Keke coming sureties.
Sept	26	Trial fixed for Friday Oct 3d 1890 11 a m, and Subpoena issued
Oct	3	defendant with counsel files plea of not guilty - and pleads guilty - waives time of rendering judgment and is fined in the Sum of $50 00 which is paid Oct 6th 1890 and defendant discharged Bail exonerated.
Oct	3d	Ohmi Wolcott J P

(Oct 7th 1890 Warrant returned)

No. 2891

289

Oct

In the Justice's Court of the Thira Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Jy — Defendant

Action vs Using a place to Smoke Opium &c Thirsa T.P.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
May	31	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued and delivered to J G Johnston Constable,
"	"	Warrant returned and filed; defendant in court and is informed of the charge against him - pleads Not guilty; day of trial fixed for Friday June 6 1890 at 11 o'clock A M, bond given in the Sum of fifty Dollars Ah Len and Chong Lee Keep becoming Sureties,
about	3d	defendant withdraws plea of Not guilty and pleads guilty - waives time & pleading & judgment and is fined in the Sum of $5.00 which is paid at C. 1890 and he is discharged and bail Exonerated,
Oct	3	Admitted to Bail

(Entered on Docket Page 57)

290

No. 290

In the Justice's Court of the Ituda Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

ah Jake — Defendant

Action visiting a place to smoke opium
C. W. Fraser J.P.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Gray & Austin
Attorneys for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
May	31st	Complaint filed and warant of arest issued and delivered to J G Johnston Constable.
"	"	Warant returned and filed; defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises = pleads Not guilty = day of trial fixed for Friday June 6th 1890 12 o'clock M, bail fixed in the Sum of fifty Dollars and given ah Lew and Quong Du Kee becoming Sureties
"	3d	defendant withdraws plea of not guilty, and pleads guilty = waives time & pronouncement of judgment and is fined in the Sum of $ 60 00 which is paid = defendant discharged Bail exonerated.
"	6th	1890
Oct	3d	Same bail exonerated

Oct 7th 1890
(Bond to County)

Oct 7th 1890

No. 291

In the Justice's Court of Mi Wuk Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Owen — Defendant

Action Disturbing a place of Amusement C. W. Fraser P.C.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 31st	Complaint filed and warrant for arrest issued and delivered to J. G. Johnston Constable
" "	Warrant returned and filed - defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Pleads Not guilty - day of trial fixed for Friday June 6th 2 o'clock P.M. - Bail fixed in the sum of fifty Dollars and given Ah Lew and Quong Lee Keeloming Sureties
October 3rd	defendant with Atty Plea of not guilty - Pleads guilty - makes motion for reducing judgment and is fined in the sum of $65.00 which is paid - defendant is discharged - Bail exonerated
" 3rd	Chas Wolcott J.P.

(Entered in Docket Oct 4th 1890)

292

No. 292

In the Justice's Court of the China Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Je Defendant

Action Visiting a place to smoke opium & w Fraser P.W.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Gray & Austin

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 31	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued and delivered to J. G. Johnston constable
" "	Warrant returned and filed = defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and his rights in the premises = pleads not guilty = day of trial fixed for Friday June 6th 1890 at 2 30 o'clock P.M., bond fixed in the sum of fifty Dollars and given Ah Lew and Jung Jue becoming sureties
" 3	Dismissing from the affidavits of Ah Lew it now filed that the defendant did on or about the 3rd Sept 1890 obtain the opium mentioned & charged,

Ah Lew vs Ah Je

Bond

No. 193

In the Justice's Court of the Linda Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Yow — Defendant

Action Misdemeanor to Smoke Opium

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Tracy Dunbar

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 31	Complaint filed and warrant issued & delivered to J G Johnston Constable.
" "	Warrant returned and filed - defendant in court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - pleads not guilty - day of trial fixed for Friday June 6th 1890 at 2½ o'clock P M, bond fixed in the sum of fifty Dollars and given, Ah Lew and Jung Lee Reckoning Sureties
June 6th	3d defendant withdraws plea of not guilty and pleads guilty - waives time & finding fragment is found in the sum of $50 which is paid and he is discharged Bail exonerated.
" 3d	John Wolcott J P

Bail to Trial
filed June 6th 1890

294

No. 294

In the Justice's Court of the Millerton Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Jip — Defendant

Action Visting a plant to Injure a person C. W. Fraser P. W.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Friday & Austin

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 31st	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued Delivered to J G Johnston Constable
" "	Warrant returned and filed = defendant in Court and is informed of the charge against him and his rights in the premises - pleads Not guilty, day of trial & plea for Friday June 6th 1890 at 9 o'clock A.M. - Bond fixed in the Sum of fifty Dollars and given Ah Lew and Tsong Tai Lee becoming Sureties
October 3d	defendant in Court this day Not guilty and pleads guilty to the charge of Disturbing the peace and is fined in the Sum of $10 00 which is paid & he is discharged Hall Chronicle - Issued Oct 3d 1890
Oct 3d	John Wolcott J.P.

Issued to Const Oct 7th 1890

No. 275

In the Justice's Court of Millerton Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Yip — Defendant

Action Maintaining opium place &c
Ch, Fasen P.M.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff
Gracy & Austin
Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
May 31st	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued & delivered to J G Johnston Constable,
" "	Warrant returned and filed - defendant arraigned & informed of the charge against him and his rights in the premises - pleads not guilty, day of trial fixed for Friday June 6th 1890 at 3 o'clock P.M. bail fixed in the sum of fifty Dollars and given Ah Lew and Leung Lee Lee Manning Sureties
June 3rd	Defendant with counsel pleads not guilty and pleas guilty maintain & rendering Judgment and is fined in the sum of $ 50 which is paid Defendant discharged Trial Vacated.
on 3rd	John Wolcott J.P.

Case dismissed

296

No. 296

In the Justice's Court of the third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mrs U A Cranmer
Plaintiff

vs.

Charles Gilson
Defendant

Action on account for Washing

Demand $ 8.15

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
June 2nd	Complaint & affidavit and undertaking filed for writ of attachment = Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable,
" 4th	Summons returned served and filed, showing personal service thereof by the Officer on the defendant Charles Gilson in said 3rd Township County of Fresno on the 4th day of June 1890, by delivering to him a true copy of said Summons and a copy of the complaint attached thereto = writ of attachment returned and filed

No. 297

In the Justice's Court of Kingsburg Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mrs M A Cramer
Plaintiff

vs.

J Berry
Defendant

Action for board and Lodging

Demand $ 150

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
June 2nd	Complaint Affidavit and undertaking filed for writ of attachment. Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable,

298

No. 298 Complaint $/1010 1.50 Aug 12 J.P.

In the Justice's Court of the Millerton Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J M Sponogle
Plaintiff
vs.
Mrs S J Thurman
Defendant

Action brought on from page 267. of this docket
Demand $
S Jackson
Attorney for Plaintiff
S B Neal
Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS

June 2nd Retire for deliberation and return into court with the following verdict" Fresno Cal June 2nd 1890 We the jury find for the plaintiff the sum of one hundred dollars J L Bell foreman" and the same is filed, and the jury paid and discharged - Whereupon on Motion of S S Jackson Esq attorney for Pltff judgment is hereby rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J M. Sponogle and against the defendant Mrs S J Thurman for said sum of one hundred Dollars ($100.00) and the further sum of Forty two Dollars ($42.00) costs of Suit, amounting to the sum of one hundred and forty two Dollars ($142.00) in all.

Constables fees	$8.10	
Justice	13.50	Total for Judgment $142.00
Jury	16.00	Amos Wolcott J P
witness	4.40	

" 3rd Bill of costs filed by plaintiff
" 7th Notice of appeal filed by defendant
June 30th undertaking on appeal filed and Justices costs paid -
July 3rd Papers transmitted with - $85.00 to Superior Court -
August 13th Judge assigned in writing by Pltff to J W Daley & filed;
" 14th Order of Superior Court assessing appeal filed and $4.00 costs added
" Execution issued delivered to J D Morgan constable.
21st Execution returned Satisfied by the Defendant

(2 Bill of Costs filed on trial)

Aug 12 Transcript $8.50
Costs $1.10 Clerk J. Deane Clk.
J W Daley assignee of Judgment creditor

No. 299

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Mariano Costa — Defendant

Action Cruelly beating and Injuring Animal

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
June	3	Complaint filed and Warrant of Arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J G Wood deputy)
"	"	Warrant returned Served and filed - defendant in Court and is informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - pleads Not guilty - day of trial fixed for Monday June 9th 1890 - 10 o'clock A.M. by consent of Defts
"	4th	Defendant appears in Court and withdraws his plea of Not guilty and pleads guilty to said charge. Prosecuting Witness also present, and defendant waives time of rendering judgment - is fined in the Sum of Five 00/100 Dollars ($5.00) which he pays into Court and is discharged.

Chas Wolcott J P

300

No. 300

In the Justice's Court of Millerick Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Mariano Cosia — Defendant

Action Criminal (disturbing the Peace & Impersonating P.O.

Demand $

Attorney ____ for Plaintiff

Attorney ____ for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
June 3rd	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J G Hoffman dep.)
" "	Warrant returned served and filed and defendant in Court and is informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - pleads not guilty - trial fixed for Monday 9th day of June 1890 at 10 o'clock A.M.
" 4th	Now comes the defendant and withdraws his plea of not guilty - and pleads guilty to the charge against him and waives time of pleading & Judgment is fined in the sum of Five Dollars ($5.00) which he pays into Court and is discharged. (Prosecuting witness being present)

Almer Wolcott J.P.

No. 301

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

B J Scott — Plaintiff

vs.

H W Snow & Wife Snow — Defendant 3

Action on Written Contract

Demand $78 75/100 Dollars and $40 00 atty's fee

S Jackson — Attorney for Plaintiff

H H Welsh — Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
June 3rd	Complaint filed and affidavit & undertaking filed for writ of attachment – Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable
" 17th	Summons returned Served and filed showing personal service on H W Snow in Alameda County, California by delivering to him a true certified copy thereof attached to a copy of the complaint on the 17th day of June in 1890 one of the defendants, and copy of the Summons Complaint on W H Snow co defendant on 4th June 1890 in Santa Cruz County
June 7th	The defendants H W Snow and W H Snow appear by their attorney H H Welsh Esq and demur (orally) to the Plaintiffs complaint, on the ground that it does not State facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action.
" 9th	Comes Ward H Snow one of the defendants, by his attorney H H Welsh Esq and files his demurrer in writing to the complaint general and Special.
Dec 13	Comes now H H Welsh Esq and consents that his demurrer be overruled and now answers (or ally) for defendant Ward H Snow and denies each and every allegation contained in plaintiffs complaint and disclaims having authority to appear for H W Snow the co defendant
1891 Jany 3d	Now on motion of S Jackson Esq attorney for plaintiff action is dismissed as to Ward H Snow for whom H H Welsh Esq appears as attorney, and judgment is hereby rendered and entered in favor of B J Scott the plaintiff and against H W Snow the other defendant (he having failed to answer or appear within the legal time to wit 20 days having elapsed) for the Sum of Seventy eight 75/100 Dollars ($78 75/100) principal and Seven 00/100 Dollars ($7 00/100) interest and forty Dollars attys fee and $25 85 costs of Suit according to the prayer of the complaint – Done in open Court Jany 3d 1891
	Constables fees $17 35 / Jurat = 8 50 — Total Costs $151 58 — Thos McDowell J P
1895 Jan 8	Abstract of judgment made & delivered to B J Scott 70 cts

302

No. 302

In the Justice's Court of the third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Grady Opera house Company
a Corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

F. G. Schneider
Defendant

Action Rent of room

Demand $ 175 00

D. M. Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

J. P. Mengel
Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
June	3	Complaint filed and Summons issued and delivered to J. D. Morgan Constable (J. G. Hoffman deputy)
"	"	Summons returned and filed, showing personal service by the officer, on the defendant F. G. Schneider, in said 3d Township, County of Fresno on the 3d day of June 1890 by delivering to him a true copy thereof and a copy of the Complaint attached thereto.
"	5	defendant appears by his attorney J. P. Mengel Esqr. and answers orally, denying each and every allegation in plaintiffs complaint, and by consent trial set for June 12th (Thursday) 2 o'clock P.M.
"	12	Parties in Court by their attorneys and action continued to Saturday June 14th 1890. 9 o'clock A.M. by consent.
"	14	action continued indefinitely day of time to be fixed hereafter (by consent of attys and parties)
September	1890 29	action dismissed by order of plaintiff D. M. Seaton Esq. in Court atty for plaintiff and J. P. Mengel Esq. atty for defendant

Oliver Wolcott J. P.

No. 303

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

S Woldenberg — Plaintiff

vs.

Jas G Johnston — Defendant

Action for Lien Suit

Demand $ 32.00

J G Rhoades — Attorney for Plaintiff

. . . . — Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
June 14	Complaint filed and affidavit for writ of attachment and undertaking - Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J Dungan Constable (J W Dumas deputy)
" 18	Summons returned served and filed showing personal service on the defendant J. G. Johnston by the Officer on the 18th day of June 1890 in said 3rd Township County of Fresno by delivering to him a true copy thereof and a copy of the complaint attached thereto writ of attachment returned and filed (no property found)
July 1	It appearing from the return of the Officer on the original Summons that the defendant Jas. G. Johnston was personally served by delivering to him a true copy of said Summons and a copy of the complaint attached thereto on the 18th day of June 1890 at and in said 3rd Township County of Fresno and the legal time to answer having expired to wit 5 days, and the defendant not having answered nor appeared in any way his default is hereby entered; and now on motion of J. G. Rhoades Esq attorney for the plaintiff judgment is hereby rendered and entered in favor of S Woldenberg the plaintiff and against Jas. G. Johnston the defendant for the sum of thirty two Dollars ($32.00) debt and the further sum of eleven 30/100 Dollars ($11.30) costs of Suit - according to the prayer of the complaint.
	Constable fees $2.80 } Total $43.30 Justice " 8.50 }
	Olivier Wolcott J P

304

No. 304

In the Justice's Court of the Utica Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John Boyd
Plaintiff

vs.

John Birney
Defendant

Action for Work & Labor

Demand $ 70.00

Sam Jackson
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
June 4th	Complaint, affidavit and undertaking filed for writ of attachment – Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J W Dumas deputy)
" 5th	action settled and dismissed, costs paid
June 5th	Received satisfaction in full from O. Wolcott. Justice of the Peace Sam. Jackson. Attorney for Plaintiff. Otis Wolcott J. P.

The People vs Utica
Brought down from page 286 Docket A

and Geo Arrigoni, and the following witnesses were sworn and testified on part of plaintiff to wit C W Thasey J. G. Johnston and Jas. Waggle, and case argued & submitted to the jury, who retire in charge of the sworn officer and after deliberation return into court with the following verdict – We the jury find the Defendant guilty J Bruneau Foreman Whereupon the verdict is recorded and filed and the jury discharged; and the time of rendering judgment is set for Friday June 27th 1890 at 10 o'clock A.M. by consent of parties & attys

June 27th Defendant in court and attorneys and thereupon the court rendered the following judgment to wit – wherefore, It is by the Court ordered and adjudged as a punishment for the offense committed at Fresno county on May 31st 1890 to wit wilfully unlawfully visiting resorting for the purpose of smoking opium its preparations to a place of resort maintained to be resorted to where opium its preparation are sold and given away to be smoked as charged in the complaint herein and that Jan the said defendant do pay a fine of fifty Dollars; and in case said fine not paid within one hour from the time of rendering judgment that Jan the said defendant

(Page 307 Docket A)
(Continued to)

No. 305

In the Justice's Court of Millerton Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Charles Bills (assignee of Hudson & Thomas) Plaintiffs

vs.

H. W. Snow and Wife Snow Defendants

Action assigned account

Demand $ 35 93/100

Sam Jackson Attorney for Plaintiffs

H. H. Welsh Attorney for Defendants

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
June 4th	Complaint & affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed - Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J. Morgan Constable (J. W. Dumas deputy)
June 7½	the defendants H. W. Snow and Wife Snow appear by their attorney H. H. Welsh Esq and demur (orally) to the complaint of plaintiff, on the ground that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action.
" 9th	defendant W. H. Snow appears by his attorney H. H. Welsh Esq and files written demurrer (general),

306

No. 306

In the Justice's Court of the Ilua Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

D R Lehman — Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace a G Leveroni Pltff

Demand $

Attorney ____ for Plaintiff

Attorney ____ for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
June	7	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J W Dumas deputy) Warrant returned Served and filed and defendant in Court and is informed of the charge against him and his rights in the premises - and this cause set for trial day of this term for Tuesday June 10th 1890 at 10 o'clock A M, and fixing bail ~~Demanded~~ by defendant bond filed in the Sum of $ 150 and approved.
"	9	Subpoena issued
"	10½	Action continued and fixed for Tuesday June 17th 1890 at 2 o'clock P M, and Subpoena issued & Witnesses and day of trial fixed on application of defendant.
"	17th	Defendant in Court, waives trial by jury, and is ready for the trial - A. G. Leveroni and Rosa Leveroni Sworn and testify in behalf of Plaintiff - D R Lehman Sworn and testifies in his own behalf and case is submitted, Whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that the defendant is guilty as charged and he waives time of rendering judgment and is fined in the Sum of Seven 50/100 Dollars ($7.50) which is paid by defendant and he is discharged and bail exonerated -

Olives Wolcott J P

Transcribed from page 304

No. 307

In the Justice's Court of the Thira Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

W a Leadbetter — Defendant

Action Battery
John Morley Plff

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
June 7th	Complaint filed and warrant issued delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J W Dumas deputy
" "	Warrant & Witness returned Served and filed, defendant in court and is informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - pleads not guilty - bond fixed in the sum of $50.00 and given; John F Mahoney and Alex Noble becoming sureties and trial fixed for Tuesday June 10th 1890 at 2 o'clock P.M.
June 10th	defendant in court and jury trial waived by plff & deft, and trial proceeds, John Morley and J. N. Walton Sworn and testify on behalf of plff and M Papinian, R N Silveria, L Monges W S Jenkins and W A Leadbetter Sworn and testify on behalf of defendant - case submitted without argument; whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that the defendant W a Leadbetter is not guilty of the charge stated in the Complaint, he is therefore discharged and his bail exonerated,

Abner Walcott J. P.

be imprisoned in the county Jail of the County of Fresno State of California until the fine be duly satisfied in proportion of one days imprisonment for any dollar of the fine or until lawful payment be made of such portion of said fine as shall not have been satisfied by imprisonment at the rate above specified

Abner Walcott J P

Done in open court this 27th day of June 1890

Transferred from page 304
see Ck

No. 308

In the Justice's Court of the Ninth Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe — Defendant

Action Grand Larceny
W J Gallagher Pltf

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
June 9th	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable.

No. 309

In the Justice's Court of the 3d Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Colin Chisholm — Plaintiff

vs.

J G Johnston — Defendant

Action on writ d account assigned,

Demand $182.25

J Jackson — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
June 9th	Complaint affidavit and undertaking filed for writ of attachment - Summons and writ of attachment issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J W Dumas dep)
" 18	Summons returned served and filed showing personal service on the defendant J G Johnston in said 3d Township County of Fresno on the 18th day of June 1890 by delivering to him a true copy thereof and a copy of the complaint attached thereto
	Writ of attachment returned filed (no property found)
June 28th	It appearing from the return of the Officer on the original Summons that the same was personally served together with a copy of the complaint on the defendant J G Johnston and a true copy of said Summons, by delivering the same to him in said 3d Township on the 18th day of June 1890 and the legal time to answer with five (5) days having elapsed and the defendant not answering nor appearing in any way, his default is hereby entered this 28th day of June 1890 and now on Motion of J Jackson Esq attorney for plaintiff, Judgment is hereby rendered and entered in favor of Colin Chisholm and against the defendant J G Johnston for the sum of One hundred and twenty Eight 25/100 Dollars principal and two 60/100 Dollars interest and forty two Dollars attorneys fee, being $170 85 and the further sum of Eleven 50/100 Dollars ($11 50) costs of Suit.
	Constables fees $3 00 / Justice = = 8 50 } Total Judgment $182 35/100
	Orrin Wolcott J P.
1890 July 1st	Execution issued delivered to J D Morgan Constable.
July 1st	Execution returned not satisfied

Dues Colin Chisholm by Default
Attorneys Jackson & Wallace

310

No. 310

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F E Towne — Plaintiff

vs.

S J Cull — Defendant

Action for Labor

Demand $ 5.00

Attorney ____ for Plaintiff

Attorney ____ for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
June 9th	Complaint filed and ~~writ of~~ Summons issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J W Dumas deputy)
1890 July 5	Summons returned Served and filed, showing personal Service by the officer on the defendant S J Cull in said 3d Township Fresno County on the 5th day of July 1890 by delivering to him a true copy thereof and a copy of the complaint attached thereto.
" 8th	defendant appears in person and answers (orally) and denies each and every allegation in plaintiffs complaint = day of trial fixed for Saturday July 12th 1890 at 9 o'clock A.M. and Notice given
" 12th	defendant in court with his witnesses and ready for trial and now the same having opened and the plaintiff not appearing in any way; on motion of the defendant Judgment is rendered in favor that the action be dismissed and the same is hereby dismissed and Judgment is also rendered and entered against the plaintiff F E Towne and in favor of S J Cull the defendant for costs of Suit in the Sum of Thirteen Dollars ($13.00)

Constable fees $1.40
Justice " 5.00
Witnesses fees - 6.60

Chas Wolcott J P

Witness fees. F E Towne 1 day 12 miles $1.40
F E Towne " 1 " 1 " 1.20

No. 31

In the Justice's Court of the Hunda Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A M Sponagle Plaintiff vs. J Grinstead Defendant	Action for Services as Physician & Surgeon Demand $ 42.00 S Jackson Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE		PROCEEDINGS
June	11th	Complaint, Affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment filed: Summons and writ of attachment issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J W. Dumas deputy)
"	16	Action dismissed by order of Plaintiff action being settled & costs paid Constable fees $2.75 Justice " 5.00

A M Sponagle Plff
Almon Wolcott Jr

312

No. 312

In the Justice's Court of the Ind Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. M. Spraggle
Plaintiff

vs.

Jacob Reeder
Defendant

Action for Services as Physician & Surgeon.

Demand $ 20 00

S Jackson
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
June 18	Complaint & affidavit & undertaking for writ of attachment filed & Summons and writ of attachment issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable (J W Dumas deputy)

No. 313

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Peter alberts — Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
June 11th	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable.
" "	Warrant returned & filed. Defendant in court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Pleads not guilty. Day of trial fixed for Friday June 13th 1890 - 10 o'clock A.M.
" 13th	Parties in court and trial proceeds (jury trial being waived by both parties in open court). A Winder and F Sonnenberg Sworn and testify on part of plaintiff and Burt White, Dennis Kenley, J.C. Brien and Peter alberts Sworn and testify on part of defendant and case submitted. Whereupon it is found and adjudged that the defendant is not guilty of the charge contained in the complaint, and he is discharged.

Amos Wolcott J.P.

314

No. 314

In the Justice's Court of the Kings Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

W E Norton — Defendant

Action Emanating to Kill one May Norton &c.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

I R Hart

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
June 14th	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued & delivered to J Dunn constable (J. G. N Offord a deputy)
" 14	Warrant returned served and filed = defendant brought into court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises, bond fixed in the Sum of $300.00
" 17th	Defendant in court bond given S W Norton and C S Greenberg becoming Sureties = and on application of defendant case fixed for examination on Wednesday June 18th 1890 at 10 o'clock A. M. and Subpoena issued
" 18	Defendant in court in person and I R Hart Esq his attorney, and examination proceeds, (E R Risley appointed Court Reporter) May Norton Sworn as a witness and testifies on part of Plff. - and W E Norton Sworn and testifies on his own behalf, and case submitted whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that the defendant W E Norton be bound in the Sum of three hundred Dollars ($300.00) to Keep the Peace for the term of Six months pursuant to Law and the bond therefor is now executed by S W. Norton and C. S. Greenberg becoming Sureties thereon.

Abner Wolcott J P

No. 343

In the Justice's Court of the Utica Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Santiago Galindo — Defendant

Action Petit Larceny
H R Wilson Pltf

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
June 14th	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued and delivered to J J Cramer Constable (J J Wofford deputy)
" 16th	Warrant of arrest returned Served and filed, Defendant brought into court and is informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises pleads not guilty and on his application day of trial is fixed for June 16th 1890 at 2 o'clock P M. Bond fixed in $100.00 Subpoenas issued.
" "	parties in Court E M Van Meter Esq atty for Pltff and defendant withdraws his plea of not guilty and pleads guilty to the charge alleged in the complaint. Waives time of rendering judgment. Whereupon it is adjudged that the defendant be fined in the sum of Seven 50/100 Dollars ($7.50) and judgment is so rendered and entered and that the defendant be imprisoned, until the same be satisfied in proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of the fine pursuant to Law.

Jesse Wolcott J P

316

No. 316

In the Justice's Court of the Thira Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John B Ralston — Defendant

Action Battery
Minia Ralston P.W.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
June 15	complaint filed and Warrant of arrest issued and delivered to J D Morgan Constable (John Dennis Deputy)
" "	Warrant returned Served and filed - defendant brought into Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - he pleads guilty of the charge alleged in the complaint and waives time of rendering judgment and is fined in the Sum of Ten Dollars ($10.00) which defendant now pays into Court and is discharged and judgment is rendered and entered accordingly.

Chas Wolcott J P

No. 317

In the Justice's Court of the Ninth Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

George J. Hall — Defendant

Action Petit Larceny
Hon Kee P.W.

Demand $

Grady & Austin — Attorneys for Plaintiff

D. M. Seaton — Attorney for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
June 13th	Complaint filed and warrant [illegible] issued and delivered to J. D. Morgan Constable (L Austin deputy)
" 14	Warrant returned Served and filed, and defendant brought into Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - He pleads not guilty and on his application day of trial is fixed for Tuesday June 17th 1890 10 o'clock a.m., bond filed in the sum of $100.00
"	Subpoena issued
" 17th	Subpoena returned and filed - Defendant in Court with his attorney D. M. Seaton Esq - Grady & Austin attys appear for Pltff and by consent case continued to June 21st (Saturday) 1890 at 8 o'clock P.m. Subpoena issued
" 21	Continued by consent to Monday June 23rd 1890 11 o'clock a.m.
" 23rd	Parties in Court and on motion of attys for Pltff case dismissed for want of evidence

Oliver Wolcott J.P.

318

No. 318

In the Justice's Court of the 4th Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Sing and Ah Yak — Defendants

Action Battery
Geo J Hill J P

Demand $

L M Sealon — Attorney for Plaintiff

Grady & Austin — Attorney's for Defendants

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
June 15	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued and delivered to D D Morgan Constable (John Dennis deputy).
" "	Warrant returned Served and filed Defendants Come and Grady & Austin their attorneys - Defendants informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises, and plead Not Guilty - Bail fixed in the Sum of One hundred Dollars ($100.00) and given - Boy Jim and Wah Chung Long becoming Sureties thereon.
June 23rd	Parties in court and on Motion of atty for pltff Action dismissed and defts discharged and Exonerated.

Amer Wolcott J P

No. 319

In the Justice's Court of the Dinuba Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Wi Loui & Jon Kee — Defendants

Action Battery
Geo Hall

Demand $

Dan Sedon — Attorney for Plaintiff

Grady Austin — Attorney for Defendants

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
June 13th	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued delivered to JJ Cramer Constable (J G Wofford deputy) Warrant returned Served and filed - Defendants in Court and Grady Austin atty for them and defendants are informed of the charge against them and of their rights in the premises - bond fixed in the sum of $375.00 each and assigned by Jon Kee only - Big Jim and Wah Chung Long becoming Sureties -
" 23rd	Parties in Court and on motion of Plffs atty action dismissed and costs disbursed Plaintiff

Louis Wolcott J P

320

No. 320

In the Justice's Court of the Unia Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Smith — Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace & Quiet Town

Demand $

Attorney ____ for Plaintiff

Attorney ____ for Defendant

1890 DATE	PROCEEDINGS
June 16	Complaint filed and warrant of arrest issued & delivered to J D Morgan Constable (John Dennis deputy) Warrant returned Served and filed = Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises = he this as guilty to said charge in the complaint alleged = Waives time of rendering judgment whereupon he is fined in the sum of Fifty 50/100 ($50.00) fine paid by defendant

Almei Walcott J.P.

hence to County.